

# **Why Conflicts of Interest Matter**

- · Professionals occupy a privileged position of trust
- This brings obligations to act impartially and in the public interest
- Recognised in Principle 3 of the Actuaries' Code

"Members will not allow bias, conflict of interest or the undue influence of others to override their professional judgement."

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.u

1

### **A Long History**

- Long history of previous uncompleted attempts to tackle the matter
- Morris Report 2005
- Successive Professional Oversight Board reports
- Led to establishment of Working Party in July 2010



© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

#### **Timeline**

- Discussion Paper, end 2010
- Targeted consultation with users and other regulators
- Consultation Paper, October 2011
- Consultation closed, December 2011
- Broad endorsement of all proposals, except for proposed firm rule barring Scheme Actuary from advising both employer and trustees on funding and factors
- Feedback document and final provisions, 29 June 2012

### The New Package: a reminder

- The package should be viewed as a whole
- It builds on the conflict provisions in the Actuaries' Code
- It combines targeted additional regulation in the Life (APS L1) and Pensions (APS P1) areas
- With guidance for all actuaries and a note for pension trustees
- New guide for employers on conflicts
- Underpinned by new CPD and professional skills training provision
- · New conflicts "toolkit" for firms to use



© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

## **Different Areas, Different Approaches**

- The considerations as regards conflicts can differ between one field of work and another
- They can also vary between consulting and employed practice
- However, there are common principles which the Working Party believes are applicable across all areas of actuarial practice

#### The Actuaries' Code

- The Actuaries' Code sets the overarching principles
- A "light touch" review of the Code is now underway
- A full consultation will take place in the new year
- The conflict provisions are unlikely to change significantly



© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

# **Additional Regulatory Provision: Life**

- Given that the existing conflicts of interest provisions in APS L1 were tightened with effect from October 2011, the WP did not believe further regulation in this area was justified.
- The reason for not introducing further specific regulation was twofold:

   (1) because the Life area is subject to regulation by the FSA, and (2) because this sector has moved on considerably since the failure of Equitable Life.
- Since then, the Life area has been subject to considerable regulatory change.
- Further, the "Appointed Actuary" regime has been replaced by a more rigorous regulatory structure around the roles of the "With-Profits Actuary" and the "Actuarial Function Holder".

### **Additional Regulatory Provision: Life**

- While conflicts of interest may still arise for actuaries involved in life insurance work, such conflicts may be likely to have their focus at a more general level.
- These are issues of more general application and are, therefore, properly addressed by the Code without more specific guidance necessarily being helpful.
- It will, however, be important to keep the treatment of conflicts in this field under review, not least in light of the changes envisaged under 'Solvency II'

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

#### **APS P1 Conflicts Provisions**

- <u>Definitions</u>: new definitions relating to conflicts
- <u>Paragraph 5.1</u>: actuaries must comply with Principle 3 of the Code, including the requirement to disqualify themselves in the event of an irreconcilable conflict of interest.
- Paragraph 5.2: provides for the disclosure by the Scheme Actuary
  of the potential for conflicts arising from the fact that he/she or other
  persons in (or on behalf of) his/her firm are involved in advising the
  sponsoring employer.
- Paragraph 5.3: "rebuttable presumption" that an irreconcilable conflict of interest arises if a Scheme Actuary provides advice to the employer and the trustees of that scheme, where the advice relates to the funding of the scheme or has a direct bearing on benefits payable under that scheme.

#### **APS P1 Conflicts**

- Paragraph 5.4: criteria on which very limited exceptions to the presumption (5.3) are to be assessed, e.g. in circumstances where the scheme rules require the Scheme Actuary to act for both the employer and the trustees.
- Paragraph 5.5: Scheme Actuaries must (a) record the reasons for any decision to depart from the presumption, including reasons for concluding that there is no irreconcilable conflict and (b) be able to justify such a departure.
- <u>Paragraph 5.6</u>: Scheme Actuaries must ensure that an appropriate written conflicts management plan is agreed
- <u>Paragraph 5.6.5</u>: The Scheme Actuary must be reasonably satisfied that the trustees, in agreeing to the plan, are appropriately informed as to its implications.

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

#### **APS P1 Conflicts Provisions**

- Paragraph 6.4: extends the principles in 5.1, 5.2 and 5.6 to members who, although not acting on behalf of the Scheme Actuary's firm, are directly responsible for the provision or review of client advice to the trustees.
- Paragraphs 6.5 to 6.7: extend to certain other members, a provision similar to the 'irreconcilable conflict' presumption
- <u>Paragraph 6.8</u>: extends the principles applicable to members advising Scheme Actuary schemes under sections 5 and 6, to those directly responsible for the provision or review of advice on other sorts of scheme (including public sector and overseas schemes).

#### **Conflicts in Pensions Work**

**QUESTION:** What do you see as actual and potential conflicts of interest in Pensions work?

Case Study A: The Scheme Actuary and a trustee/employer conflict

???

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

#### **CPD and Professional Skills**

- More guidance and CPD provision asked for by actuaries in all practice areas
- This will be provided, e.g. through increased professional skills CPD events from July 2013
- Intention is for those events to deal with difficult, practice area specific conflicts issues



#### **Guide for Actuaries**

- Guide for Actuaries welcomed in consultation responses
- Non-mandatory in nature
- Designed to stimulate thought, rather than setting out rules
- It has been revised to include:
  - more material on identifying conflicts,
  - improved case studies to ensure more "balanced" approach following consultation feedback
  - more detail on the use (and limitations) of peer review
  - more detailed section on the use of the "Y model"
  - additional material on information barriers

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

### 14

# The Conflicts "Care" Package or Toolkit

- The toolkit will include:
  - copies of the guide for actuaries
  - copies of the guide for pension scheme trustees
  - (in due course) copies of the guide for employers
  - Powerpoint presentations covering different practice areas and joint practice area sessions
  - worked up case studies with questions and discussion points



### **Practical Impact**

- Members will need to be aware of the new regulatory changes
- New professional skills courses to "deep dive" into thorny conflicts issues
- New conflicts "toolkit" for firms to use to run in-house sessions or maybe with another firm(s)
- Adequacy of policies around information barriers— update and consideration
- Consideration of remuneration policies
- Internal promotion of the Guide and the principles it espouses

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

## Final remarks on the package

- The WP believes its package is targeted and proportionate
- It will also put the Profession in a strong and coherent position in responding to continued external interest in this issue
- We are now a world-leader in terms of guidance for actuaries on conflicts – but will welcome feedback so we can improve further!
- We will be monitoring implementation



#### **Case Studies**

- And now for the fun bit....
- You will have had the opportunity to read case study B, along with some accompanying questions
- You will now have the chance to discuss your proposed answers to case study B
- Each table will need to elect a chair who will speak on behalf of the group
- We will randomly call out the number of each table following the end of the 20 minutes of discussion and the chair for each table (so to speak!) will have the opportunity to answer some questions

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

# Any further questions?

 Do any of you have any further questions about anything in our conflicts package or about the Professional Skills CPD opportunities this year?

# Thank you

- The Working Party thanks members and stakeholders for all their support and feedback over the past 3 years
- Further comments to: <a href="mailto:conflicts@actuaries.org.uk">conflicts@actuaries.org.uk</a>



© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.ul

11