

**The Actuarial Profession**  
making financial sense of the future

Current Issues in Pensions  
Marcus Hurd, Aon Hewitt



**Effective decisions**  
Understanding biases

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuarial.org.uk

## Heuristics

The way in which we make decisions

**System 1**  
(intuition)

Fast  
Automatic  
Implicit  
Emotional

**System 2**  
(logic)

Slower  
Conscious  
Effortful  
Explicit

Kahneman, 2003, Bounded rationality

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuarial.org.uk

1

---

## Bounded rationality

---

Real world simplification

Kahneman, 2003, Bounded rationality

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

2

---

## Purpose of trustee / sponsor / member decisions

---

- The world we view
  - To help stakeholders make better decisions?
  - To help stakeholders be happier with our decisions?
  - To help others be happier with our decisions?
- The world we want others to view
  - To help others to make better decisions?
  - To help others make a certain decision?
  - To help them be happier with our decisions?

© 2010 The Actuarial Profession • www.actuaries.org.uk

3

---

## Certainty: estimating probabilities

---

- Individuals prefer certainty ... link to confidence
- Individuals struggle to estimate probabilities
- Examples
  - Confidence intervals
  - False negatives
  - DNA

---

## Overconfidence

---

- Individuals overestimate their own ability
- Effect less with experts
- Self-awareness linked with low self-esteem(!)

---

## Game

---

- I'm thinking of a number rule
- I will answer yes/no
- What is my yes/no number rule?

---

## Game: Confirmation bias

---

- I'm thinking of a number rule
- I will answer yes/no
- What is my yes/no number rule?
  
- The rule is non-primes  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
- Most individuals and groups only seek confirmatory evidence

---

## Framing / loss aversion

---

There is an outbreak of an unusual disease and two vaccinations exist:

- (a) Vaccination A will save 200 people
- (b) Vaccination B provides a  $\frac{1}{3}$  probability of saving 600 people

---

## Framing / loss aversion (2)

---

There is an outbreak of an unusual disease and two vaccinations exist:

- (a) If Vaccination A is adopted, 400 people will die
- (b) If Vaccination B is adopted, then there is a  $\frac{2}{3}$  probability that 600 people will die

---

## Framing / loss aversion (3)

---

- Lots of ways to ask the same question
- Frame the same question differently
- Trustee group dynamics – the pessimist

---

## Framing

---

Primacy theory ----- Intermediate theory ----- Recency theory  
← Use of ranges →

- Availability – overestimate likelihood
- Anchors
- Mentalism and the power of suggestion

---

## Transactional utility

---

- You are on the beach in the sun and would like a beer
- Your honest friend calls
- How much are you willing to pay the fancy hotel?

---

## Transactional utility

---

- You are on the beach in the sun and would like a beer
- Your honest friend calls
- How much are you willing to pay the fancy hotel?
- What if, instead, they are passing a grocery store?

---

## Transactional utility

---

- Emphasis on value and outcome
- Remove personalities (?)
- When is it wrong to pursue a “value” opportunity?

---

## Value of possession

---

- FA Cup final ...sold out
- Current ticket price would have been £50.
- How much are you willing to pay for ticket?

---

## Value of possession

---

- FA Cup final ...sold out
- Current ticket price would have been £50.
- How much are you willing to pay for ticket?
- What if, instead, you already have a ticket.
- How much are you willing to sell it for?

---

## Value of possession

---

- Psychology of ownership
- Backing your own decision
- Importance of loyalty – psychological contract

---

## Do no harm / omission bias / status quo

---

- Who feels worse? ...
  - (a) Paul owns shares in company A, and considered switching to B, and would have been £1,200 better off if he had, but he didn't.
  - (b) George owns shares in company B, and did switch to company A and so finds he would have been £1,200 better off if he hadn't have switched.

---

## Do no harm / omission bias / status quo (2)

---

- Do no harm
- Don't make mistakes
- Don't fix it if it isn't broken
- Not punished for missed opportunities
  
- What happens when opportunities are rewarded?
  - Decision frameworks to reward effective decision making
  - Decision frameworks to promote risk management
  - Examples: Finishing a PhD, pharmaceuticals

---

## Question ...

---

- Purpose of understanding decision making:
  - (a) To make better decisions?
  - (b) To be happier with the decisions you have made?
  - (c) To show you made a sensible decision?

---

## Summary

---

- Heuristics
- Awareness
- Be clear of your objectives
- Understanding likely biases
- Helping others understand biases

### Credits

Bazerman, M (2005)  
Judgement in Managerial Decision Making  
John Wiley and Sons

### Contact details

marcus.hurd@aonhewitt.com  
twitter: @MarcusHurd