General Insurance Study Group

GENERAL INSURANCE CONVENTION

Llandrindod Wells 1991

Volume 1



CLAIMS RUN-OFF PATTERNS UPDATE presented to General Insurance Study Group October 1991

CLAIMS RUN-OFF PATTERNS - October 1991 update

The attached tables update those presented to the General Insurance Study Group (GISG) in October 1990, by including data from the 1989 returns in their calculation. The methodology derives from the report of the working party on claims run-off patterns presented to GISG in October 1989.

Following comments at GISG in 1990, two changes have been made. For the inflation adjusted chain ladder (IACL) and average claim (AVC) methods we have reverted to our previous assumption that future inflation at 8% (10% was assumed for the 1990 tables) is implicit in companies' estimates of outstandings. The run-off patterns for comprehensive and noncomprehensive motor are now distinct for the first 6 years (5 years in 1990) of the run-off (years 0-5). For later years (except for five companies which distinguished comp and noncomp prior to 1981), a common run-off pattern has been used.

<u>Data</u>

All the data came from Forms 33 of the returns which have to be made to the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI) by companies authorised to write business in the UK. Forms 33 (and for 3 year business Forms 35) constitute the most comprehensive set of claims run-off data available for UK companies. We are grateful to the DTI for allowing us to use this data. An example of Form 33 appears on page 8.

Subject to certain de minimis exceptions, the direct (and facultative reinsurance) business carried on by UK authorised insurance companies must be analysed into risk groups and for each risk group the run-off of the claims must be presented in Forms 33 (or 35). A risk group comprises risks constituting part of the business carried on in any one country within any one of the 8 non-treaty DTI accounting classes, "which, in the opinion of the directors, are not significantly dissimilar

Claims run-off patterns

either by reference to the nature of the objects exposed to such risks or by reference to the nature of the cover against such risks given by the company".

The intention was that risk groups should be relatively homogeneous so that the run-off could be expected to be reasonably stable, but the definition is broad enough to permit considerable heterogeneity. Thus run-off patterns might be expected to vary considerably between different companies and within companies from year to year.

It should be noted that from 1981 UK "home foreign" business has been treated as written in a different country from other UK business for the purpose of risk group definition. Thus for UK business currency movements should not distort the statistics. From 1981 also, private motor has had to be distinguished from other motor business and comprehensive private motor distinguished from non-comprehensive.

All the data analysed relates to UK business. The risk groups examined are Employers Liability (EL), Comprehensive Private Motor (Comp), Non-comprehensive Private Motor (Non-comp), and Fire. Most companies did not distinguish between Comp and Noncomp for years of origin prior to 1981, and Comp/Non-comp data were supplemented by Private Motor data for these years of origin. Although the data suggests that, from the fourth year of the run-off (ie omitting years 0-2), the claims run-off patterns are very similar, the run-off patterns for years 0-5 have been separately analysed.

Most of the data came from the DTI computer database rather than directly from the returns. Full data relating to payments before 1981 were not readily available; the total payments for each year of origin was available but not the split by year of payment. The Form 33 data are gross in that they make no allowance for reinsurance recoveries (but subrogation recoveries and salvage are treated as negative claim payments). The run-off patterns shown in the tables are therefore not immediately applicable to a net (of reinsurance) run-off. In general we would expect a net run-off to be shorter than a gross run-off, partly because reinsurance recoveries relate mainly to the larger claims which may by their nature take longer to settle, and partly because of the time taken to make reinsurance recoveries.

Data discrepancies and distortions

Comparisons for a year of origin between box 19.3 of Form 33 (payments in previous years of the run-off) and the sum of boxes 19.3 and 19.2 (payments in the year) in the previous year's returns revealed a number of discrepancies. These were investigated and the data adjusted as appropriate. (See section A5 of the 1989 report.) In cases where no explanation for the discrepancy was available the incremental payments figures (19.2) were used in preference to the cumulative payments (19.3).

The existence of data discrepancies should be considered before drawing conclusions about individual companies from the run-off patterns shown. The possibility of errors not signalled by data discrepancies also needs to be borne in mind.

The employers liability statistics include latent disease claims, both in the payments and outstanding (notified and IBNR) figures. There is normally no uniquely correct way of allocating such claims to a year of origin and thus some distortion of the statistics is inevitable.

3

It is known that a number of companies discount at least some employer liability claims. No allowance is made for this feature when calculating tail factors.

The later years of run-off for the fire risk group show negative payments, believed to be mainly subrogation recoveries from liability insurers. For the most part such recoveries would appear not to be anticipated in the estimates of outstandings (presumably as the result of applying prudent accounting principles), which leads to an inconsistency. Where large risks are reinsured faculatively, there can be doublecounting and this can lead to distortions.

Tail factors

Tail factors were obtained by averaging from company estimates for the three earliest years (75-77 or, for fire, 81-83). They assume that the company estimates are correct, are not discounted (explicitly or implicitly), and make full allowance for future inflation. To the extent that these assumptions are incorrect, the tail factors are wrong.

The procedure adopted can give somewhat peculiar results when payments in the last two years of the run-off are compared with assumed payments thereafter. (Note this does not affect the motor tables.) This particularly affects the fire risk group because, as noted above, recoveries tend not to be anticipated in company estimates of outstandings.

<u>Mean terms</u>

Mean terms are presented as a simple means of indicating the length of a run-off pattern into a single figure and facilitating inter-company comparisons. Knowledge of mean terms enables the approximate impact of discounting, for instance, to be estimated (though choice of run-off pattern given the mean term can sometimes materially affect the result

Claims run-off patterns

4

of discounting).

In calculating mean terms we assumed that all payments were evenly spread throughout the year of payment. This is of course an oversimplification, and individual companies, whose own data is likely to be more detailed, can and do use other assumptions internally. For the specific purpose of intercompany comparisons we do not consider that our assumption is likely to cause serious distortion.

Arbitrary assumptions were made regarding the mean terms of the tails of the run-off patterns. While curve fitting techniques could have been used, we considered that the results would have been of limited accuracy and likely to introduce spurious differences between companies.

The mean term of outstanding claims was assumed to be four years for employers liability at the end of the fifteenth year, two years for motor at the end of the thirteenth year, and two years for fire at the end of the ninth year. The effect of alternative assumptions on the weighted mean term of the aggregate data is shown.

The weighted mean term is shown as a particular indicator of the overall mean term of a company's claims liabilities. The weights used were the proportions outstanding based on the run-off pattern, rather than the amounts outstanding at each duration. While the latter is a more usual statistic, it reflects changes in the size of the account and so such changes would have been reflected in inter-company comparisons of this statistic.

Estimation of run-off patterns

The problem of estimating run-off patterns from a set of runoff data mostly arises in the context of the estimation of outstanding claims or the validation of an outstanding claims

Claims run-off patterns 5

provision. Most statistical methods of estimating outstanding claims generate, implicitly or explicitly, an assumed run-off pattern.

We have used four estimation methods. Three are familiar in the context of outstanding claims estimation/verification: basic chain ladder, inflation adjusted chain ladder and an average claim method. The fourth (company incurred) is an ad hoc method based, inter alia, on the assumption that a company's outstanding claims estimate is correct and undiscounted.

The negative payments in the tail of the Fire risk group, together with the fact that estimates of outstandings are generally positive, makes the company incurred method unstable in the tail. The run-off patterns for the CI method for Fire therefore do not distinguish individual years at durations 5 and over (except for the aggregate). Mean terms have not been calculated since they would not be comparable with those calculated using the other methods.

Those interested in the detailed methodology should refer to the 1989 working party report.

Inflation

The index of average earnings (Department of Employment index, all employees, June value) was used in the inflation adjusted chain ladder and average claim methods for EL and motor. For the Fire risk group, the construction output index of producer prices, published in the CSO Monthly Digest of Statistics, was used. The run-off patterns shown for the IACL and AVC methods assume inflation of 8% throughout in line with our working assumption about future inflation.

6

For the IACL and AVC methods it was necessary to make an assumption about the assumptions made by companies for future inflation when setting claims reserves. We have reverted to the 8% assumption used in the 1989 report, which we believe to be a not unreasonable estimate to have made in the early part of 1990, when the 1989 returns were being finalised. Thus the 8% assumption is thought to be reasonably consistent with most companies' claims estimates.

- P H Hinton
- A J Macnair
- C A Buchanan

Peter P. H.L. andres Macroin Card Bicharan

General business: Analysis of claims by number and cost

Name of Company CO-OPERATIVE INSURANCE SOCIETY LIMITED

Financial year ended 31ST DECEMBER 1990

Country UNITED KINGDOM

Accounting class MOTOR VEHICLE

Risk group	PRIV	ATE C	AR		Company	۴	eriod er	nded	Honeya		Δ.	counting	For official
-	(COM	IPREHE	NSIV	E)	registration " number	day	month	yéar	Monétary units	Coun		class	use
				F33	3615R	31	12	1 9 90	000	#	A	2	
For direct in reinsurance		s		vear	Number of claims	p ir	imount: ayment the fir ear	s made	Amounts of ments made previous fina years relating	in ncial i	paym	ates of ents mag to be	(Total gross) amount baid and outstanding (2+3+4)
Claims aftri to year of c ended		10		9 89	1	2	-		claims in colu 3	imn 1	4		5
		ost (oth ed claim	*	11	8,884		(1	,184)	1,1	84		-	-
C'aims closed in the		e cost (cost (cost))	-	12	40,058		17	,268	20,2	56			37,524
financia) year	reapen	ed claim	s	13	975			231	9	72		-	1,203
Claims cutstanding		d (other ed claim		14	14,301		7	,142	8,6	34		24,068	39,844
at the end of the financial		d but no d (IBNR)		15	165							417	417
vear	teopen	ed claim	3	16	226			58	2	58		899	1,215
Claims clos vears lexclu claims show	iding the	se reope	ened	17	67,470				31,3	44		-	31,344
Total claims vear of orig			he	19	132,079		23	,515	62,6	48		25,384	111,547
Line 19 expr	ressed in	sterling	(£000)	29			23	,515				25,384	

Currency STERLING

	month	vear					
vear of ong ended	^{ain} 10 12 1	9 90	[]				
.	at no cost lother than reopened claims)	11	6,503		_	-	į
Claims closed in the financial year	at some cost (other than reopened claims)	12	65,011	39,534	_		39,534
	reopened claims	13	-	-			-
Ç'aims Sutstanding	reported (other than reopened claims)	14	53,852	35,711	_	52,032	87,743
at the end of the financial rtar	incurred but not reported (IBNR)	15	9,573			8,674	8,674
	reopened claims	16	-		_	_	j
vears lexclu	ed in previous financial uding those reopened wh at lines 13 and 161	17	-		-	-	
	s attributable to the pin (11 to 17)	19	134,939	75,245	_	60,706	135,951
Line 19 exp	ressed in sterling (£000)	29		75,245		60,706	

Index to Tables

RUN-OFF PATTERNS & MEAN TERMS

In the following tables "size" is the total of the claims payments included in the analyses, excluding payments relating to years of origin 1975-80 for the motor risk groups.

11.	Fire	IACL	Run-off patterns
12.			Mean terms
13.		BCL	Run-off patterns
14.			Mean terms
15.		AV CLAIM	Run-off patterns
16.			Mean terms
17.		CO INC	Run-off patterns
18.			Aggregate data
19.	EL	IACL	Run-off patterns
20.			Mean terms
21.		BCL	Run-off patterns
22.			Mean terms
23.		AV CLAIM	Run-off patterns
24.			Mean terms
25.		CO INC	Run-off patterns
26.			Mean terms
27.	COMP	IACL	Run-off patterns
28.			Mean terms
29.		BCL	Run-off patterns
30.			Mean terms
31.		AV CLAIM	Run-off patterns
32.			Mean terms
33.		CO INC	Run-off patterns
34.			Mean terms
35.	NON-COMP	IACL	Run-off patterns
36.			Mean terms
37.		BCL	Run-off patterns
38.			Mean terms
39.		AV CLAIM	Run-off patterns

Claims run-off patterns 9

40.	NON-COMP	AV CLAIM	Mean terms
41.		CO INC	Run-off patterns
42.			Mean terms

WEIGHTED MEAN TERMS

- 43. FIRE
- 44. EL
- 45. COMP
- 46. NON-COMP

Risk Group : FIRE

Method : INFLATION ADJUSTED CHAIN LADDER

Future Inflation 8.00%			RI	RUN-OFF	PATTERN	PER	MILLE				
Name	Size	0	1	7	m	4	цĊ	9	7	8	+6
	37,829 3,364	451 571	385 371	83 54	29		0 0	י ן ייין	ן 1 1	^w o	12
Commercial Union Co-operative	663,502 54.845	S C	8 4	92 67	30	15	~ r		0 0	~ 0	20
Cornhill	50,430	1	• ന	66		17	۰ ۳	• 0	9 -4"	202	, -
Eagle Star	958,607		୍ର			15	ę	2		1	9
Ecclesiastical	135,280	~	Ċ,				4	'n	3	7	32
Economic	17,631	0	Ð,			9	80	2	0	0	11
General Accident	436,147	478	408	73	25	12	2	0	0	0	7
Guardian Royal	760,536	0	φ			ഹ	2	8	•	1	2
Ins. Co. of North America	47,004	2	2	118	54	39	13	6	9	۵	വ
Ľ2	32,188	570	388	40	5	ñ	2	•	4	0	8
Legal & General	82,581	-	E	120		ი	2	2	2-2	0	18
Minster	14,683	80	0	2	47	11	18	0	7	2	9
Municipal General	76,145	o	4	31			4	-7	0	0	•
Municipal Mutual	430,038	œ	2	194	95	48	24	15	11	ਜ	ñ
National Farmers Union Mutual	119,917	617	360		2	0	-2	-1	0	0	0
Norwich Union	191,541	ŝ	4	88		ഗ	2	۳ ۱	~2	Ч	4
Provincial	63,733	H	80		26	4	8	0	-10	0	25
Prudential	156,309	0	0			ŋ	-	H	-1	1	4
Refuge	21,326	4	 N 		2	2	2	1	-5	0	e
Royal	396,310	æ	2				7	0		'n	0
Sun Alliance & London	1,567,631	503	366	77	28	12	œ	2	0	Ч	4
Wesleyan & General	21,635	LΩ –	~			വ	ŝ	9	0	4	9
TOTAL	6,339,212	479	379	84	30	13	9	Ċ	4	г	4
TOTAL based on 1988 data	5,465,711	480	378	83	30	12	ഹ	7	0	10(8+)	÷

Method : INFLATION ADJUSTED CHAIN LADDER

Risk Group : FIRE

Future Inflation 8.00%			IW	MEAN TERMS	WS					
Name	0	IJ	2	m	4	5 C	9	7	8	+6
Avon Britannic	1.49	1.29	2.15	2.82	3.07	3.20	3.02	2.6	2.23	2.00
Commercial Union	86. L	οσ	ç e		1 1	ц с •	4.0	v a	ρα	, c
	1.13	s o		r co	. e	ν IΩ	1 0	о 0 • • •	• •	9
Cornhill	1.15	8	•	. ef.	8	N.	8	8.	.	٩
Eagle Star	1.23	0	9	•	С	4	4.	2	ۍ ب	0
Ecclesiastical	1.82	1.31	2.48	4.04	5.22	4.71	4.09	3.39	2,55	2.00
	1.27	0	۰.	5	<u>ب</u>	່ທີ່	ς.	•	Q,	٩,
General Accident	1.21	æ,	Γ.	ς.	9	5	ω,	4	8	•
Guardian Royal	1.17	5	ς.	9	•1	8.	S.	0.	.4	o,
	1.73		0	8	æ		· •	6.	ŗ.	<u></u>
Ira	.97	ē	<u>ب</u>	<u>د</u>	Ň	9.	5	9	Õ.	<u></u>
Legal & General	1.46		9.	2	<u>م</u>	4	- Ó	ſ,	۰.	<u></u>
Minster	1.51	1.15	1.45	1.77	2.32	2.07	4.06	3.06	2.39	2.00
Municipal General	1.00	-	σ	4.		<u>ب</u>	ŝ	1	ł	1
	2.02	୍ତ	ഹ	j v	9.	цо ,	4	÷.	~	°.
National Farmers Union Mutual	06.	ŝ	۰.	ō,	4	φ.	σ,	5	ō	٩,
Norwich Union	1.23	.80	66.	1.55	3.39	9.41	57.43	5,93	2.66	2.00
Provincial	2	0	m	2	ی		ч.	2.	σ,	•
Prudential	1.16	φ.	Ň,	ē	Э•Э	ъ.	.,	4	4	Ŷ.
Refuge	.78	9	6	<u>ب</u>	- 60	3.3	3.3	3.0		ο.
Royal	1.11	9	S	2	4	2	φ.	5	4	۰
ĕ	1.26	1.02	1.46	1.80	2.14	2.36	3.30	3.60	2.70	2.00
Wesleyan & General	1.07	. 1	2	6.	n -	•	5.	•	٩.	•
TOTAL	1.29	1.02	1.42	1.78	2.20	2.67	3.06	3.13	2.47	2,00
TOTAL based on 1988 data	1.31	1.07	1.57	2.06	2.69	3.29	3.50	3.12	2.00(8+)	8+)

Risk Group : FIRE

Method : BASIC CHAIN LADDER

RUN-OFF PATTERN PER MILLE

			R	RUN-OFF	PATTERN	N PER	MILLE				
Name	Size	0	г	2	e	4	ß	9	7	8	+6
Avon	37,829	450	388	84	28	19	œ	، م	ى 1	ى م	12
	3,364	1	~	51	8	പ	0	7	7	0	0
Commercial Union	663,502	Q.	ത	91		15	2	٦	0	2	7
Co-operative	54,845	556	4	68	22	1	ഹ	Ч	2	0	Ċ
Cornhill	50,430	-	6	6 6		16	-3	0	Ċ	21 1	-
Eagle Star	958,607	2	୍ତ		25	14	'n	3	Ч	ч	9
Ecclesiastical	135,280	5	m		33		4	4	2	9	32
	17,631	Ō,	S.	φ	20	9	8	2	0	0	11
General Accident	436,147	482	405	73	25	11	2	0	0	0	2
Guardian Royal	760,536	ē.	9		23	2	9	2	0	Ч	2
Ins. Co. of North America	47,004	335	2		52	40	12	9	ų	4	ъ
Iron Trades Mutual	32,188	572	385	42	9		2	0	-4	0	-
Legal & General	82,581	408	-	N		6	Ч	61	-1	0	17
	14,683	388	Ô		48	12	19	0	I	2	9
Municipal General	76,145	597	4	N.			Ċ	9 I	•	0	0
Municipal Mutual	430,038	288	- Ñ			46	23	14	10	1	4
National Farmers Union Mutual	119,917	608	ø	N	7	0		7	0	0	0
Norwich Union	191,541	435	450	89	18	ខ	2	- 2	- 2	1	4
Provincial	63,733	509	æ		25	۳	2	٦	89 1	0	24
Prudential	156,309	504	Ó.			4	ч	-1	1	-	4
Refuge	21,326	ം	2		-	3	2	Ч	-4	0	2
Royal	396,310	ω	2				ï	0	с Г	2	0
ğ	1,567,631	506	366	76	27	11	œ	7	0	г	4
Wesleyan & General	21,635	ഹ	9			വ	9	9	0	4	9
TOTAL	6,339,212	482	379	84	29	12	9	~	I	I	4
TOTAL based on 1988 data	5,465,711	486	379	80	29	11	2	2	0	6(8+)	~

Method : BASIC CHAIN LADDER

MEAN TERMS

			E	MEAN TERMS	ស៊ី					
Name	0		2	Ċ	4	ى ە	9	7	8	+6
Avon	1.47	1.27	2.11		3.12		[• − €	2.69	2.30	2.00
Britannic	66.	ø	ŝ	с.	5		4	5	ė,	•
Commercial Union	1.27	σ	2	4	Ŷ	-	2	σ,	σ	٩.
Co-operative	1.13	.91		1.81	÷.	5	3.34	5	٩	•
Cornhill	1.14	8	0		ά.	8.	8	۰.	5	°.
Eagle Star	1.22	0,	• ت	6.	4	• •	ۍ ۲		<u>ں</u>	•
Ecclesiastical	1.82		4	•	2	9	Ō,	4.	ۍ ۱	٩.
Economic	1.26	۰.	6	8	ۍ.	4	۳,	•	٩.	٩,
General Accident	1.20	.86	1.14	1.29	1.62	3.72	4.68	4.40	2.81	2.00
Guardian Royal	1.16		Ň	ē	23	ō.	9.	2	4	°.
Ins. Co. of North America	1.71	n n n	~	.8	5	7	۰.	•	ŝ	਼
Lra	.97	Ú.	4	4	\$	6	ω		0	
Legal & General	1.46	-	ŝ	2	4.	4	5	۳.	•	٩,
	1.52	1.16	1.47	1.76	2.28	2.02	4.14	Ļ	2.46	•
Municipal General	66.	5	on –	4	Ŷ.	<u>ں</u>	വ		1	
Municipal Mutual	2.00	φ	- IO	ິ ເ	۰ ف	.6	4	4.	Б.	•
National Farmers Union Mutual	.91	ŝ	2	?	r.	<u>م</u>	ō,	ц,	ē	۰.
Norwich Union	1.23	.80	.97	1.61	3.38	8.04	23.35	5.72	2.68	2.00
Provincial	1.29	Ē	Ċ,	Ň	œ	9	6.5	5	ġ,	<u>.</u>
<i>Prudential</i>	1,15	80	- 1	•	Ϋ́.	ۍ ۱	÷,	4	ښ •	•
Refuge	.78	9	თ	<u>ب</u>	0	Ю	3.8	3.6	0	•
Royal	1.11	9	ŝ		2	5	8	4.	ß	•
Sun Alliance & London	1.24	1.00	1.44	1.79	2.13	2.35	3.32	3.54	2.72	2.00
Wesleyan ƙ General	1.07			6	θ .	æ	5		.1	•
TOTAL	1.28	1.01	1.40	1.77	2.22	2.68	3.10	3.16	2.51	2.00
TOTAL based on 1988 data	1.29	1.03	1.53	2.04	2.70	3.30	3.52	3.11	2.00(8+)	(+8

Risk Group : FIRE

Risk Group : FIRE

Future Inflation 8.00%			Rt	RUN-OFF	PATTERN	N PER	MILLE				
Name	Size	0	-1	8	e	4	2	9	7	60	+6
Avon	37,829	J LO		84	31	18	10	ور	י פו	م	14
	3,364	5	9	58	2	2	•	-1 1	-2	0	
Commercial Union	663,502	470	387	92	30	15	0	1	0	2	2
Co-operative	54,845	4	ŝ	70	21	0	9		~	0	4
Cornhill	50,430	—	60	66	18	18	- 4	0	e	-2	ч
Eagle Star	958,607	2	୍ତ	65	25	14	e	8	-	-1	ß
Ecclesiastical	135,280	5	Ē	110	31	5	4	~	2	ഹ	28
Economic	17,631	<u>_</u>	80	57	21	ŝ	9	1	0	0	7
General Accident	436,147	471	413	73	26	12	7	0	1	0	'n
Guardian Royal	760,536	2	۰.	67	24		9	2	0	H	3
Ins. Co. of North America	47,004	ŝ	с Ö		41	21	¢	9	ú	e	2
Iron Trades Mutual	32,188	542	404	52	9	ñ	2	0	- 4	0	2
Legal & General	82,581	N	N	0	20	8	-	Ч	[]	0	12
Minster	14,683	5	Ó		56		20	0	~	~	9
Municipal General	76,145	9	4		28	10	ŝ	-7	0	0	0
Municipal Mutual	430,038	~	. .		94	49	22	15	11	~	e
National Farmers Union Mutual	119,917	622	357	22	2	0	-2	Ĩ	0	0	0
Norwich Union	191,541	4	4			9	2	27 1	-2	1	4
Provincial	63,733	Ы	8		26	-4	2	0		0	27
Prudential	156,309	0	0			4	4	-	ri I	I	n
Refuge	21,326	ഹ	- Ċ	18	1	I	1	IJ	~ 5	0	2
Royal	396,310	5	-	85	14	-4	-2	0	٣	2	•
	1,567,631	507	362	78	28	11	7	2	0	~ 4	4
Wesleyan & General	21,635	LΩ –	5	37	13	Ŋ	m	S	0	4	4
TOTAL	6,339,212	481	378	85	30	13	ß	~	ľ	r.	4
TOTAL based on 1988 data	5,465,711	481	379	82	30	12	ŝ	3	0	10(8+)	÷

Method : AVERAGE CLAIM

Risk Group : FIRE

Future Inflation 8.00%			W	MEAN TERMS	SM					
Name	0	1	7	£	4	ŝ	Q	7	æ	+6
Avon Britannic	1.53	1.37	2.24	2.86	3.07 -17.33	3.16 1.13	3.03	2.61	2.33	2.00
Commercial Union	1.27	¢Ω,	. –	1.4	1.7	6,	: -:	2.7		20
Co-operative	1.15			°,	ŗ,	5	4	ω,	٩,	٩,
Cornhill	1.14	æ	•	н •	÷.	4.	•	~	9	۰.
Eagle Star	1.22	۰.	ۍ ۲	<u>ь</u> ,	С	с	4.	N.	<u>و</u>	٩.
Ecclesiastical	1.76	2	.	٥.	.1	5	-	.4	9	۰.
	1.19	¢,	•	۳.	ų.	2,	÷.	٩,	٩.	•
General Accident	1.22	.86	1.16	1.30	1.60	3.95	5.39	4.58	2.80	2.00
Guardian Royal	1.16	σ.	N.	ŝ.	.1	ω.	<u>م</u>	6,	ų.	•
Ins. Co. of North America	1.54	Ч.	- 4	Ŀ.	8	•	5	. 4	4.	•
Iron Trades Mutual	1.01	9	4.	-	4.	4.	5	9.	°.	٩.
Legal & General	1.36	1.00	1.44	2.92	4.31	5.69	5.11	4.46	3.03	2.00
Minster	1.54	ч.	4	Ý	0	8	Ļ	-	ۍ.	٩.
Municipal General	1.07	°.	8	4		е,	ıñ.	1	1	
l Mutual	2.03	9.	ഹ	9.	9.	.6	4	, ų	~	•
National Farmers Union Mutual	.89	ഹ	•	4.	4.	<u>م</u>	5	ω.	•	•
Norwich Union	1.23	.80	.97	1.43	3.05	9.79	328.44	6.22	2.65	2.00
Provincial	1.28	Ĥ.	4	4.	\$	•	8.0	6	6	٩.
Prudential	1.15	ω.	.1	8	3.1	• 4	- 2	in .	<u>،</u>	•
Refuge	.78	9	æ		2	÷.	3.1	3.0	00.0	्
Royal	1.11	é.	ß	г.	m	æ.	•	æ,	9	਼
ŭ	٠	1.01	1.41	1.74	2.10	2.42	3.42	3.88	2.70	2.00
Wesleyan & General	1.02	•	۰	~	2	•	9.	8.	ω.	٩.
TOTAL	1.29	1.02	1.39	1.74	2.19	2.74	3.14	3.20	2.46	2.00
TOTAL based on 1988 data	1.31	1.05	1.55	2.04	2.70	3.34	3.54	3.12	2.00(8+)	(+)

Method : AVERAGE CLAIM

Method: COMPANY INCURRED

RUN-OFF PATTERN PER MILLE

17 33 30

50

5

¢

19 18 18

29 16

15 17 17 6 1333 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 26 18 -2 4 17 42 26 23 23 30 17 27 27 132400 Ċ 29 33 31 m 82 48 99 78 68 68 119 80 71 115 92 115 113 196 21 97 61 74 61 N 54 359 379 360 385 399 362 385 323 336 417 372 358 527 398 448 413 346 388 387 411 Ч 265 637 0 450 564 454 500 480 513 272 496 445 519 293 473 408 390 573 414 498 494 Size 135,280 17,631 436,147 760,536 37,829 54,845 3,364 663,502 50,430 958,607 47,004 32,188 14,683 76,145 430,038 119,917 63,733 156,309 82,581 191,541 Ins. Co. of North Americ National Farmers Union M Iron Trades Mutual Municipal General Commercial Union General Accident Municipal Mutual Legal & General Ecclesiastical Guardian Royal Norwich Union Co-operative Provincial Eagle Star Prudential Britannic Economic Cornhill Minster Name Avon

-28 -28 58 0

19

2 E 9

14 14 14

σ Φ

4

27 90 85 40 250 414 365 280 706 475 488 647 396,310 1,567,631 21,635 21,326 Sun Alliance & London Wesleyan & General Refuge Royal

Risk Group : FIRE

Risk Group : FIRE							Me	Methoa:	COMPANI INCORNED	n nur	MANN
		RI	RUN-OFF	PATTEF	PATTERN PER	MILLE					
Name	Size	0	1	5	3	4	<u>م</u>	9	- <u>-</u>	8	+6
TOTAL	6,339,212	465	375	06	33	14	80	4	2	'n	2
TOTAL based on 1988 data 5,465,711	1 5,465,711	472	373	84	32	15	7	4	-	14	(8+)
Risk Group : FIRE							Ŭ	Method:	COMPANY		INCURRED
			W	MEAN TEI	TERMS						
Name	Size	0	1	3	۴	4	5	9	-	8	+6
TOTAL	6,339,212	1.38	1.15	1.66	2.13	2.52	2.77	2.93	2.90	2.29	2.00
TOTAL based on 1988 data 5,465,71	a 5,465,711	1.38	1.17	1.79	2.31	2.78	3.23	3.36	3.20	2.00	(8+)

Method: COMPANY INCURRED

Risk Group : EMPLOYERS LIABILITY

Method : INFLATION ADJUSTED CHAIN LADDER

RUN-OFF PATTERN PER MILLE

				24	RUN-OFF	F PAT	PATTERN	PER	MILLE	មា							
Name	Size	0	Ч	3	£	4	ഹ	9	7	æ	თ	10	11			14	15+
Avon Commercial Union	4,543 123 666	20	127	172	234	139	211	57	 02 02	ן הע	(l Lou	، ا ٥ د	0			0-
Co-operative	16.472	1 U 0 C	143	iα		ታር			0 7 7 7	o -						.	1 66
Cornhill	22,879	23	151	2	- O) n	261	52	27) m	12
Eagle Star	427,510	22	160	S.	9	2	87	មិន	39	ŝ	5					13	48
General Accident	118,810	29	168	3	5	4	88		36		4	15	4	4	7	Ċ	18
Guardian Royal	194,788	4 0	200	204	161	138	92	52	37	24	11	6	4	9	7	7	14
		19	138	2	S	Ċ,	98		80		16		o	1	-	'n	7
Iron Trades Employers		52	206	σ	ō	2	77		37		18	13	13	4	7	4	10
Legal & General		18	119	σ	5	ŝ	87		48		12		10	ŝ	8	20	53
Norvich Union	43,002	19	131	െ	0	. ന	94				2	11	Г	7	ى ك	Ċ	46
Orion	6,880	12	114	6	8	4	96				ഹ		4	2	0	0	æ
Pearl	6,716	17	66	-	8	5	121	4	(m		20		0	ب م	14	16	2
Provincial	17,536	19	157	240	195	128	97	53	29	24	23	11	Ċ	ო	2	0	17
Prudential	35,344	43	140	0	¢	4	87				4		7	3	7	0	9
Royal	60,618	30	157	7		-			30	19	20	11	4	4	15	24	
Sun Alliance & London		16	118	165	169	132	98	65	41	28	18	9	2	ი	4	4	121
Wesleyan & General	136	44	195	9		9	0		o	0	0	0	•	¢	0	0	0
TOTAL	1,548,383	32	168	198	172	131	68	57	38	25	16	11	6	9	9	2	36
TOTAL of 1988	1,366,985	33	174	205	177	132	88	56	36	24	15	10	9	9	£	33(14+)	(++)

LIABILITY
EMPLOYERS
••
Group
Risk

Method : INFLATION ADJUSTED CHAIN LADDER

MEAN TERMS

				£	MEAN T	TERMS										
Name	0	1	7	e	4	ŝ	9	7	œ	თ	10	11	12	13	14	15+
	3.92	2.99	•	1 0	1.52		ု ္	1	(v	[võ						1
Comm. Union	3.57	2.75	٠	.01	1.82	5	.70	.71	•66	ŝ	φ.	5	9.	•	ō,	٩.
Co-operative	4.55	3.70	•	.05	3.02	2	.73	.35	.19	ښ.	<u>ب</u>	4	4	٩.	۰	•
Cornhill	4.13	3.22	2.72	2.54	2.58	2.63	2.86	2.90	2.63	3.41	3.88	7.21	6.29	5.40	4.71	4.00
Eagle Star	5.06	4.16	٠	.92	4.18	ۍ ۲	.02	.35	.63	ۍ ۲	Ε.	6.	.6	2	•	•
Gen. Accident	4.17	3.28	2.86	.77.	.78	Ō.	.40	.70	.13	4.	<u>ں</u>	4.	.1	Ġ,		•
Guardian Royal	3.98	3.14	2.84	2.70		2.76	3.05	3.26	3.68	4.29	4.46	4.69	4.47	4.93	4.50	4.00
Trades	4.6	3.68	3.20	.92	.64	4	.06	.75	.61	Ċ,	٩	•	°,	~	ŝ	਼
Iron Trades Emp.	4.0	3.21	2.97	.85	.87	ō,	.02	.08	E1.	5	5.	4	۳.	4	8,	?
Legal & General	5.1	4.21	3.73	•69	. 85	ė.	.77	.69	.71	ъ.	ς.	ο,	Ŷ.	ς.	5	•
Norwich Union	5	æ,	.	26	.50	τ. Φ	• 29	. 93	.81	. ი	9	с С	•	.2	5	•
Orion	4.42	3.47	2.86	2.54		2.13	1.78	80	m	5.20	5.84	5.09	5.97	6.00	5.00	4.00
	4.	<u>ں</u>	00	99	.48	9	.05	.86	.77	ч.	'n	2	2	2	8,	•
Provincial	4.16	2	~	67	.75	æ,	. 22	.53	.58	8	٥.	۰,	9	4.	<u>°</u>	?
Prudential	۰	•	ഹ	17	.02	8	.57	£,	σ.	e	ē.	.53	4.	6,	0	਼
Royal	0	г.	•	÷.	.81	4.	.01	.54	.54	2	•	4	9.	•	5	•
anc	6.12	5.21	4.85	4.87	5.27	5.84	6.57	7.24	7.64	7.83	7.70	7.00	6.31	5.69	4.87	4.00
Wesleyan & Gen.	ω		÷.	æ.	.06	Ū,	Û.	1	t i				1		1	
TOTAL	4.60	3.74	3.42	3.38	3.54	3.88	4.31	4.73	5.14	5.43	5.49	5.36	5.13	4.74	4.30	4.00
1988 TOTAL	4.39	3.52	3.18	3.11	3.23	3.53	3.92	4.32	4.72	5.04	5.12	5.06	4.67	4.38	4.00(14	14+)

Risk Group : EMPLOYERS LIABILITY

Method: BASIC CHAIN LADDER

RUN-OFF PATTERN PER MILLE

				4	KUN-UFF		PATTERN	Y I Y	MILLE	ъį							
Name	Size	0	1	2	e	4	2	9	2	8	თ	10	11	12	13	14	15+
Avon Commercial Union	4,543	1 8 47	123	172	245	148	198	20 20	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	121	 -	 0 4	00	, • • -	0~		0-
Co-operative	16,472	34	136		10	n iù	\circ				13	, 01		12 12	•0	0	
Cornhill	22,879	21	140		¢,	(m	0					22		0	Ч	-	15
Eagle Star	427,510	20	151		9	N						13		14	14	15	
General Accident	118,810	29	163	220	5	4	06		39				4	4	8	4	
Guardian Royal	194,788	44	194	198	ŝ	c	94		40			10	പ	7	2	2	15
Iron Trades Mutual	35,294	17	128	164	144	134	100	16	63	63	17	Q	0	٦	٦	4	8
Iron Trades Employers		52	194	185	Q	N	81		40			15	17	ۍ	2	ഹ	12
Legal & General		17	611	196	~	4	88		51					<u>ا</u> ت	8	24	
Norwich Union	43,002	18	125		6	ŝ					œ	12	-	2	9	'n	53
Orion	6,880	12	116		ъ,	â	0				s		4	7	¢	0	
Pearl	6,716	16	97		5	~						10	0	٦	16	20	-1
Provincial	17,536	17	148	228	195	133	101	54	32	25	26	13	m	4	2	0	20
Prudential	35,344	40	134		0	4					പ	23	Ч	2	80	0	
Royal	60,618	29	147	φ.		T,	78		30	20	22	13	4	4	17	28	128
		15	108	154	162	128	100	68	43	30	20	٢	~	10	ഗ	4	Ċ,
Wesleyan & General	136	48	193	α	ō.	Ċ			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1,548,383	31	159	189	168	131	16	60	41	27	17	12	10	7	7	8	41
TOTAL of 1988 data	1,366,985	31	166	197	173	132	16	59	39	26	16	12	2	8	9	37(14+)	4+)

Risk Group : EMPLOYERS LIABILITY

Method: BASIC CHAIN LADDER

MEAN TERMS

				4	MEAN TEM	ERGS										
Name	0	7	8	£	4	ۍ	9	7	80	σ	10	11	12	13	14	15+
Avon Comm. Union	3.92 3.68	2.98 2.84	2.34	1.80	1.52 1.87	0.	1.11	.78	.49	60	9.00 1.95	8.00 2.88	7.00	6.00 4.06	5.00	4.00
Co-operative	4.68	3.83	3.38	3.19	3.15		œ	c	18	4	4	è.	с .	•	00.	•
<u>i</u>]]	4.32	3.41	2.89	2.71	5	5	9	•	.74	÷.	8,	2	e,	4.	.70	°,
Eagle Star	5.30	4.40	4.11	4.15	4.39	5	.1	4	.72	9.	.4	6	Ŷ,	2	.02	٩,
Gen. Accident	4.28	3,39	2.98	2.90	2.92	-	ن •	.78	.22	4	9	4	Ţ	8	.25	•
dian Roy	4.08	3.24	2.94	2.80	2.69	2.84	3.12	3.31	3.72	4.28	4.38	4.58	4.34	4.82	4.43	4.00
Ē	4.80	3.88	3.38	3.07	2.75	4	-	.75	.65	ເກ ເ	-	•	•	2	.56	ç
Η.	4.20	3.40	3.15	3.02	3.02	õ		.15	.17	2	Ň	N,	Ň	÷	.75	•
Legal & General	5.28	4.36	3.89	3.89	4.06	លី	6	.78	.81	9.	÷.	<u>б</u> .	.6	۳ .	.71	•
Norwich Union	5.00	4.08	3.60	3.50	3.73	°.	Ϋ́.	•	00.	-	1	œ.	°,	2.		਼
Orion		3.39	2.78	2.45	2.25	2.13	1.90	1.71	3.23	5.30	5.93	5.17	6.05	6.00	5.00	4.00
		3.63	2.98	2.78	2.58			6	. 85	.1	۳.	Ч.	Ч.	5	•	?
Provincial		3.38	2.89	2.78	2.86	φ	ņ	9	.69	8.	6,	۰.	• 6	4.		?
Prudential		3.12	2.55	2.21	2.04	8	ŝ	ς.	8	2	. 82	"	2	5.	•	۰
Roval	6.38	C	•	5 68	6 13 6	1	Ċ	57	09	ç	c		ų	r	Ċ	9
ance	6.49	5.58	5.21	5.21	5.58	6.08	6.76	7.38	7.72	7.86	7.71	7.00	6.31	5.68	4.86	4.00
Wesleyan & Gen.	3.54	~	2	1.82	1.09	ĺΩ.	ц П	1							l i	
TOTAL	4.81	3.95	3.63	3.58	3.73	4.04	4.45	4.85	5.23	5.47	5.49	5.33	5.10	4.70	4.26	4.00
1988 TOTAL	4.56	3.69	3.35	3.28	3.39	3.66	4.03	4.42	4.77	5.05	5.10	5.02	4.63	4.35	4.00(14+)	14+)

LIABILITY
EMPLOYERS
••
Group
Risk

RUN-OFF PATTERN PER MILLE

				24	UN-OF	F PAT	TERN]	RUN-OFF PATTERN PER MILLE	LLE								
Name	Size	•	Ч	3	Ē	4	ß	9	2	80	ማ	10	11	12	13	14	15+
Avon	4,543	24	128	172	226	127	234	61	23			0	ļ	t	، ۹		0
Commercial Union	123,655	4	157	0	S.	ŝ	109					9		٦	г	0	
Co-operative	16,472	32	132	œ	SO.	4	118					11		12	0	0	
	22,879	23	151	2	0	ŝ	97	54	28	36	18	19	0	0	-	Ч	10
Eagle Star	427,510	20	151	α0	œ.	2	16					12		13	13	14	
General Accident	118,810	28	166	N	8	ı۵					12	12	'n	e	J	e	14
Guardian Royal	194,788	43	200	0	φ	ŝ					10	~	ŝ	ഗ	2	Ч	11
		17	130	~	ŝ	e					19	ഹ	0	ч	Ч	4	80
Iron Trades Employers		49	197	191	164	123	81	58	39	29	20	14	15	4	2	4	10
Legal & General		17	125	0	2	ŝ					13	6	10	4-	7	19	51
Norwich Union	43,002	18	132	0	0	ŝ			48	31	2	11	Ч	2	ഹ	ŝ	43
Orion	6,880	12	108	Q	ŝ	-			251	40	2	0	1	-	0	0	9
Pearl	6,716	17	117	N	60	8			ŝ	E E		9	0	Ч	12	11	Ċ
Provincial	17,536	17	148	230	197	135	103	54	31	26	22	10	e	Ē	2	0	17
Prudential	35,344	53	146	-	0	4			36	34	4	15	1	~	9	•	ŝ
Royal	60,618	31	159	~		н			30	19		11	4	4	15	23	112
and		19	135	183	181	137	98	61	36	21	13	4	വ	2	ų	e	63
Wesleyan & General	136	90	162	~		2	•		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1,548,383	31	163	196	173	134	16	59	39	25	16	11	8	9	9	7	35
TOTAL of 1988 data	1,366,985	31	170	204	179	134	06	57	37	24	14	10	9	9	9	33(14+)	4+)

Method : AVERAGE CLAIM

LIABILITY
EMPLOYERS
••
Group
Risk

Method : AVERAGE CLAIM

MEAN TERMS

				•	t											
Мате	0		2	Ċ	4	ß	9	7	œ	6	10	11	12	13	14	15+
	3.90	2.98	2.36		1.50	.89	. 89		. 67	م ا		s				
Comm. Union	3.79	2.95	2.43	3		5	۲.	1.71	•	1.53	•	۰.	5		Ō,	4.00
Co-operative	4.80	σ.	3.49	e.	ų.	ئ	ч.	σ,	e,	٩,	5	9.	ŝ	•	•	•
ihi	4.10	~~	2.68	2	~		7	5		٩.	ŝ	Ň		5.38	4.70	0
Eagle Star	5.17	4.26	3.94	e.	4.	5	6.	• 2	5	ŝ					•	٩.
Gen. Accident	4.04	3.14	2.68	8	8	5	.1	4.	6.	2	4	.4	.,	¢,	5.	۰,
_	3.88	3.03	2.70	~	2.4	ĥ	æ,	0	ŝ	2	4	5	ۍ ۱	6	4	۰
Iron Trades Mut	4.70	3.77	3.27	2.99	2.71	2.45	2.13	1.85	1.69	3.32	5.13	5.89	4.87	4.16	3.55	4.00
Tra	4.12	3.31	3.04	2	2.8	٩	6	•	۰.	-	۲,	2	e.	ц.	5	•
Legal & General	5.07	4.15	3.69		3.8	е.	۲.	ē	•	4	۳.	،	9	.	5	٩.
Norwich Union	4.74	3.82	3.34	е	, u	5	-		<u>ب</u>	6	9.	8.	0	4	5	•
Orion	4.89	3.95	3.37	3.0	2.72	2.29	1.65		2.01	6.69	7.10	6.29	6.28	6.00	5.00	4.00
Pearl	4.30	3.37	2.76	2.5	2.33	ۍ ب	ġ,	æ,	5	÷,	4.	4.	4	5	4.	٩.
Provincial	4.22	3.29	2.79		2.70	5	÷,		5	ē,	٩.	6,	ي. •	4	•	•
Prudential	3.79	2.98	2.43	2.1	1.99	æ .	ц,	ų.	ω,	4.	. ک	.70	.43	5	•	۰.
Royal	5.97	5.15	5.06	5.32	5.79	ŗ.	۰ م	ھ •	ۍ. ت	÷.	ō,	4.	9	· ·	2	۰.
Sun Alliance	5.47	4.56	4.21	4.24	4.66	5.31	6.21	7.09	7.70	7.89	7.73	7.02	6.31	5.69	4.86	4.00
Wesleyan & Gen.	3.68	2.78	2.23	1.71	.92	Ū,	ŝ					ŧ.			1	
TOTAL	4.62	3.75	3.41	3.35	3.48	3.80	4.23	4.67	5.11	5.41	5.49	5.34	5.11	4.71	4.27	4.00
1988 TOTAL	4.40	3.53	3.17	3.09	3.20	3.48	3.87	4.30	4.70	5.04	5.12	5.04	4.64	4.35	4.00(00(14+)

LIABILITY
EMPLOYERS
••
Group
Risk

Method : COMPANY INCURRED

				æ	RUN-OFF		PATTERN	PER MILLE	ILLE								
Name	Size	0	ri -	8	e	4	ß	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15+
Avon Commercial Union	4,543 123 666	24	134	179	185 185	116	166	83	46	29	(21	4 r 	4 c	4° (4 C	4	4 4
Co-operative	16,472	31.6	128		¢ο	ר לי	10		1 4		~ 4		10	م ہ	• 0	0	- 2 E
Cornhill	22,879	21	135	5	æ	2	ര		27		8	19	Ч	8	Ċ	9	69
Eagle Star	427,510	20	149	8	9	\mathbf{N}			46		0		13	13	11	10	
General Accident	118,810	25	152		1	S			38		9	17	4	4	2	4	17
Guardian Royal	194,788	43	194	0	162	133	63	ഥ ഗ	39	26	13	10	9	7	4	٦ ا	
Iron Trades Mutual	35,294	15	110		2	0			48		ഹ	0		11	11	17	
Iron Trades Employers	285,554	47	184	0	S	Η			43		e	9	15	თ	ഹ		
Legal & General	23,105	16	112	α	Ň	Ū.			58		ц С	0		4-	Q		
Norwich Union	43,002	18	122	ര	9	- m	95 9				σ	13	, ,	2	¢	Ċ	54
Orion	6,880	13	119	188	5	N	16				4		e	7	4	4	
Pearl	6,716	16	95	-	8	Ó	H	4					0	Ч	10	2	
Provincial	17,536	16	136	209	182	130	110	62	43	36	25	15	ഹ	4	2	0	26
Prudential	35,344	45	124	178	æ	e co	8						92 -	54	114	0	
Royal	60,618	26	139	9		-	82		32			12	പ	4	17	24	130
Sun Alliance & London	125,541	16	113	161	165	133	103	70	44	29	19	9	2	8	4	e	2
Wesleyan & General	136	56	242	0		80	0		0		0	o	9	9	9	ŝ	φ
TOTAL	1,548,383	29	153	184	164	130	63	63	4 3	29	19	13	10	8	~	8	47
TOTAL of 1988 data	1,366,985	30	159	191	170	133	94	53	44	29	18	13	8	8	9	43(14+)	(++)

LIABILITY
EMPLOYERS
••
k Group
Risk

Method : COMPANY INCURRED

MEAN TERMS

Name	0	7	8	ŝ	4	ŝ	Q	7	83	6				13	14	15+
Avon Comm. Union Co-operative Cornhill Eagle Star	4.33 3.93 4.78 5.22 5.23	3.43 3.09 3.92 4.32 4.32	2.90 2.62 3.44 3.93 4.01	2.55 2.31 3.18 3.96 4.00	2.34 2.10 3.05 4.32 4.18	1.94 1.99 3.11 4.70	2.17 2.02 3.50 5.37 4.87	2.41 2.08 4.00 5.76 5.20	2.73 2.19 4.58 5.62 5.55	3.45 2.26 5.08 5.58	3.58 3.07 5.10 6.78 5.48	3.20 4.04 5.44 7.22 5.13	2.88 3.97 5.62 6.30 4.81	2.67 4.39 6.00 5.42 4.56	2.75 5.00 4.65 4.26	4.00
Gen. Accident Guardian Royal Iron Trades Mut Iron Trades Emp Legal & General	4.33 4.08 7.05 4.56 5.21	3.43 3.24 6.15 3.76 4.29	2.97 2.93 5.86 3.54 3.77	2.80 2.82 5.91 3.44 3.67	2.72 2.76 6.04 3.72	2.91 2.89 6.23 3.55 4.05	3.17 3.18 6.34 3.61 4.28	3.48 3.48 6.45 3.71 5.15	3.80 3.87 6.41 6.33	4.01 4.52 7.60 3.83 6.39	4.21 4.83 7.51 3.84 6.40	5.30 5.19 6.90 6.16	5.05 5.25 5.86 6.04	4.82 6.00 5.21 3.71 4.74	4.22 6.80 4.53 3.22 4.12	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00
Norwich Union Orion Pearl Provincial Prudential	5.04 4.51 4.63 4.61 4.61	4.12 3.57 3.69 3.68 4.10	3.64 2.99 3.04 3.19 3.64	3.53 2.68 3.07 3.50	3.75 2.45 2.68 3.69 3.65	4.04 2.12 3.12 3.12 3.91	4.41 1.64 2.97 3.44 4.02	4.86 1.42 3.69 4.50	5.64 2.75 3.75 4.66	6.95 3.47 55 55	6.71 4.44 5.138 5.13 4.94	6.98 3.62 4.78 5.94 33.39	6.13 3.31 3.78 5.73 -5.26	5.35 2.67 2.89 5.55 -18.36	4.79 2.75 3.27 5.00 5.00	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 00 00
Royal Sun Alliance Wesleyan & Gen.	6.40 6.15 3.56	5.56 5.24 2.74	5.41 4.86 2.52	5.63 4.84 2.34	6.07 5.16 1.72	6.63 5.65 3.36	7.16 6.36 2.36	7.63 7.08 7.20	7.56 7.56 6.20	7.29 7.78 5.20	7.10 7.70 4.20	6.56 7.02 3.20	5.71 6.34 2.88	4.84 5.73 2.67	4.31 4.89 2.75	4.00 4.00 4.00
TOTAL 1988 TOTAL	5.00	4.13 3.85	3.81 3.51	3.77 3.44	3.91 3.55	4.21 3.84	4.59 4.26	4.98	5.34 4.83	5.59 5.16	5.62 5.23	5.46 5.15	5.19 4.76	4.80 4.44	4.35 4.00(4.00 (14+)

Risk Group : MOTOR - COMPREHENSIVE

Future Inflation 8.00%

RIN-OFF DATTERN DER MILLE

Method : INFLATION ADJUSTED CHAIN LADDER

Future Inflation 8.00%				RU	RUN-OFF	PATTERN		PER MILLE	LE						
NAME	SIZE	0	г	2	Ċ	4	ស	Q	7	8	6	10	11	12 1	3+
Avon	54,767	620	239				18 18		 0	4	 ~	5	 	-	-
	16,841	624	234				ŝ		~	9	4	2	~	0	0
Commercial Union	378,138	647	226				19	10	ß	2	-1	0	0	0	0
Co-operative	414,231	609	219	36	36	27	21	16	10	თ	4	m	ï	Q	m
Cornhill	310,151	614	228				24	10	9	ъ	÷	9	•	•	Ċ
Eagle Star *	527,395	626	238						7	4	2	2	0	0	0
General Accident	850,645	622	238					12	8	9	4	2	2	Ļ,	Ч
Guardian Royal	698,661	634	238					8	4	8	-1	ч	0	•	0
EH.	229,958	637	228	34	30	20	17	2	10	9	1	പ	9	0	0
Legal & General	101,594	588	250					15		4	3	ഹ	0	0	Ŧ,
London & Edinburgh		590	250		47			13	80	2	'n	m	0	0	0
Nat. Farmers Union Mut.		601	219					20	12	80	ഗ	0	Ч	0	0
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee	a 229,879	616	254	38	33	22	19	8	S	Ċ	Ч	0	0	0	0
Norman *	36,086	621	229					8	e	7	2	0	0	•	0
Norwich Union *	593,384	586	279					6	2	ഹ		0	0	0	0
Pearl	63,435	559	238					11	4	H	Ч	0	J	0	0
Provincial	226,910	627	236	39	34	24	12	11	9	9		Ċ	0	0	0
Prudential	345,982	627	233						2	4	'n	m	m	0	4
Royal *	565,899	620	236					14	9	4	ч	0	Ч	-	
Sun Alliance & London	818,264	631	245	40				æ	4	e.	-1	٦	0	0	-1
Wesleyan & General	10,348	550	193	35	32	49	74	28	20	0	4	0	15	0	0
TOTAL	6,785,929	620	239	38	32	24	18	11	9	4	2	2	-	Ч	Ч
TOTAL of 1988 data	5,672,884	623	240	39	33	24	16	10	9	4	2	٦	1	2(12+	2+)
	* For these	companie	anies	separate		Non-comp		data were		available	Ð				

Risk Group : MOTOR - COMPREHENSIVE

Method : INFLATION ADJUSTED CHAIN LADDER

Future Inflation 8.00%				W	MEAN TE	TERMS								
NAME	0	1	2	£	4	ß	ę	7	8	6	10	11	12	13+
Avon		പ	2.61	2.40	Ň	1.	2	5	[⁷	<u>۳</u>		2.27	2.11	2.00
	m	0	2.70	2.54	5	٩.	4.	Ч.	æ,	۳.		. 50		1
Commercial Union	_	-	2.29	2.00	φ	4.	.	2	2	ς,	2	.		1 1
Co-operative			3.29	3.04	2.95	2.87	2.84	2.89	2.79	2.92	2.61	2.19	43	
Cornhill	_	ю	2.81	2.61	ю •	ς.	Ľ.		<u>ں</u>	Ε.	8.	ŝ	.87	
Eagle Star *	1.27	1.57	2.44	.2	•	6.	ά α	5	. 6	4	•	•	8.	Ó.
General Accident	1.35	1.75	2.87		ц,	ς,	ς.	ч.	- -	.1	.1	5	•	۰.
	1.20	1.40	2.07		9	.4	ĥ	പ്	4	8,	.1	5	æ.	۰.
Tr	1.33	1.79	2.95	2.76	2.71	2.59	2,68	2.22	2.15	2.00	1.08	.54	3.00	2.00
Legal & General	1.47	1.85	2.92		2	æ	°.	6.	÷.	•	÷.	9	•	•
London & Edinburgh	1.37	1.62	2.38			۲.	9,	• •	8.	2	•	਼	•	•
Б Г		.99	2.79			ω.	ŝ	Ĵ	H	۰.	σ			
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee	1.23	.40	2.18	1.88	1.65	1.37	1,35	1.11	.84	1.07	.84	ч.	. 50	1
Norman *	1.28	.56	2.19		5	ý	5	4	5	ıΩ.				
Norwich Union *	1.28	• 39	2.26		5	5	.4	- 1		ŝ		•	1	
Pearl			2.19	1.79	2			. 6	Õ	<u>ہ</u>		•	Ō.	°,
Provincial	1.27		2.42	2.19	2.09	2.08	1.78	1.64	1.26	1.44		1.30	1.88	2.00
Prudential			3.01	2.89	5	æ.	٩,		Ļ,	•	8	9	σ,	•
Royal *			2.43		6.	e,	9	6	9	8	ŝ	8	4.	਼
Sun Alliance & London			2.13		8		5		ŝ	۰ ع	2	.1	•	•
Wesleyan & General	1.94	2.69	3.34	2.78	2.16	1.73	2.07	2.22	3.01	2.07	1.48	.50	 	1
TOTAL	1.32	1.65	2.61	2.39	2.26	2.16	2.20	2.26	2.28	2.37	2.23	2.27	2.11	2.00
TOTAL of 1988 data	1.28	1.58	2.48	2.27	2.17	2.12	2.11	2.16	2.15	2.26	2.18	2.16	2.00(12+)	12+)
	* For	these	companie	ល	separate		Non-comp	data w	were ava	ailable	n)			

Method : BASIC CHAIN LADDER

				Rl	RUN-OFF	PATTERN		PER MI	MILLE						
NAME	SIZE	0	Г	2	Ċ	4	ß	9	7	8	6	10	II	12	13+
Avon	54,767	622	233	35	- 31	53 	53	12	15	یں ا	 ~ 	 		 0	0
	16,841	622	235						æ	9	4	~	2	0	0
Commercial Union	378,138	645	226				19			ę	1	0	0	0	•
Co-operative	414,231	607	220						10	6	4	Ċ	7	ø	Ċ
Cornhill	310,151	613	227						2	4	m	2	0	0	n
Eagle Star *	527,395	620	– –						7	4	2	m	0	0	0
General Accident	850,645	619	m.					13	8	9	4	2	2	Ч	2
Guardian Royal	698,661	634	ŝ					8	4	2	Ч	-1	0	0	0
F-	229,958	641	220	32	30	20	1 8	7	10	7	-	7	8	0	0
Legal & General	101,594	592	S					14	10	4	~	9	0	0	-
London & Edinburgh	138,749	590	4					14	σ	7	4	n	0	0	0
Nat. Farmers Union Mut.	. 174,612	600	218	35	42	32	25	20	13	8	ۍ	0	Ч	0	0
-		616	ŵ					ω	ഹ	4	٦	0	0	0	0
Norman *	36,086	621	N.					6	4	ω	Ċ	¢	0	0	•
Norwich Union *	593,384	584	~					10	2	9	7	o	0	0	•
Pearl	63,435	555	- m			60			4	н	Ч	0	Ч	0	0
Provincial	226,910	628	236	38	34	24	12	12	9	2	-	e	0	0	0
	345,982	627	en i			24			8	ഗ	ო	'n	Ċ	0	ഹ
	565,899	614	Ċ,			28			2	ŝ	-1	•	-	J	-1
Sun Alliance & London	818,264	633	4			21		80	4	n	ï	Ы	0	¢	-1
Wesleyan & General	10,348	539	189	36	32	48	74	35	23	1	ŝ	0	19	0	0
TOTAL	6,785,929	619	239	38	32	24	18	11	2	4	8	~	1	Ч	-1
TOTAL of 1988 data	5,672,884	622	240	38	32	23	16	11	9	4	7	3	r,	2(1	12+)
	* For these	compani	anies	separate		Non-comp		data we	were ava	available	le				

Risk Group : MOTOR - COMPREHENSIVE

Method : BASIC CHAIN LADDER

MEAN TERMS

				Σ	MEAN TE	TERMS								
NAME	0	J	7	3	4	£	9	2	80	5	10	11	12	13+
Avon Britannic	1.34	72	2.66 2.75	2.34	2.05 2.82	1.68 3.05	1.47		فد		. 50	10	11	
Commercial Union		* **	2.33	2.05	• •	54	<u>, u</u>				- 7	1.29	ŝ	
Co-operative	ം	.21	3.38	3.13	•	്	ά α	00	5	8	ິ ເ	Ē	.37	
Cornhill	m	1.90	2.88	2.69	• •	• •	÷.	8.		4	6.	<u>،</u>	c0	•
Eagle Star *	1.31	1.63	2.55	2.34	Ē	•	۰ •	8	5.	ۍ ۲	0	ē.	.76	•
General Accident	1.37		2.94	5	ē,	4	e,	ε.	2	٦,	Ë,		.05	਼
Guardian Royal	20		2.11	1.87	1.74	1.55	1.55	1.61	1.62	2.05	2.18		2.86 2	2.00
Iron Trades Mutual *	1.38	• 64	3.21	3.02	9	8	φ,	ς.	N.	•	ò,	í۵,	00.	٩
Legal & General	44	1.81	2.91	2,61	e.	8	•	•	. 2	•	4		.00	٩.
London & Edinburgh	1.38	1.66	2.43	2.13	~	80	Ŀ.	ŗ.	ŝ	Ň	•	0	00.	•
Nat. Farmers Union Mut.			2.81	2.37	-	8	ц Ч	с.	÷	ē	œ		0	2.00
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee	1.23		2.20	1.90	1.69	1.41	1.37	1.16	.84	1.05	.81	.16	n.	ł
Norman *		1.61	2.26	2.03	ġ	-	5	4	5	ي م	1			
Norwich Union *	30	1.43	2.33	ė.	8	. 8	<u>و</u>	.1	5	ŝ	.50	} 	1	ļ
Pearl	1.51	1.76	2.24	1.81	2		<u></u> .	Ŀ.	•	ي ب	- 9	਼	00.	•
Provincial	æ	გ	2.47	2.24	2.14	2.10	1.78	1.66	1.27	1.45	.76	1.40	1.98	2.00
Prudential	m		3.12	3.02	6,	٩.	2	Ň	2	2	۰.	8.	16.	•
Royal *		~	2.54	2.30	•	۰.	5	٩,	۲.	،	S	5	.38	٩.
Sun Alliance & London	•		2.15	1.93	8		5	5	÷.	ۍ ۲	۰ م	2	.08	•
Wesleyan & General	2.04	2.85	3.49	2.95	2.32	1.89	2,13	2.33	3.02	2.10	1.48	, 50	l i	l I
TOTAL	1.33	1.68	2.67	2.46	2.33	2.23	2.25	2.31	2.33	2.42	2.28	2.30	2.12	2.00
TOTAL of 1988 data	1.30	1.62	2.56	2.35	2.25	2.18	2.17	2.21	2.20	2.28	2.19	2.15	2.00(12+	12+)
··	* For 1	these		companies	separate		Non-comp	data w	were av	avaílabl	Ð			

Risk Group : MOTOR - COMPREHENSIVE

Method : AVERAGE CLAIM

Future Inflation 8.00%

Risk Group : MOTOR - COMPREHENSIVE

RUN-OFF PATTERN PER MILLE

NAME	SIZE	0	٦	2	e	4	ß	9	2	8	თ	10	11	12	13+
Avon	54,767	616	239	39	33	24	19	11	r	4	5	5		-	
	16,841	625	233						5	9	4	2	2	0	0
Commercial Union	378,138	642	226				20		ស	2	٦	0	0	0	0
Co-operative	414,231	611	216						10	6	4	'n		9	m
Cornhill	310,151	610	230						9	ß	e	9	0	0	m
Eagle Star *	527,395	619	235						7	4	'n	7	0	0	0
General Accident	850,645	617	237					13	8	9	4	4	2	-	~1
Guardian Royal	698,661	630	240						4	2		٦	0	0	0
Iron Trades Mutual *	229,958	623	233	6C	33	23	18	æ	8	ഗ	đ	ß	5	•	0
Legal & General	101,594	573	248					17	12	ഹ	2	9	0	0	0
		591	252						ω	2	m	2	0	¢	0
Farmers U	. 174,612	587	218	37	45	34		22	13	8	ŝ	0	÷,	0	0
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee		615	253						ß	m	7	0	0	0	0
Norman *		614	233					8	Ċ	7	8	0	0	0	0
Norwich Union *	593,384	583	279		37		13	6	5	4	-	0	0	0	0
Pearl	63,435	555	239						4	-	Ч	0	-	0	0
Provincial	226,910	620	236	40	37	25	13	11	9	2	Ч	Ċ	0	0	¢
Prudential	345,982	618	234						8	ß	r)	Ċ,	'n	0	ഗ
Royal *	565,899	614	234						9	4	 1	0	٦	-	٦
Sun Alliance & London	818,264	624	245					6	ъ	Ċ	Ħ	ч	0	0	Ч
Wesleyan & General	10,348	552	194	34	32	49	73	28	20	0	4	0	14	0	0
TOTAL	6,785,929	616	239	39	33	24	19	11	7	4	8	2	٦	٦	Ч
TOTAL of 1988 data	5,672,884	619	240	39	34	24	16	10	Q	4	~	ч	Ч	2()	12+)
	* For these	companie	anies	senar	rate 1	Non-comp	amo da	ta	were ava	ldbl	a				

* For these companies separate Non-comp data were available

Risk Group : MOTOR - COMPREHENSIVE

Future Inflation 8.00%

MEAN TERMS

NAME	0	1	2	3	4	£	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13+
Avon	1.34	1.68	2.63	2.40	N 1	2.15	2.20	2.26	2.28	(m	2.23	2.27	2.11	2.00
	1,33	1.72	2.72	G.	5	Ģ	4.		ω.	e.	5	Ō.	l İ	ł
Commercial Union	1.23	1.54	2.31	0	9	4.	۳.	2.	2	en •	2	Ċ,	ŝ	ł
Co-operative	1.55	2.19	3.30	0	6	æ	æ.	æ	5	،	φ	e	1.43	2.00
Cornhill	1.42	1.86	2.82	Ś	ίΩ •	÷.	5	5	. 5	د .	æ.	÷.	8,	•
Eagle Star *	1.32	1.6	2.49	0	<u> </u>	۰ و	<u>.</u>		<u></u> и			. 2	6.	•
General Accident	1.38		2.91	2.69	2.54	2.37	2.32	2.30	2.19	2.14	2.11	1.76	2.06	2.00
Guardian Royal	1.21			80	5	4	5	5	4	8.	۲.	5	8	۰,
Tra	1.34			£O.	<u>د</u>	4	9	с .	-	9	۰.	ب ت	•	਼
Legal & General	1.56			۰Ó	۳	5	•	σ.	.1	٩.	ς.	Q.	٩.	۰.
London & Edinburgh	1.36	1.60	2.37	ō		5	ف •	ق •	8	Ň	°,	0	Õ,	٩.
Nat. Farmers Union Mut.	1.5	2.07	2.82	ŝ,	-	8.	ۍ ۱	ς.	H	۰.	ര			2.00
C	1.2	1.42	2.19	1.91	1.66	1.36	1.35	1.11	.84	1.07	.84	.18	.50	
Norman *	1.30	1.57	2.20	ō	5	ý	9	4	7	م	1	1		
Norwich Union *	1.29	с.	2.19	άD	Ē.	9	. .	•	7	ŝ	Ľ۵ –		8 1	ł
Pearl	1.50	1.74	2.18	1	~	0	- 2	9	•	<u>ب</u>	വ്	•	•	•
Provincial	1.30	1.61	4	2.17	2.09	2.08	1.78	1.64	1.26	1,44	.74	1.30	1.88	2.00
Prudential	1.40	1.86	3.02	ō,	5	æ,	0	-		Ö,	8,	9.	σ,	•
Royal *	1.33	9.	2.41	et.	6	æ,	9	æ.	•	8	â	θ.	9	•
Sun Alliance & London	1.22	4	2.16	o -	8.	5	Ē.	5	8	ιά •	9	.1	۰.	ė.
Wesleyan & General	1.92	2.68	3.34	2.77	2.16	1.73	2.07	2.22	3.01	2.07	1.48	.50	ł	
TOTAL	1.34	1.68	2.62	2.40	2.27	2.15	2.20	2.26	2.28	2.37	2.23	2.27	2.11	2.00
TOTAL of 1988 data	1.30	1.60	2.49	2.27	2.17	2.12	2.11	2.16	2.15	2.26	2.18	2.16	2.00(12+)
	* For	these	these compani	anies	separa	te	Non-comp	data w	were ava	ilabl	U			

Method : AVERAGE CLAIM

		-											CONFANE	TRANK	
				RI	RUN-OFF	PATTERN		PER MI	MILLE						
NAME	SIZE	0		7	m	4	S	9	7	æ	ი	10	11	12	13+
Avon	54,767	605	237				20				 m	 •• •	! ≓''	 	~ ~
Dittainite Commercial Inica	10,841	129							10	11	ť i	ഹം	- •	р ,	0 0
- 2	414,271 414,271	629 580							ر بر	ۍ د ا	u	-1 12	0 ^		р ч
Cornhill	310,151	606	231	42	35	26	23	11	1	- 9 T	р m	7	10	10	n
Eagle Star *	527,395	616							7	ഹ	ر م	(* 1	0	o	0
General Accident	850,645	615	238	35	29	23	18	15	თ	~	4	2	0	1	-+
Guardian Royal	698,661	620							ഹ	4	~	1	0	0	2
Iron Trades Mutual *	229,958	618							6	9	Ч	ŝ	ŝ	0	ť
Legal & General	101,594	576						15	14	7	Ċ	8	٥	0	2
London & Edinburgh	138,749	582							æ	0	T	-4	0	0	0
Бa	174,612	593	216	36	42	34	25	21	14	80	പ	Ч	2	0	2
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee	229,87	597							11	Q	2	٦	2	0	0
Norman *	36,086	601							ň	8	2	0	•	0	0
Norvich Union *	593,384	570							6	ß	-	4	0	0	-
Pearl	63,435	559				45	29	თ	2	Ч	Ċ	'n	2	0	-
Provincial	226,910	611	235	40	35	25	17	15	7	6	-	4	0	0	Ч
Prudential	345,982	623				25	16		6	ഹ	4	Ċ	m	¢	m
Royal *	565,899	607				31	17	17	æ	7	, - 1	0	٦	٦	1
Sun Alliance & London	818,264	606				26	19		6	٢	2	2	٦	0	Ч
Wesleyan & General	10,348	609	207	33	26	31	40	35	13	0	7	0	4	0	0
ToTAL	6,785,929	605	237	3 6	33	27	20	14	σ	9	Ċ	e	1	1	7
TOTAL of 1988 data	5,672,884	610	238	39	33	26	19	14	8	£	ť	7	1	2(1	:(12+)
	* For these	i nenmon i	an i ac	10000			בה לה	4 a 4		Helie	¢.				

Method : COMPANY INCURRED

Risk Group : MOTOR - COMPREHENSIVE

* For these companies separate Non-comp data were available

Risk Group : MOTOR - COMPREHENSIVE

Method : COMPANY INCURRED

MEAN TERMS

NAME	0	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13+
Avon		1.84	2.87	o l	•	Ē.	2	ē.	.2	ŝ			~	2.00
Britannic			2.91	~	1	ŝ	۰.	-	۳.	Ċ,	5		1	1
Connercial Union			2.41		1	9.	4.	ŝ	۲.	6.	5	4.		ļ
Co-operative	1.83 2		3.88	3.58	ņ	•	۰.			2.94	2.60	2.19		<u>،</u>
Cornhill		1.91	2.91		Ó	<u>م</u>	2.81	ſ.		4	6 ,	ŝ		2.00
Eagle Star *	1.34]	1.68	2.56	- m		•	۰ م	8,		S.	.2	.2	6,	•
General Accident			3.00	2.78	2.58	2.40	2.21	2.21	2.08	2.06	1.93	1.68	2.16	2.00
Guardian Royal			2.40		ė,	é,	Ч.	ς.	2	٥.	Ň	8.	.8	°,
Iron Trades Mutual *	1.41]	1.88	2.99	80	ē,	æ	•	8	6,	9	•	6	٩,	•
Legal & General			3.12	έĊ.	ŝ	2	÷.	•		•	4	ف	•	•
London & Edinburgh			2.35	•	•	9	N.	÷.	5	4.	÷.	•	•	਼
Nat. Farmers Union Mut.	58	2.15	3.02	2.61	2.38	2.19	1.98	1.95	2.02	2.20	2.78	2.20	3.00	2.00
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee	1.38		2.62	ē,	9	8	5	Ġ	2	.4	•	Ň	ഹ	F
Norman *			2.26	σ,	5	ų,	ŝ	ς.	9.	ŝ	1	1	9 1	ł
Norwich Union *			2.53	N.	•	•	8	5	5	æ	1.10	3.38	2.38	2.00
Pearl		.83	2.50	2	6,	-	਼ੇ	4	م .	. 1	<u>د</u>	ō	°.	਼ੇ
Provincial	1.38 1	1.75	2.67	2.44	2.32	2.17	1.94	1.94	1.56	2.05	1.40	2.42	2.02	2.00
Prudential			3.03		5	8	ŝ	9	5	<u>ں</u>	4.	Ċ,	6	٩
Royal *		80	v	Ċ,	ч.	9	. 8	8.	5	5	5	8.	۳.	ę
Sun Alliance & London	1.40]	1.79	2.76	ŝ	с.	-	σ.	6	8.		•	æ	•	۰.
Wesleyan & General	1.54 2	2.15	3.02	2.57	2.00	1.49	1.22	1.58	2.98	1.93	1.47	. 50	t I	ŧ
TOTAL	1.43]	1.84	2.87	2.65	2.47	2.36	2.29	2.30	2.27	2.37	2.17	2.26	2.12	2.00
TOTAL of 1988 data	1.38]	1.76	2.73	2.49	2.31	2.18	2.11	2.14	2.17	2.27	2.16	2.17	2.00(00(12+)
	* For t	chese	these compani	anies	separa	te	Non-comp	data w	were ava	ilabl	a)			

Risk Group : MOTOR - NON COMPREHENSIVE

Method : INFLATION ADJUSTED CHAIN LADDER

Future Inflation 8.00%				R	RUN-OFF		PATTERN	PER MILLE	ILLE						
NAME	SIZE	0	1	2	e	4	ഗ	9	٢	8	6	10	11	12	13+
Avon Britannic	12,750	270	250	127	108	ω,	61	38		15 15	œ ;	י יס 	~ ;	~ <	۵۵
Commercial Union	4, 308 900, 45	19/ 19/	1 4 C	χo - <	n -						2 4 C	4 L	+ C) -	
	007'0C	201	200 200 200	* -	н с					\ 0 C	י ר ר	- 0	> <	10) [
Cornhill	36,134	284	262	149	0 0	то то	40	25	16	11		16	*		
Eagle Star *	72,825	241	239	.	 N 	81				8		7	ę	2	ы
General Accident	116,735	260	226		0	96					15	~	۰ ה	ť	പ
Guardian Royal	152,843	250	263	152	119	96	57	32	15	10	'n	2	0	0	٦
Iron Trades Mutual *	33,754	372	252		φ	80					-4	m	-	0	0
Legal & General	7,680	255	238		£۵.	67			26	11	4	13	0	0	'n
London & Edinburgh	99,416	304	268	0	0			41		9	9	8	0	0	-
Nat. Farmers Union Mut.		275	198	101	125	81	87	58	36	23	14	Ч	2	0	٦
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee		301	277	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	0			29			2	-	٦	0	0
Norman *	1,042	355	289		62			26			0	61	0	0	0
Norwich Union *	125,524	282	241		111	85		38	28	14	7	9	2	0	0
Pearl	10,041	208	227	œ			43	28	6	7	ć	4	7	0	0
Provincial	27,600	243	214	128	116	65	108	50	27	30	цî	13	٦	0	0
	40,807	306	252	С	Φ		53	28	21	12	œ	æ	80	-	13
Royal *	54,858	227	286	ß	-		58	31			7	4	•	•	0
Sun Alliance & London	146,766	281	271	4	0		40	30			m	2	F	0	7
Wesleyan ƙ General	1,433	348	226	126	96	113	17	31	22	0	4	0	16	0	0
TOTAL	1,150,505	270	250	127	108	84	61	38	23	15	æ	9	Ċ	7	S
TOTAL of 1988 data	908,179	279	251	130	112	84	54	35	20	13	7	5	Ċ	6(1	12+)
	* For these	companie	anies	sepàrate		Comp	data	were	available	ble					

Method : INFLATION ADJUSTED CHAIN LADDER

Future Inflation 8.00%				¥	MEAN TE	TERMS								
NAME	0	-	N	ť	4	ŝ	9	7	80	6	10	11	12	1 3+
Avon	2.74	2.57	ויט ו	2.41	2.26	2.18	2.20	2.26	2.28	2.37	2.23	2.27		
	3.77	3.57	n i	<u>م</u>	8	~	4	-	æ.	.	5	ĥ		
Commercial Union	2.42	2.19	end -	æ	9	.4	e,	Ŷ	?	ς.	2	Ċ.	ŝ	
Co-operative	3.36	3.22	3.34		6,	æ	ω.	ω.	5	ς.	Ø		1.43	2.00
Cornhill	2.57	2.39	-	4	.4	••	5	5	<u>د</u>	ς.	8.	ŝ	8	•
Eagle Star *	2.90	2.66	2.64		÷		2	ف	œ.	ŝ	<u></u>		ۍ ۱	•
General Accident	3.06	2.96	3.05		5	4	ς.	Ċ,	H	-	~		2.06	2.00
Guardian Royal	2.54	2.22	2.14	8,	9	ŝ	5	പ	4	8,	-	5	8,	•
	2.17	2.17	2.29	1.86	1,61	1.48	1.41	1.32	1.46	1.55	.82	.50	[ł
Legal & General	2.78	2.56	2.53		- 3	σ.	•	6.		•	÷		3.00	2.00
London & Edinburgh	2.50	2.37	2.53	2.22	0	6,	<u>ن</u>	ف	8	2	°.	õ	•	•
	2.97	2.91	2.82	2.37	2.15	1.75	1.57	1.38	1.17	1.03	1.94	1.61	3.00	2.00
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee		2.18	2.28	1.94	Ý	ė	Ċ,		φ,	۰.	8	-	ഹ	
Norman *	2.76	3.00	4.03	Ф.	٥,	Ľ,	ŝ	æ,	5	ŝ	ŝ	ł		ŧ
Norwich Union *	2.65	2.50	2.51	2	6	5	9.	4	Ċ,		~	. 50	!	
Pearl	2.66	2.23	1.92	୍ତ		4	5	9.	٩.	<u>د</u>		°,	•	•
Provincial	3.04	2.85	2.78	2.48	2.25	1.73	1.78	1.64	1.26	1.44		1.30	1.88	2.00
	2.69	2.65	2.88	~	5	8	•			•		φ.	6.	•
	2.61	2.23	2.25	0	œ	5	9.	4.	-	۰.		ς.	£	ł
Sun Alliance & London	2.40	2.14	2.14	o۱ –	Ē.	œ	5		8.	£۵				2.00
Wesleyan & General	2.37	2.36	2.35	2.12	1.88	2.59	2.07	2.22	3.01	2.07	1.48	.50	!	}
TOTAL	2.74	2.57	2.64	2.41	2.26	2.18	2.20	2.26	2.28	2.37	2.23	2.27	2.11	2.00
TOTAL of 1988 data	2.63	2.46	2.51	2.27	2.15	2.12	2.11	2.16	2.15	2.26	2.18	2.16	2.00(1	12+)
	* For	these	companie	ល	separate	te Comp	p data	were	availab	ble				

Risk Group : MOTOR - NON COMPREHENSIVE

Method : BASIC CHAIN LADDER

RUN-OFF PATTERN PER MILLE

ο

N Q

Ó

o

0 0

ŝ

* For these companies separate Comp data were available

- NON COMPREHENSIVE Risk Group : MOTOR

Method : BASIC CHAIN LADDER

Risk Group : MOTOR - NON COMPREHENSIVE

MEAN TERMS

				ξ	MEAN TERMS	RMS								
NAME	0	Ч	3	e	4	ŝ	9	7	æ	6	10	11	12	13+
Avon	•	*	2.66	2.27	2.06	Ū į	1.47		6		.50			
		•	3.72	3.19	6.	5	9	Ę	5	Ċ,	5	ŝ	ľ	[
Commercial Union		2.22	2.17	1.89	9.	ņ	ý	Ñ	2	ب	Ň	3	ŝ	•
Co-operative	.44	•	3.45	3.24	ė.	÷.	é			æ.		2.12	.37	
Cornhill	2.62	2.43	2.53	2.52	ц,		æ	8	5	4	σ.	ų.	88	
Eagle Star *		2.75	2.76	2.50	4	Ň	÷.	ā	ົດ	<u>د</u>	<u>ب</u>	2	.59	਼
General Accident		3.00	3.10	œ	ŝ	S S	Ċ,	.	N	7	Ē	80	00	
Guardian Royal	2.56		2.18	1.93	1.70	1.62	1.55	1.61	1.62	2.05	2.18	3.77	.86	•
E+		•	2.31	1.90	ق	ġ,	4.	4.	ف	ĥ	5	ŝ	1	
Legal & General		2.55	2.54	2.16	Ñ	σ.	°.	•	.2	•			0	2.00
London & Edinburgh		2.41	2.59	2.27	.1	•	1	ŗ.	άÖ.	2	•	0	.00	•
			2.87	2.42		5	ف •	ς.	Ļ	ò	ώ	ŝ	00	
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee	2.41	. 22	2.33	1.99	1.68	1.42	1.37	1.16	.84	1.05	٠	.16	. 50	ł
Norman *		.23	4.20	4.16	-	ŝ	5	۰.	5	ц С	ŝ	1		
Norwich Union *	2.69	2.54	2.56	2.26	•	5	.6	÷.	ς.		~) 1	1
Pearl	~	2.24	1.95	1.65		. 4	÷.	5	•	<u>،</u>		0.	•	•
Provincial	~	96	2.88	2.57	2.31	1.76	1.78	1.66	1.27	1.45		1.40	1.98	2.00
Prudential	ഹ	74	3.00	2.88	6.	6	Ň	ē.	2	?		8	۰.	۰.
	~	37	2.38	2.18	φ.	æ	5	4	-	<u>و</u>			<u>م</u>	
Sun Alliance & London	2	15	2.16	1.97	5	æ	5	5	8.			5	0	
Wesleyan & General	2.38	2.40	2.44	2.24	2.04	2.72	2.13	2.33	3.02	2.10	1.48	.50	ł	1 1
TOTAL	2.78	2.61	2.70	2.48	2.33	2.25	2.25	2.31	2.33	2.42	2.28	2.30	2.12	2.00
TOTAL of 1988 data	2.69	2.52	2.59	2.36	2.23	2.18	2.17	2.21	2.20	2.28	2.19	2.15	2.00(12+)
	* For	these	companie	anies	separate	te Comp	p data	were	availabl	ble				

COMPREHENSIVE
NON
I
MOTOR
••
Group
Risk

Future Inflation 8.00%

RUN-OFF PATTERN PER MILLE

rucute fiitidetoil 0.004				¥,	KUN-OFF	F PATTERN		LM XIA	MILLE						
NAME	SIZE	0	H	8	ę	4	ß	Q	2	8	6	10	11	12	13+
Avon Britannic	12,750	273	249		00	ά σ								~ ~ ~	40
Commercial Union	30,208	202	259 259	138	117 117	113 82	1/2	7 0 9 0	42 16	5 F	24 0	41	ດ 1 ດ	0 -	- o
	73,728	239	225		0						12	ı م	4	- 1	11
Cornhill	36,134	285	261		0						2	16	Ч	0	7
Eagle Star *	72,825	248	235	. m	N					æ		7	٣	9	ۍ
General Accident	116,735	257	225								15	7	6	'n	9
Guardian Royal	152,843	248	263	£	-					10	m	2	0	0	٦
<i><u><u></u></u></i> Irades Mu	33,754	363	245	92	8 6	90	59	20	21	7	-	e G	Ч	0	0
Legal & General	7,680	250	244							11	4	13	0	0	Ċ,
London & Edinburgh	99,416	309	264	Ē	•					ø	σ	æ	0	0	-1
	26,451	248	199	102	141	86	87	59	37	23	14	1	2	0	٦
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee		307	276	H						11		T	٦	0	¢
Norman *	1,042	325	298								0	46	0	0	0
Norwich Union *	125,524	294	246								9	ŝ	7	0	0
Pearl	10,041	205	224	ົ	1				6	2	e	H	2	0	0
Provincial	27,600	253	218	130	119	68	94	47	25	28	4	12	Ч	0	0
Prudential	40,807	308	248	÷,	0						8	8	89	-	13
	54,858	227	271	£	ы.				21	19	8	4	•	0	0
Sun Alliance & London	146,766	280	267	4	0						'n	m	-	•	6
Wesleyan & General	1,433	346	221	125	66	115	18	32	22	ò	4	0	16	o	0
TOTAL	1,150,505	273	249	127	108	83	61	38	22	15	80	9	e.	3	4
TOTAL of 1988 data	908,179	279	251	130	112	84	54	35	20	13	7	ខ	e	6(1	(12+)
	* For these		companies		separate (Comp	data v	were a	availabl	ble					

Method : AVERAGE CLAIM

MEAN TERMS

2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.11 2.00 2.00 2.00(12+) 13+ 1 ł ł ł) (ł ł 2.06 3.00 3.00 3.00 1.43 3.00 1.88 . 50 3.07 12 . 50 2.87 1.67 2.83 2.91 2.11 1 1 ł 1 1 1.02 2.16 1.33 .50 3.67 3.08 2.19 2.16 1.76 3.74 .18 2.67 2.09 3.18 2.27 . 50 3.54 . 50 . 50 11 2.27 ł 1.28 .85 2.18 .76 2.32 .74 . 55 1.48 2.23 10 2.23 2.61 1.88 2.11 2.11 1.08 1.94 .84 .50 .75 1.56 2.81 2.51 2.35 2.26 1.38 1.31 2.92 2.14 1.88 2.00 1.03 1.07 1.50 1.44 3.08 .88 2.07 2.37 თ 2.37 2.44 1.57 1.29 1.13 1.53 2.53 2.58 .84 1.67 2.06 2.15 1.25 2.19 1.47 3.19 2.28 œ 2.28 1.81 2.86 1.34 2.17 1.17 1.35 1.04 1.87 3.01 1.84 2.19 2.89 2.65 2.30 1.54 1.18 1.98 1.38 1.11 1.63 1.60 1.42 2.22 2.26 2.16 2.26 1.27 2.74 1.66 1.40 3.11 ~ 1.56 1.27 1.33 2.32 1.52 3.08 1.68 2.11 Q 2.42 2.84 2.75 2.23 2.32 1.69 1.57 1.35 2.20 2.20 2.07 1.403.07 2.12 ഗ 1.46 2.79 2.19 2.46 1.56 1.48 1.77 2.82 1.72 2.58 2.17 2.17 2.71 2.71 1.93 1.95 1.71 1.42 1.77 1.85 2.78 1.89 1.76 2.15 1.63 3.54 1.90 1.13 2.262.80 1.65 1.60 2.22 1.88 2.26 4 1.63 2.97 2.43 2.51 2.23 2.08 2.14 2.37 2.77 1.89 n 2.75 2.12 3.03 1.84 3.15 2.48 1.91 2.15 2.22 2.31 1.94 3.58 1.62 2.13 1.96 2.44 2.11 2.41 2.41 2.27 2.41 2.52 2.68 3.08 2.263.70 1.92 2.89 2.33 2.15 3.55 2.12 3.36 2.14 2.35 **N** 2.64 53 2.41 2.36 2.64 2.51 2.51 2 2.25 2.55 2.16 3.22 2.99 2.22 2.36 2.92 2.16 2.85 2.39 2.46 3.59 2.69 2.67 2.56 2.57 2.41 2.24 2.77 2.34 2.17 2.40 ы 2.34 2.76 2.54 3.76 2.39 3.33 2.58 2.90 3.10 2.54 2.25 2.79 2.48 2.68 2.94 2.70 2.69 2.42 2.39 2.63 2.73 0 2.73 Nat. Farmers Union Mut. Nat. Ins. and Guarantee Sun Alliance & London # Iron Trades Mutual London & Edinburgh of 1988 data General Commercial Union **Gene**ral Accident * Legal & General Guardian Royal Norwich Union Co-operative Eagle Star * Wesleyan ƙ Provincial Prudential Britannic Cornhill Norman * * TOTAL **Royal** Pearl TOTAL NAME Avon

were available

separate Comp data

these companies

* For

- NON COMPREHENSIVE

Future Inflation 8.00%

Risk Group : MOTOR

Method : AVERAGE CLAIM

										2					
				H	RUN-OFF		PATTERN F	PER MILLE	ILLE						
NAME	SIZE	Ģ	٦	7	r n	4	ß	Q	7	80	6	10	11	12	13+
Avon	12,750	268	244	124	105	84	61	40	27	19	ן הי	®	4	m	2
	4,568	261	225	ð,	-						σ	13	ო	0	0
Commercial Union	30,208	265	243	4	2						'n		0	'n	0
Co-operative	73,728	215	205							36	19	15	9	32	17
Cornhill	36,134	264	246	4							8		H	0	6
Eagle Star *	72,825	243	232	<u> </u>								8	ſ	4	4
General Accident	116,735	277	238		o						12	8	œ	2	4
Guardian Royal	152,843	247	261	147	113	90	58	32	16	17	ഗ	4	0	0	9
Iron Trades Mutual *	33,754	340	234								2	Ċ	თ	0	0
Legal & General	7,680	232	227								9	18	-1	0	മ
London & Edinburgh	99,416	319	276	-	• o					9	9	ۍ	0	0	Ч
Farm	26,451	310	225	110	127	73	57	43	25	14	œ	-1	4	0	'n
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee	~	280	258	0	σ					22	9	e	7		0
Norman *	1,042	341	299								0	23	11	11	33
Norwich Union *	125,524	270	230								12	8	2	0	0
Pearl	10,041	201	220	÷	- -					'n	9	7	15	0	8
Provincial	27,600	267	232	134	118	72	74	38	29	18	'n	10	-	Ч	2
Prudential	40,807	302	244	С	σ					20			æ	0	თ
Royal *	54,858	231	262	4						21	14	9	•	٦	0
Sun Alliance & London	146,766	271	256	4	o					15	4	ŝ		0	Ċ
Wesleyan & General	1,433	350	211	88	67	77	38	81	65	0	9	г	16	0	0
TOTAL	1,150,505	268	244	124	105	84	61	40	27	19	6	œ	4	'n	ŝ
TOTAL of 1988 data	908,179	270	244	126	107	84	59	41	25	17	6	7	4	7(12+	(+2

* For these companies separate Comp data were available

Method : COMPANY INCURRED

Risk Group : MOTOR - NON COMPREHENSIVE

Method : COMPANY INCURRED

MEAN TERMS

				5		CLANT I								
NAME	0	1	5	3	4	S	9	7	80	6	10	11	12	13+
Avon		2.70	2.80	2,58	2.42	2.34	2.31	2.28	2.26	6	2.17	2.26	2.12	2.00
		2.83	2.85	2.40	4	Ŷ	e,	'n,	ŝ	۳.	7	۱Ω		1
Commercial Union		2.35	2,26	1.97	5	۰.	<u>ں</u>	4	9	σ	5	4.	ŝ	٩.
Co-operative	3,83	3.75	3.89	3.66	4,	2		-	σ,	۰.	2.60		1.38	2.00
Cornhill		2.61	2.67	2.58	4	ŝ	5	5	ц,	4.	6.	<u>،</u>	æ	٩,
Eagle Star *		2.68	2.65	2.39	2	Ò.	Ō	Ē	4		0	.1		•
- m	2.90	2.82	2.96	2.70	2.50	2.45	2.32	2.19	2.01	2.06	1.93	1.68	2.16	2.00
Guardian Royal		2.40	2.41	2.23	۰.	٩.	<u>-</u>	N	Ļ,	6.	Ņ.	8,	8	٩,
Tra		2.51	2.61	2.22	6.	8.	•	i ن	æ,	•	N	<u>،</u>	1	ŧ
Legal & General		2.80	2.77	2.38	4	Ξ.	2	ò	9	•	4	φ	3.00	2.00
London & Edinburgh	2.31		2.28	1.99	ō,	8.	Ŀ.	ē,	÷.	4.	Ē.	•	਼	•
Nat. Farmers Union Mut.	2.62		2.58	2.22	7	۰.	8,	8,	6	Ň	5	2	٩,	٩
Nat. Ins. and Guarantee	2.71	26	2.72	2.41	2.07	1.86	1.72	1.47	1.27	1.43	1.03	.29	.50	2.00
	2.99		4.59	4.63	۰.	9.	۰	¢,	۰	8.	8	8	÷	٩
Norwich Union *	2.82	2.68	2.69	2.37		6.	8.	ά,	÷,	•	5	ۍ ۱	1	
Pearl	6		2.32	2.15	8.		ي ب	਼ੇ	۰ و		<u>د</u>	ō	•	•
Provincial	17		2.56	2.32		8	ō,	5	5	0	4	4.	۰.	٩,
Prudential	2.76	73	2.93	2.74	2.64	2.59	2.59	2.56	2.47	2.58	2.42	2.36	2.91	2.00
17	6		2.50	2.33	•	ω.	5	ŝ	۲.	œ	ŗ.	4	ŝ	l F
Sun Alliance & London	2.58	2.36	2.36	2.18	<u>م</u>	م	8	æ.	5	H	0	8	0	2.00
Wesleyan & General	2.77	2.99	3.18	2.86	2.42	2.13	1.50	1.41	2.91	1,93	1.47	.50		‡ 1
TOTAL	2.84	2.70	2.80	2.58	2.42	2.34	2.31	2.28	2.26	2.37	2.17	2.26	2.12	2.00
TOTAL of 1988 data	2.80	2.65	2.72	2.50	2.34	2.26	2.20	2.19	2.17	2.27	2.16	2.17	2.00(12+	12+)
	* For	these	companie	anies	separa	te Comp	p data	were	availa	ble				

Risk Group : MOTOR - NON COMPREHENSIVE

Risk Group : FIRE										
		MET	METHOD							
Name	8\$ IACL	BCL	8 % AVC	CI	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	ANALYS	OF	AGGREGATE	ATE DATA	
Avon	1.85	1.83	1.94		MEI	WEIGHTED	MEAN T	TERM		
Britannic	.62	• •	•		BY ASSUMED	ED MEAN	N TERM FOR		TAIL	
Commercial Union	1.12	•	1.11							
Co-operative	1.16	1.15	٠							
Cornhill	.90	.89	.91		Risk Group	: FIRE	<u>ы</u>			
Eagle Star	1.38	1.35	1.34		Assumed		METHOD	HOD		
Ecclesiastical	2.19	2.19	2.06		Mean term	88		88		
Economic	1.57	1.57	1.36			IACL	BCL	AVC	CI	
General Accident	1.01	1.00	1.01							
Guardian Royal	1.10	1.09	1.09		-	•	1.21	2		
					2	•	1.26	2	•	
Ins. Co. of North America	1.54	1.55	1.31		e	1.33	1.31	1.31	1.57	
Ľ	.62	.61	.63		4	•	1.36	4		
Legal & General	1.72	•	4							
Minster	1.39	1.41	1.39							
Municipal General	.70	.70	.76							
Municipal Mutual	1.59	1.59	1.59							
National Farmers Union Mutual	.45	.48								
Norwich Union	.98	.96	.96							
Provincial	2.07	2.06	2.17							
Prudential	1.06	1.05	1.00							
Refuge	.80	.81	.76							
Royal	.66	.67	.67							
Sun Alliance & London	1.28		1.25							
Wesleyan & General	1.72	1.70	1.51							
TOTAL	1.28	1.26	1.26	1.50						
TOTAL based on 1988 data	1.41	1.37	1.39	1.60						

WEIGHTED MEAN TERMS (USING OUTSTANDING PROPORTIONS ON RUN-OFF PATTERN AS WEIGHTS)

Risk Group : EMPLOYERS LIABILITY	ABILITY								
		Σ	ETHOD						
Name	8% IACL	BCL	8 % AVC	cI	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF AGGREGATE	ANALYS	IS OF	AGGREG/	TE DATA
Avon	2.14	2.13	2.12	2.77	MET	WEIGHTED MEAN TERM	MEAN T	EKM	
Commercial Union	2.25	2.30	2.34	2.55	BY ASSUM	ASSUMED MEAN TERM FOR TAIL	N TERM	FOR TI	11
Co-operative Cornhill	3.58 2.89	3.70	3.89 2.82	3.62 4.61	Risk Group	••	EMPLOYERS	LIABILITY	TTY
Eagle Star	4.35	4.55	4.38	4.42		•			
				•					
ceneral Acctuent	91.5	3.29	2.9.2	3.10	Assumed		UOHTAM	UD	
Guardian Royal	3.00	3.08	2.84	3.14	Mean term	88		88	
Iron Trades Mutual	2.96	3.07	3.03	6.11	for tail	IACL	BCL	AVC	CI
Iron Trades Employers	3.05	3.20	3.09						~
Legal & General	4.32	4.49	4.27	4.25	2	3.58	3,75	3.56	3.90
					4	3.85	4.04	3.82	4.22
					Q	4.12	4.34	4.09	4.55
Norwich Union	3.94	4.17	3.88	4.18	8	4.39	4.63	4.35	4.88
Orion	2.76	2.74	2.95	2.78					
Fearl	2.93	3.02	2.82	3.10					
Provincial	3.05	3.19	3.06	3.45					
Prudential	2.30	2.32	2.28	4.35					
Royal	5.78	6.03	5.75	6.02					
Sun Alliance & London	5.63	5.91	5.09	5.59					
Wesleyan & General	2.02	2.01	1.98	2.63					
TOTAL	3.85	4.04	3.82	4.22					
TOTAL based on 1988 data	3.54	3.70	3.52	3.86					

WEIGHTED MEAN TERMS (USING OUTSTANDING PROPORTIONS ON RUN-OFF PATTERN AS WEIGHTS)

		MET	METHOD						
NAME	8 % Tàct	BCI	8\$ \$110	Ľ	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	X ANALYS	OF	AGGREGATE	E DATA
				3	3	WEIGHTED	MEAN TERM	RM	
Avon	2.05	1.95	•	2.26					
Britannic	2.15	2.19	•	2.22	BY ASS	ASSUMED MEAN	TERM	FOR TAIL	L
Commercial Union	1.71	1.74	•	1.84					
Co-operative	2.68	2.73	2.69	3.12	Risk Group	up : MoTOR	I	COMPREHENSIVE	SIVE
Cornhill	2.29	2.37	•	2.39		ı			
					Assumed		METHOD	OD	
Eagle Star *	1.88	6	1.93	2.00	mean term	8 %		88 88	
General Accident	2.21	5	•	•	for tail	IACL	BCL	AVC	CI
Guardian Royal	1.61	9.	•	•					
Iron Trades Mutual *	2.27	2.47	2.17	2.44	-	2.02	2.07	2.03	•
Legal & General	2.19	2.18	•	•	7	2.05	2.09	2.06	2.26
					Ē	2.07	2.12	2.08	•
	1.88	•	1.86	1.81	4	2.10	2.14	2.12	•
National Farmers Union Mutual	2.14	2.15	2.18	2.39					
National Insurance and Guarantee	1.61	•	1.63	1.95					
Norman *	1.74	•	1.74	1.78					
Norwich Union *	1.65	•	1.62	1.93					
Pearl	1.75	1.77	1.74	2.12					
Províncial	1.87		1.89	2.09					
Prudential	2.45	•	•	2.41					
Royal *	1.89	1.98	1.91	2.08					
Sun Alliance & London	1.65	•	1.69	2.15					
Wesleyan & General	2.57	2.70	2.57	2.22					
TOTAL	2.05	2.09	2.07	2.26					
				•					
TOTAL of 1988 data	1.95	2.01	1.96	2.13					
			•					1	

* For these companies separate Non-comp data were available

WEIGHTED MEAN TERMS (USING PROPORTIONS ON RUN-OFF PATTERN AS WEIGHTS)

Risk Group : MOTOR - COMPREHENSIVE

		METHOD	Go						
NAME	8\$ TACL	BCL	8% 8VC	IJ	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	ANALYSI	OF	AGGREGATE	DATA
				;	IM	WEIGHTED	MEAN TE	TERM	
Avon	2.46	2.24	2.46	2.59					
Britannic	2.98	3.07	2.99	2.47	BY ASSI	ASSUMED MEAN	TERM	FOR TAIL	Г
Commercial Union	1.96	2.00	1.95	2.10					
Co-operative	3.07	3.13	3.07	3.47	Risk Group	: MOTOR	- NON -	COMPREHENSIVE	NSIVE
Cornhill	2.47	2.53	2.49	2.60	-				
					Assumed		METHOD	_	
Eagle Star *	2.51	2.61	2.54	•	mean term	8 8		88 88	
General Accident	2.74	2.79	2.76	•	for tail	IACL	BCL	AVC	CI
Guardian Royal	2.01	2.05	2.01						
_	1.99	2.02	2.04	2.30	-1	2.43	2.48	2.41	2.56
Legal & General	2.34	2.36	2.34	•	2	4	2.51	2.44	2.59
					e	2.49	2.54	2.48	2.62
	2.25	2.29		2.07	4	2.52	2.57	2.52	2.65
	2.44	2.47	2.43	2.36					
National Insurance and Guarantee	1.99	2.03	•	2.34					
Norman *	3.13	3.27	٠	3.91					
Norwich Union *	2.24	2.27		2.38					
Pearl	1.85	•	•	2.31					
Provincial	2.46	•	•	2.36					
Prudential	2.79	2.91	2.80	2.72					
Royal *	2.08	•	•	2.27					
Sun Alliance & London	2.04		•	2.22					
Wesleyan & General	2.24	2.32	2.26	2.64					
TOTAL	2.46	2.51	2.46	2.59					
TOTAL of 1988 data	2.35	2.42	2.35	2.52					

WEIGHTED MEAN TERMS (USING PROPORTIONS ON RUN-OFF PATTERN AS WEIGHTS)

Risk Group : MOTOR - NON COMPREHENSIVE

* For these companies separate Comp data were available

Run-off Survey Questionaire

At the 1989 GISG conference, the Claims Working Party presented its final report on run-off patterns experienced in four risk groups (comp. and non-comp. motor, fire and employers' liability) by companies representing a substantial proportion of the UK market in these areas. This report was based on data from the 1981-87 DTI returns. At the 1990 conference, Peter Hinton and Andrew Macnair (two members of the original party) presented updated tables based on data to the 1988 returns, and at this conference have issued a second update based on data to the 1989 returns.

In order that future updates can be tailored to readers' needs, we would be grateful if you could complete as much of the following questionaire as pertains to your use of these tables.

Name (optional)

Company (optional)

Do you use :

(a) the tables of run-off patterns ?

(b) the tables of mean terms ?

If so, do you use individual company (as opposed to aggregate)

(a) run-off patterns ?

(b) mean terms ?

Would it be a loss if :

- (a) the company incurred method was omitted
- (b) the other three methods (BCL, IACL, average cost per claim) were reduced to a single method (which ?)

Would you like to see the following appearing in the updates, assuming that something else (perhaps mean terms or individual companies or some of the methods) would have to go to make room for it :

(a) risk groups such as public liability where the underlying business varies between individual companies making aggregate run-off patterns less useful ? (if so, would you then need individual company run-offs or would an aggregate run-off still be useful ?

_____)

(b) risk groups written by few companies so that again the aggregate run-off would be unreliable ?

(again would you need individual company run-offs ?

(b) more companies ?
(c) home foreign risk groups ?
(d) major non-UK country risk groups ?
(e) blocks of countries (e.g. Europe) ?

(bearing in mind for (c)-(e) the increased heterogeneity and possible currency problems).

Please add any further comments you think would be useful :

a.g. Macnair