The Actuarial Profession

making financial sense of the future

Accounting network evening Cliff Speed



Discount rate project: Should discount rates differ?

30 March 2010

Research project on discount rates

- Why commissioned?
 - Discount rates are the heart of many models and therefore of significant public interest
 - Ensure a clear and common understanding of the issues surrounding different rates used today
 - Support the development of future framework for discount rates
- Phase 1
 - Packet 1: Survey of current practices
 - Packet 2: Survey of existing research and debate
 - Packet 3: Develop a common language for communicating current practice on discount rates and risk
- Phase 2
 - Packet 4: developing a common framework
 - Packet 5 : the impact of change

Packet 1: Current Practice

Survey of different discount rates currently used for different purposes in each practice area in the UK

To include

- Historical perspective
- Legislative framework
- Nature of promise
- Impact of government actions on nature of promise

To understand who liabilities are in respect of

- Shareholders
- Policyholders
- Management
- Regulators
- Trustees
- Pension scheme members

Covering following areas of actuarial work:

- Life assurance
- General insurance
- Pensions
- Finance & Investment
- Enterprise RiskManagement

UK focus with only a passing reference to international developments where they have a particular bearing on UK practice

Initial findings: Discount Rate project

- Number of different methodologies for setting discount rates
- Principle Drivers
 - Purpose of the calculation and the context (practice area)
- Calculations fall into two broad categories:

Matching calculations

- What are the characteristics of the liability cash flow?
- Are there any traded instruments which match liability cash flows?
- Is the market deep, liquid and transparent?

Budgeting calculations

- How is the liability being financed?
- What is the current yield on the investments?
- Is the current yield the same as the total overall return?

Initial findings: Discount Rate project

Matching

- Accounting
 - Current IAS19 (pen)
 - Future IFRS4 (ins)
- Statutory reserves
 - Future (SII)
- Capital requirements (ins)
 - Current ICA
 - Future (SII)
- Shareholder (ins)
 - MCEV
- Risk transfer
 - Section75 (Pen)
 - Hedging (banks, ins)

Budgeting

- Accounting
 - Current (ins)
 - Director's pensions
- Statutory reserves
 - Current (ins)
- Funding (pens)
 - Technical provisions
 - Recovery plans
- Shareholder (ins)
 - Traditional EV
- Risk transfer
 - Transfer values (pen)
- Govt STPR
- Fundamental value

Calculations differ in the nature and degree of risk embedded in the discount rate

Initial findings: common language

- Improved language
 - Glossary of terms?
- Disclosure of risk
 - How risk is accommodated in calculations
 - How communicated
 - Are consequences understood?
- Education
 - Long term financing and regular measurement
 - Behavioural consequences
- Should discount rates state a specific purpose?

Should discount rates differ?

- Funding (budgeting exercise)
 - Enable different views on future uncertain events
 - New benefits vs deficit correction
- Valuation /Assessing capital (matching)
 - Is this a least risk assessment?
 - Should it take account of specific circumstances
 - own credit risk or a fulfilment approach
- Do different purposes justify different approaches
 - Market consistent (portfolio replication) or expected return (projected budgeting)?
- Accounting:
 - Is the purpose to provide best possible quantification or to facilitate comparison?
- Who are the end users and what are their purposes?

Differing discount rates: security

Does security justify different discount rates

- Guaranteed versus discretionary benefits
- Should the risk of guarantor default be considered? If so how measured?
- Security depends on collateral, amount and quality; should identical pension funds with same funding level but different assets have different discount rates?
- External protection, PPF, FSCS, other?
- Should future actions be considered, investment policy, or regulatory, for example removal of Tax advantages on commutation?
- Does the legal vehicle for delivering the benefits matter? Should insured benefits be discounted differently?
- Should this be recast as a discussion on capital?
 - Extra risk = lower security; an expression of capital

Different discount rates: Other considerations

- Should current and future benefits be treated the same?
 - Consider ongoing service and deficit contribution
 - In insurance reinvestment rates are lower to allow for a margin of prudence,
 - should discount rates be higher to reflect greater uncertainty of delivery?
- What is a consistent treatment of demographic risk?
 - Are there a market consistent assumptions?
 - Member options; do assumptions differ for matching and budgeting calculations? Are any demographic assumptions inter-linked with economic assumptions?

Discount Rates: the actuarial profession

- Should the profession address the diversity of approaches to discount rates?
- Should the IAA be leading the work for the IASB, what role should the UK profession play?
- What are the risks to the profession if it:
 - i) does not provide direction on discount rates
 - ii) advocates a change in approach?
- Can this debate and work be structured to enhance the standing of the profession?