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## Overview structure

- Long-term trends in period and cohort life expectancy
- Geographical differences in longevity
- Geographical differences: methodological issues
- Socioeconomic differences in longevity
- Socioeconomic differences: methodological issues


## Trend in cohort and period life expectancy at birth, UK 1981-2058 (ONS principal projection)



## Period life expectancy by age, UK 1981 and 2058 (ONS principal projection)



## Period life expectancy at birth and age 65, by country, UK 2007-09

|  | Male |  |  | Fears of life |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | At birth | At 65 | At birth | At 65 |  |
|  | 78.3 | 18.0 | 82.3 | 20.6 |  |
| England | 77.2 | 17.4 | 81.6 | 20.1 |  |
| Wales | 75.4 | 16.5 | 80.1 | 19.1 |  |
| Scotland | 76.8 | 17.2 | 81.4 | 20.0 |  |
| Northern Ireland | $\mathbf{7 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 4}$ |  |
| United Kingdom | 2.9 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.5 |  |
| Difference between UK <br> countries |  |  |  |  |  |

## Period life expectancy at birth and age 65, highest and lowest country/region, UK 2007-09

|  |  |  |  | of life |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country or region | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | At birth | At 65 | At birth | At 65 |
| South East of England | 79.4 | 18.7 | 83.3 | 21.3 |
| North West of England | 76.6 | 17.0 | 80.8 | 19.5 |
| Scotland | 75.4 | 16.5 | 80.1 | 19.1 |
| Difference within England | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.8 |
| Difference within UK | 4.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 1.2 |

## Period life expectancy at birth, local authorities, UK 1992 to 2007



Life expectancy at birth, by Local Authority

## Males

79.0 or over 77.0 to 78.9 75.0 to 76.9 73.0 to 74.9 71.0 to 72.9 under 71.0


Life expectancy at birth, by Local Authority

## Females

86.0 or over
84.0 to 85.9
82.0 to 83.9
80.0 to 81.9
78.0 to 79.9
under 78.0


London


## Period life expectancy at birth and age 65, highest and lowest local authorities, UK 2007-09

|  |  |  |  | f life |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local authority area | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | At birth | At 65 | At birth | At 65 |
| Kensington and Chelsea | 84.4 | 23.7 | 89.0 | 26.5 |
| Glasgow City | 71.1 | 13.9 | 77.5 | 17.6 |
| Difference between UK local authorities | 13.3 | 9.8 | 11.5 | 8.9 |
| Difference between regions in England | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.8 |
| Difference between UK countries | 2.9 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.5 |

## Period life expectancy at birth by electoral ward, England and Wales, 1999-2003

- Life expectancy for all persons
- Highest ward: 93.4 years (in East of England)
- Lowest ward: 65.4 years (in South East)
- Difference between highest-lowest: 28.0 years
- Not all wards could be calculated
- Some extreme outliers excluded, e.g. 120 years
- Significant impact of clusters of nursing homes and hospices (around -2 years)


## Geographical differences in longevity: key findings

- There are clear and well-known geographical patterns in longevity (the North-South divide)
- Smaller geographical units reveal greater differences in longevity, but with decreasing statistical reliability
- The difference between highest and lowest areas at ward level is around twice that at local authority level and ten times that at regional level
- Larger areas are not homogenous, e.g. the wards with highest and lowest life expectancy are not in the highest and lowest regions


## Geographical differences in longevity: key methodological points

- All deaths can in principle be allocated at postcode level, subject to minor technical limitations
- Geography of deaths relates to place of last residence, not birth or longer-term residence
- The predictive value of place-based analysis is reduced by social change and migration, e.g. gentrification
- Smaller geographical units reveal greater differences in longevity, but with decreasing statistical reliability
- There is an absolute threshold around 5,000 population below which life expectancy calculation is not feasible


## Period life expectancy at birth by NS-SEC class, males, England and Wales 1982-06 to 2002-06

|  |  | Years of life |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NS-SEC class | 1982-86 | 1992-96 | 2002-06 |
| 1. Higher managerial \& professional | 75.6 | 77.5 | 80.4 |
| 2. Lower managerial \& professional | 74.3 | 76.5 | 79.6 |
| 3. Intermediate | 73.3 | 75.3 | 78.5 |
| 4. Small employers \& own a/c workers | 73.6 | 75.6 | 77.8 |
| 5. Lower supervisory \& technical | 72.3 | 73.8 | 76.8 |
| 6. Semi-routine | 71.3 | 72.4 | 75.1 |
| 7. Routine | 70.7 | 71.6 | 74.6 |
| Difference highest-lowest | 4.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 |

## Period life expectancy at birth by NS-SEC class, females, England and Wales 1982-06 to 2002-06

|  |  | Years of life |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NS-SEC class | 1982-86 | 1992-96 | 2002-06 |
| 1. Higher managerial \& professional | 80.9 | 82.3 | 83.9 |
| 2. Lower managerial \& professional | 79.7 | 81.2 | 83.4 |
| 3. Intermediate | 79.6 | 81.4 | 82.7 |
| 4. Small employers \& own a/c workers | 79.1 | 80.7 | 82.6 |
| 5. Lower supervisory \& technical | 78.5 | 79.4 | 80.4 |
| 6. Semi-routine | 78.1 | 79.2 | 80.6 |
| 7. Routine | 77.1 | 78.3 | 79.7 |
| Difference highest-lowest | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.2 |

## Period life expectancy at birth by NS-SEC class, males, England and Wales 1982-06 to 2002-06




## Increased odds of death by selected social characteristics, males, E\&W 1995-2001



Odds relative to most advantaged comparator

## Probability of death by selected social characteristics, males, E\&W 1995-2001



## Socio-economic differences in longevity: key findings

- There are consistent patterns of inequality in life expectancy, using a variety of socio-economic measures
- Patterns are clearer in male life expectancies than in female
- Longevity has increased over time for all socio-economic groups, but not equally
- Characteristics of disadvantage can have a cumulative effect on longevity


## Socio-economic differences in longevity: key methodological points

- Analysis of mortality/longevity by socio-economic factors is complex, and uninformed use leads to errors
- The most important data source is the ONS Longitudinal Study, but this has its own limitations
- Analysis of socio-economic patterns in female mortality is difficult for both practical and conceptual reasons: ONS now tends to use a household-based measure
- Combination of socio-economic and geographical factors has to be done with caution but can be powerful


## Questions or comments?

Expressions of individual views by members of The Actuarial Profession and its staff are encouraged.
The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter.

