	7
Actuaries Conference Celtic Manor	
Advisors	
David Blackwood	
	1
Advisors - the good old days	
2	
	1
Preamble	
■ Broad topic – advisors – what / why / who - hard to cover	
■ Personal views – views in pensions land are diverse	
All pension schemes are different  Their relevant importance is different ashame size /	
Their relevant importance is different – scheme size / sponsor size / sponsor credit rating	
<ul> <li>Recognise that professional firms have to make a living - try not make today a "moan" about costs (try!)</li> </ul>	
■ Pensions is an industry that has moved centre stage over	
the last decade	
Crazy governance environment     Rut its also a dving industry (long slow profitable death)	
■ But its also a dying industry (long, slow profitable death)	

Closing!?  Advisors must add value – at least on the corporate side	
Advisors must add value – at least on the corporate side	
■ In a cost effective and well structured manner	
■ Help me understand the issues and options – and	
prioritise	
<ul> <li>Help me (or the trustees) execute – there is no (/limited) value without implementation</li> </ul>	
THANK YOU	
Three perspectives	
■ Corporate support – operational	
■ Corporate support – risk and valuation / funding	
- Advisor selection	
■ Trustee support – but from a corporate perspective	
Trustee support – but from a corporate perspective	
5	
Corporate Support	
■ The (UK pensions) world is strewn with mountains of pensions analysis and presentations that has mainly led	
to complete inaction – true or false??	
■ If true – why?	
_	

### Some things I would expect

- Understanding a client perspective on pensions and a willingness to challenge them / educate? -- to discuss
- Recognition that clients have different degrees of understanding
- A good advisor ought to be able to take a clear view on where work and effort is best focussed for the best return to the client – a commercial trade off on cost / benefit / ability to implement
- Understanding that the biggest enemy of better is best

## With that in mind - the workplan - how can you help me with this

- closure to new entrants
- benefit modification
- closure to new accrual
- audit of liabilities
- transfer of liabilities
  - EHTV
  - selective annuitisation
- other liability mitigation for example reshaping existing benefits
- costs admin / (other) advisors / PPF / other

.

### RISK - what every good advisor knows



Different people and organisations have different understanding, different views, different approaches and different tolerances to risk

.

# Risk ■ The most frequently produced chart in the UK is a pension fund risk chart showing liability risk is higher than asset risk - true or false? ■ Every time I see one I think "so what" ■ I want the "so what" – and its pros and cons

### Valuation and Funding - the difficult one

- Talk to this one
- Personal view
  - Shouldn't aim to avoid funding putting money in is necessary!!
  - Should be what is required
  - To a timescale that is appropriate to the company plan
- For me therefore, the role of advisors is to meld the desirable with the achievable - not "what's the least I can get away with" (but it might be)



Slide from a corporate risk presentation I did about 6 year ago

#### Looking forward ten years

- In 10 years time, imagine a scheme
  - Closed to new entrants
  - Closed to new accrual
  - Many deferreds transferred out (incentivised)
- You are running a life company alongside your core business
- Where will the trustees be
- Where do you want to be
- This may well define the next trend in pensions

## **Advisor selection - other comments** ■ Corporate side is clearly more relationship driven ■ More churn than on the trustee side – but still limited once "in" ■ Who is the buyer – the Board or the FD? ■ Is the buyer knowledgeable or not ■ Personally - People, not organisations - Knowledgeable - Clear communicators - Not pressing for the big ticket fee **Advisors and trustees** ■ Not a sensible commercial relationship ■ Corporate ability to influence advisor selection diminishing / difficult ■ But if my advisor says its OK – why shouldn't the trustees advisor agree? ■ A lot of compliance stuff – which SHOULD be cost driven ■ If I had a choice - Similar characteristics to corporate advisor - Value for money on the compliance stuff - Pragmatic in facilitating closure with the sponsor on issues - not adversarial – and get there quickly ■ A lot depends on trustee experience / characteristics / objectives Not touched on - but could discuss Admin ■ DC schemes Accounting Other??

IAS 19 support advice example discuss	
1	
16	
_	
	$\neg$
Closing !?	
■ Advisors must add value	
= /\tayloois must add value	
In a cost effective and well structured manner	
Help me understand the issues and options – and	
prioritise	
■ Help me execute – there is no (/limited) value without	
implementation	
THANK YOU	