The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the f	future
Current Issues in General Insurance in	
Ireland	'
Patrick Grealy FSAI FIA	
Tunok Group For Fire	
Society of Actuaries in Ireland General Insurance Forum	
Dublin – May 17th 2007	
Current Issues Seminar, Barbican London - May 18th, 2007	

Irish Issues

Overview of issues

The concurrent session will focus on

- •ASP The new and revised Guidance Notes;
- Personal Injuries Assessment Board ("PIAB");
- •Gender Equality;
- •Future Governance of the Society of Actuaries in Ireland.

The Actuarial Profession	
making financial samps of the filter	

ASP - The New & Revised Guidance Notes

- ASP GI 1
 - Formerly GN12 (Rol)
 - Preparation of a formal report on General Insurance matters (note that this could include Pricing, Capital management and not simply reserving!);
- ASP GI 2
 - SAO on technical reserves of Insurance Companies (including Captives) as required by IFSRA; and
- ASP GI 3
 - SAO on technical reserves of Reinsurance Companies as required by IFSRA.



ASP – The New & Revised Guidance Notes Items to note about ASP 1,2 and 3. Gl 3 used Gl 2 as a template but there were some further developments in the intervening period; Inclusion in the SAO of the Stat Min Solvency Calc which for traditional reinsurance liabilities is based in Solvency I; Additional IFSRA requirement that Signing Actuary checks the calculation of the Stat Min Solvency Margin; Treatment of "Finite" reinsurance Contracts; Defined as "contracts with limited but significant risk transfer and where one or more of the following holds Specific allowance for profit sharing between Parties. Introduction of Augmented Solvency Model and Individual Capital Models for some reinsurers. The Actuarial Profession moving forecast area of the Lagrangian Capital Models for Some Revised Guidance Notes

Significant Differences between GI 2 and 3 Added definitions for Finite Reinsurance and SM SAO states that the Signing Actuary has reviewed of the Retrocession programme; Eliminate references to MIBI; Stronger wording required of the Signing Actuary does not constitute an opinion on the Overall SO More guidance on Discounting (more R/I having) GI 3 expect that more comments on "significant variability" to be made 'given nature of non life re Possibly more of a requirement to review underly wording (4.2.3) and cedent's data.	SM; and the application of that the SAO (vency; this) ncrease in pinsurance';
	The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the future

C	Other Ongoing ASP & regulatory issues
	Run off
	The Financial regulator wants to introduce a requirement for Companies entering run-off to have a SAO at the date of entering run off;
	 Engaging with SoAl on this; Guidance issued would have different slant versus ASP 2/3.
	Life Reinsurance business
	Possible that some liabilities covered in a predominantly Non Life SAO will be Life in nature; and
	 SAO may be signed by Non life signing Actuary if de-minimus.
•	May be some inadvertent Risk transfer testing required for contracts to be differentiated between,
	Traditional Reinsurance;
	"Finite" Reinsurance; and Deposit Liabilities
•	Whilst the responsibility rests with Board, likely to be asked to advise board as a separate exercise:
•	Individual Capital models also allowable for SMSM for Companies writing Finite business and hence subjected to Augmented Solvency Model.

PIAB

- Established under PIAB act 2003;
- Where liability is not contested, objective is to
- Reduce cost/time of delivering compensation; andDeliver awards at current level;
- Part of deliverable was to seek to reduce Premiums to the public (via cost savings);
- To end 2006, total of 6,524 cases;
- Motor is 68% with EL and PL evenly accounting for balance;
- Value awarded €131m, €78m accepted @ cost €5.4m
- Recent PIAB cost benefit analysis by Dr V Hogan found that these objectives being met;

PIAB..... cont

- Study considered 4,965 cases March 2005 to Oct 2006, where 1,828 cases (37%) not accepted and are now in the legal process;
 Average PIAB cost of €50 Plaintiff and €1280 Respondent;
 Compared to cases handled by Circuit and High courts in 2003 where there was no apparent link between Costs and liability contested or case workload;
 Conclusion was that the main (and only statistically significant) driver was Award made;
 Regression of Fees against awards made was

 Bold Figures are High Court is {Circuit Court in brackets}

 Solicitor: €5,029 + 15% of Award {E1,655 + 10%}

 Senior Council: €140 + 2.3% of Award [VIA]

 Junior Council: €270 + 1.53% of Award [€1,077 + 0%]

- Final step was calculated the predicted legal cost of the 3,128 cases accepted by Plaintiffs under PIAB using the above model;
- unoer PIMS using the above model; Respondent average legal cost predicted at €28,553 and [€7,223] based on Average award of €50,636 and [€16,044] is. 97% and [88%] saving; Calculated individually across all 3,128 claims, total estimated saving was €24m; and In context of Irish Motor and Liability total Net Premium of €2.48 bn in 2005 (€1,635+6948)

PIAB..... cont Source : Cost Benefit Analysis of PIAB by Dr V Hogan December 2006

PIAB..... cont

- Somewhere between 38% and 50% rejection of PIAB;
- Some anecdotal evidence about fees on contested cases increasing and longer settlement of PIAB cases;
- Some new case law which means that Insurers can communicate directly with Claimant (copy of docs to Claimant) -> Faster/cheaper closure;
- Likely to be a requirement in reserving the affected classes to split into pre and post PIAB.

Gender Equality

- European Council Directive 2004/113 on the equal treatment of Men & Women in access to supply of goods and services.
- Permits States to allow proportionate differences in Premiums where gender is a determining factor in assessing a risk. (notify before December 2007)
- Require accurate data kept, published and updated.
- Ireland will seek derogation in Life Assurance and Motor insurance. (Defer CII/Travel for Maternity benefits)
- IFSRA to be the coordinator of data.
- Review after 5 years under aegis of Dept of Equality, Justice & Law Reform.

Future Governance of Society

- Changes in Institute/Faculty (post Morris) not (yet!) echoed in Society of Actuaries in Ireland ("SoAl");
 A self regulation possibility via "Peer Review" was defeated;
 SoAl is engaging with Stakeholders (Dept of Finance, Pensions Board,
 The Financial Regulator etc) to seek alternative Standard
 Setting/Oversight Board;
 "Public seeks that professions be subject to some external oversight" as noted in SoAl note (May 2006) to Stakeholders;
- Pensions Board has obtained a form of "compliance monitoring of Scheme Actuaries" with statutory underpinning; Society expresses preference for a Government established Oversight Board for actuarial standards;

- Issues of cost, implementation, personnel etc; and What might this mean for General Insurance Actuaries?