Mortality Uncertainty
How to get a distribution around the Best Estimate Mortality

Henk van Broekhoven

13 September 2011

What are we going to do?

- This workshop contains 3 parts
  - Definition of mortality risk
  - Uncertainty in projections
  - Solvency II

Definition Life Risk

- Life Risk relates to the deviations in timing and amount of cash flows due to incidence or non-incidence of death

  - Deviations relative to the Best Estimate Assumptions

- Overall mortality can be described by a probability function in which the Expected Value is the Best Estimate.

Definition Life Risk

- This probability function is not just a single distribution

- Following the IAA Blue Book EVERY risk type should be analysed in 3 parts:
  - Volatility
  - Uncertainty (model + parameter)
  - Extreme events

Distribution around the BE mortality

- Best Estimate Mortality rates can be analysed into two parts:
  - Level
  - Trend
- The distribution is defined by the following sub-risks**:**
  - **Volatility**
  - **Uncertainty Level**
  - **Uncertainty Trend**
  - **Extreme event risk (Calamity)**

Question / Discussion

- Stochastic?

OR

- Analytic?

- Volatility
- Extreme Event (calamity)
  - Uncertainty Level
  - Uncertainty Trend

Volatility

- Risk of random fluctuations
  - frequency
  - important: variation of sum-at-risk across policies
  - also additional volatility because of external causes
    - like cold winters
    - influenza epidemics
    - severe accidents

<Mortality is not a full independent process>

Volatility

- Because of the (small) dependency between the several lifes the Compound Poisson distribution (instead of Compound Binomial) is used.

Volatility

- Via an analytical way this CP distribution can be estimated usin the Normal Power Approach:

$$P\{[S - E(S)]/\sigma_s \leq s + \tfrac{1}{6}\gamma(s^2 - 1)\} \approx \Phi(s) = \alpha\%$$

- or

$$x = s + \frac{\gamma}{6}(s^2 - 1)$$

- The NP approach is based on the Cornish Fisher expansion only using the first 3 or 4 moments.

Volatility

Standard deviation total claim level (c(j)) follows (for Compound Poisson):

- **X is capital at risk** (*=face value – reserve*)

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\sum_i q_i(x) X_i^2}$$

- And Skewness:

$$\gamma = \frac{\sum_i q_i(x) X_i^3}{\sigma^3}$$

γ can be fine-tuned based on observed volatility in the past (Maximum likelihood)

- Volatility
- Extreme Event (calamity)
- Uncertainty Level
- Uncertainty Trend

Extreme event risk

- Worldwide the worst thing related to mortality that can happen is a Pandemic.

  - Some events are simple too extreme to model like an impact of a large asteroid.

- Still every insurance company should analyse concentration risk within their portfolio's. An incident can, in case of high concentrations, have a larger impact than a pandemic.

Extreme Events in mortality

deaths / 1000 age group 15-45
The Netherlands, source CBS

Extreme event risk

- Although often a model for extreme events is connected to some confidence level, like 1 in 200 for Solvency II, in my opinion this is wrong.

- A pandemic is a conditional event.

  - The 1 in 200 connected to the 0.15% is valid in case we are in a WHO phase 1 situation.

    - We never were!

Pandemic phases

Inter-pandemic phase	Low risk of human cases	1
New virus in animals, no human cases	Higher risk of human cases	2
Pandemic alert	No or very limited human-to-human transmission	3
New virus causes human cases	Evidence of increased human-to-human transmission	4
	Evidence of significant human-to-human transmission	5
Pandemic	Efficient and sustained human-to-human transmission	6

Extreme event risk

- Better to talk about a scenario, like the Spanish Flu: combined with the 1 in 200 VAR of the other risks you should be able to survive a certain scenario.
  - Beside this "conditional" nature of a pandemic it is also a fact that nobody really knows the real pandemic distribution.
  - This also counts for other types of extreme events

Question / Discussion

- Now we start calculating the uncertainty.
- It should at the end result in an uncertianty around the BE liabilities.

- Should it be a one-year approach or a multi-year approach?
  - Solvency II Standard Model is based on a one year approach…

- Volatility
- Extreme Event (calamity)
- Uncertainty Level
- Uncertainty Trend

Uncertainty Level

- The best estimate is based on a factor times the population mortality (Basis Best Estimate)

- The factor in formula:

-

$$factor = \frac{\mu_{obs}}{\mu_{pop}}$$

Uncertainty Level

- Because the μ_{obs} is the result of a random process (=volatility in the past!) We are not sure that this number represent the real expected claim level.

  - It could have been an observation in the (wrong) tail.

- To be sure for $\alpha\%$ that the liabilities are sufficient we need to add a capital.

Uncertainty Level

- Recalculate the liabilities based on mortality rates on "α% level", this is

$$q_{EC}(x;t) = factor_{EC} \times q_{pop}(x;t)$$

$$factor_{EC} = \frac{\mu_{obs} + (-)unc_{\alpha\%}}{\mu_{pop}}$$

Uncertainty Level

- To find the uncertainty (unc) around the Best estimate we use like in Volatility the Compound Poisson distribution
  - Poisson instead of binomial because there is some dependency in mortality
  - Compound because of the spread of the insured sums
  - Like in volatiltiy a correction can be made to the skewness based on historical volatlity

Uncertainty Level

- The Compound Poisson distribution can be calculated in a rather simple way using the normal power approach similar to the volatility calculations
- The distribution is translated into a normal distribution using the first three moments
  - -> NP(3)

Uncertainty Level

- The economic capital "level" follows:

$$EC_{level} = LIAB_{EC} - LIAB_{BE}$$

Liabilities based on $q_{EC}(x;t)$

Liabilities based on $q_{BE}(x;t)$

- Volatility
- Extreme Event (calamity)
- Uncertainty Level
- Uncertainty Trend

Uncertainty Trend

- It is impossible to predict a future trend.
- Medical development, envoronment, new diseases and resistance agains a medical cure can change trend (drift).
- Also volatility in the observations will cause uncertainty (random walk).
- Are we using the right model?
- We must try to say something about the uncertainty

Uncertainty Trend

- In Lee Carter the stochastic part is based on
  - The random walk around the drift
  - Mistake in estimation of the drift because of volatility in used data
  - The drift is rather linear (in standard model)
  - This means that changes in drift are modeled as random walk
  - Often the Normal Distribution is used.

Uncertainty Trend

- The real uncertainty is the uncertainty in the drift. But exactly this part is hard to model.
- Other than assumed under Lee Carter is the drift not a straight line and for sure not Normal Distributed
- It is influenced by several factors like medical developments, resistance against medicines, climate change.
- Even extreme events can occur like a cure against important causes of death (cancer)

Uncertainty Trend

Lee Carter model

$$c = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}[k(t)-k(t-1)] = \frac{k(T)-k(0)}{T}$$

Standard error e(t)σ :

$$se(e) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T-1}\sum_{t=1}^{T}[k(t)-k(t-1)-c]^2}$$

Error in c:

$$se(c) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{T}} \approx \frac{se(e)}{\sqrt{T}}$$

Uncertainty Trend

- In my opinion this method is underestimating the risk around trends.
- The random walk part of a trend analysis is better separate modelled under volatility.
  - It contains for example impacts of cold winters, flu epidemics etc.

Uncertainty Trend

- The uncertainty is not only based on a statistical error but also:
  - Model choice
  - Used data
  - Unexpected developments (extreme events)
    - E.g. a medical cure against an important cause of death.

Uncertainty Trend

- Therefore uncertainly trend should be partly based on information and ideas out of the medical world to get an isight of the extreme events related to longevity

- Also historical changes in trend can help to get information about model choices and data used.

Uncertainty Trend

- **The following calculations are based on the newest Dutch Prognosis**

- **For the uncertainty in the long term life expectancy the goal table we use a recent study of the Dutch CBS. In that study also several international conclusions are used**

- **It is stated that a 95% confidence interval means an increase of plus and minus 5 in the life expectancy.**
  - **This is based on both statistical and medical information and ideas**

- **Using this, by adjusting the mortality rates of the BE long term projection in such a way that the life expectancy is adjusted with 5 years a shocked projection table (both upper and lower) can be created**

Playing with a goal table

- The uncertainty can be solved using the following formula:

$$q(x; j+t) = q(x;t) \times f(x; j)^t \times e^{\frac{\alpha(x)t(t+1)}{2}}$$

Original trend

For j+t is final year of projection the adjusted goal table this formula can be solved

Playing with a goal table

- Solving $\alpha(x) < j+t$ is the last year of the projection:

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{\log q(x; j+t) - \log q(x; t) - t \times \log f(x; j)}{\frac{1}{2} \times t \times (t+1)}$$

Uncertainty Trend (goal table)

Impact shock in goal table
95% conf e(0).

Uncertainty Trend

- Each model
- Based on the history it can be analysed how different 10 year trends look like
  - I used here Dutch figures
- This gives an idea how also in the future short term trend can change or what the impact can be for other assumptions
- By setting all those different trends at the beginning of the prognosis some conservatism will be introduced
  - With each trend observed in the past a separate generation table can be calculated
  - I used 12 trends
- See next graph

Uncertainty Trend

impact possible trends on development e(0)

How to use this?

- An insurance company and pension funds need to know what the impact is on the Best Estimate liabilities of the uncertainty around the trend

- Because a complex dependency between the development for the several ages it is not advised to calculate liabilities directly with the at a certain confidence level shocked mortality rates

- Better is to use each of the generation tables (11 for start trend and 1 for the shocked goal table) plus the BE generation table to calculate liabilities.

Uncertainty capital starting trend

- With each of the 12 historical 10 year trends a generation table is derived and with each of them liabilities can be calculated:

|	
1	13.09420843
2	13.33246787
3	13.066988
4	13.17006177
5	13.33750594
6	13.02018636
7	13.07364983
8	13.02874271
9	13.48099007
10	13.09073366
11	13.25972385
12	**14.0581669**

Standard deviation:0.293

Distribution: Student with 11 DoF

97.5% upper conf. level: 2.2*0.293

= 4.9% of the mean

Because the local trend is extreme at the moment

in the Netherlands no capital is needed for this part

Uncertainty trend

- Often developments are conditional.
  - In calculating uncertainty this should be counted for.

Uncertainty trend

- Assuming 100% correlation between the uncertainty goal table and uncertainty start trend the total capital can be set at the sum.

- The results will be highly dependent on the discount rate!

- Most likely the ratio's mentioned can be used for other countries with not enough data available to make own calculations.

Standard model Solvency II

Standard Model

- For longevity just a simple shock of 20% on the mortality rates
  - Not duration dependent
  - One year time horizon

Comparison S II with internal model

Internal model versus SM

Standard model

- IMO:
  - Standard model less suitable for risk management
  - Perhaps OK for SCR calculations for average portfolio's