





Recent developments in SII reporting and disclosure

- Revised draft Level 2 Delegated Acts were submitted by the European Commission to the European Parliament on 31 October 2011
- EIOPA published draft proposals for Guidelines on Narrative Public Disclosures and Supervisory Reporting, Predefined Events and Processes for Reporting and Disclosure on 8 November 2011 for public consultation
- EIOPA also published draft proposals on Quantitative Reporting Templates on 8 November 2011 for public consultation and draft reporting templates for Financial Stability purposes on 21 December 2011

2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.ul

2

3

Recent developments in SII reporting and disclosure (contd.)

 The FSA has started consultation on the transposition of the SII Directive into the FSA Handbook (SOLPRU). Proposals for national specific QRTs to be included in Part 2 of the FSA's transposition Consultation Paper in 2012.

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

Most recent draft Level 2 Delegated Acts – main effects on Pillar 3

- Results of ORSA de-coupled from RSR to form a separate ORSA Supervisory Report – to be submitted to supervisory authorities within two weeks of whenever an ORSA is concluded
- Deadlines for RSRs and SFCRs pushed back a year, for example, first full RSR for a December year end would be 20 weeks after 31 December 2014.
- Groups have an extra 6 weeks for quarterly and annual submissions to supervisors and Group SFCR (no extension for Single Group SFCR)

Most recent draft Level 2 Delegated Acts – main effects on Pillar 3 (contd.)

- Opening reporting of MCBS, SCR, MCR, etc for financial years commencing on or after 1 January 2014 but before 1 July 2014 to be submitted to supervisory authorities within 14 weeks of start of financial year by solo entities and 18 weeks for groups
- Need to disclose amount of Expected Profit Included in Future Premiums in the SFCR
- Need to disclose effect of Counter-Cyclical Premium and Matching Premium in the RSR

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

Draft guidelines on narrative reports and reporting process

 EIOPA are consulting on 55 draft guidelines on narrative reporting (was 38 in pre-consultation)

	SFCR	RSR	Pre-defined events	Disclosure and reporting processes	Total
Business and Performance	3	5			8
System of governance	2	4			6
Risk profile	1	2			3
Valuation for solvency purposes	14	4			18
Capital Management	7	1			8
Supervisory reporting following pre-defined events			2	2	2
Disclosure and reporting policies				2	2
Other				8	8
	27	16	2	2 10	55

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.u

4

Draft guidelines on narrative reports and reporting process (contd.)

- More detailed guidance on disclosures required regarding valuation of specific assets
- Significantly increased disclosure of composition and management of own funds in SFCR
- New requirements to disclose details of assets and technical provisions in RSR

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

Proposed changes to QRTs since pre-consultation versions – main points for general insurers

- Numerous tweaks to the pre-consultation versions but not many major changes
- Helpful reduction in TP and reinsurance solo forms to be completed by groups although 'infra-annual' reporting is now 'quarterly'
- Variation Analysis to explain movements in Basic Own Funds
- Accident year / underwriting year

Proposed changes to QRTs since pre-consultation versions – main points for general insurers (contd.)

- Quarterly balance sheets required if the reconciliation reserve cannot be explained sufficiently by the information on assets and liabilities that is reported in other quarterly templates (assets, TP, OF)
- Separate balance sheet, own funds, SCR (notional) and Technical Provisions templates for material Ring-Fenced Funds
- Detailed list of assets still required
 - at least annually for all solo undertakings and groups
 - quarterly for large undertakings / groups
 - although this could still change before finalisation

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

Proposed additional QRT information for financial stability purposes

- Applies to large groups or solo entities (balance sheet total > €6bn)
- Comprises a list of data items required for financial stability monitoring – most required quarterly and some annually
- Many of the financial stability data items are already required for QRT proposals issued in November.

Proposed additional QRT information for financial stability purposes (contd.)

- Additional reporting burden will arise in the following circumstances:
 - Data required annually for 'mainstream' reporting but quarterly for financial stability, for example, SCR for solo and groups,
 - Data not required from groups for 'mainstream' reporting, e.g., consolidated technical provisions (quarterly) and elements of group outward reinsurance programme (annually).
 - New data items for solo and group undertakings, e.g., lapse/surrender rates, statutory accounting profit and loss, duration of non-life liabilities.

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

Variation Analysis – VA C2C Observations and comments

- Understand the changes in Basic Own Funds, by source of change within the TP
- Group?
- Public No
- Annual
- From end of 2015 (if full S2 annual reporting in place at end 2014)

Variation Analysis – VA C2C Observations and comments (contd.)

- Required at a Total level and for each LOB > 5% of TP (split Life and Non-Life)
- · Split between
 - Risks accepted during the period
 - Risks accepted prior to the period
 - Changes in estimates (unwinding of + change in discount rates)
 - Changes in assumptions (economic/non-economic)
 - Experience

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

Other Issues

- Non Life cat risk (SCR-B3F)
 - No material additional calculations or changes in assumptions
 - But more disclosure of calculations, most elements now reported
- Profit/Loss attribution
 - Link to Internal Model
 - Overlap of model output with VA C2C?

Other Issues (contd.)

- AY vs UY
 - According to the principle used internally by undertakings for grouping claims but subject to confirmation by National Supervisory Authority.
- XBRL
 - Reporting likely to be through XBRL

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.ul

Data Challenges arising from QRTs - Non Life Data Availability/Process Challenges ▶ Current working assumption is that this template requires entities to report on Facultative covers non-life/life (Re-J1) a single risk level. Where facultative business covers more than one single risk (e.g., policyholder insures several buildings under one policy) this information might not be available Solvency II Contract ▶ New reporting requirements on Solvency II boundaries will affect many areas Boundaries of the QRTs. ▶ This template asks for a split by 'country'. Depending on how country is **Country Cover** K1/Cover A1A/TPdefined (e.g., where the risk is underwritten or where the risk is located) you E1/TP-F1 may have problems to deliver the data. ▶ Where derivative trades for the local business are not executed through Assets central system some local changes will probably be required to be able to D1-D6 produce the information in the required format.

Data Challenges arising from QRTs (cont'd)

QRTs

Movements of RBNS claims TP-E4

Underwriting risks F7A/B

Salvage and Subrogation – TP –

Analysis of changes in BOF due to TP VA-C2C

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

Data Availability/Process Challenges

- ▶ Required granularity poses a major challenge eg., holistic view on calendar year does not allow distinction between outstanding claims at the end of the year which were carried forward from the start of the year, those reported through the year and those which have been reopened during the year. Reporting of reopened claims during the year and closed at the end of the period seems to be a challenge. If claims functions outsourced, a challenge to renegotiate contracts to get the required data.
- ➤ Sufficient policy records may not be maintained on systems (eg. when business written under fully delegated authority) could cause data issues as third parties mainly send summary information. May have to renegotiate existing contracts to obtain the required information.
- ➤ Separating out salvage and subrogation from claims to produce the run-off triangle might be difficult. Especially for LoB's where it is material (e.g., motor) this becomes an issue.
- ► For the P&L attribution which should be aligned to the VA templates, the underlying information is based on accident year. For the VA templates accident year is required.

Questions or comments?

Expressions of individual views by members of The Actuarial Profession and its staff are encouraged.

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter.

Important Information

- The information in this presentation is intended to provide only a general outline of the subjects covered. It should not be regarded as comprehensive or sufficient for making decisions, nor should it be used in place of professional advice.
- Accordingly, Ernst & Young LLP accept no responsibility for loss arising from any action taken or not taken by anyone using information in this presentation.
- The information in this presentation will have been supplemented by matters arising from any oral presentation by us, and should be considered in the light of this additional information.
- If you require any further information or explanations, or specific advice, please contact us and we will be happy to discuss matters further.

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.ul

20