# MORTALITY OF ASSURED LIVES IN SOUTH AFRICA

#### CONTINUOUS INVESTIGATION 1956-58

[The following is a slightly abbreviated version of a report by the Mortality Standing Committee of the Actuarial Society of South Africa, which has been made available by courtesy of that Society. The report was discussed at meetings of the Society in Johannesburg on 28 November 1960 and in Cape Town on 23 January 1961; abstracts of the discussions and a written reply thereto on behalf of the Committee have been placed in the Institute Library.—Eds. J.I.A.]

# (1) Origin and scope of investigation

AT the 1956 Annual General Meeting of the Society it was decided to ask life offices to contribute appropriate data to a Continuous Mortality Investigation to be conducted under the auspices of the Society.

Data were called for in respect of ordinary life business; i.e. industrial and funeral business, annuity business, group assurance schemes and deferred annuity pension schemes were to be excluded. The subdivision of the data was basically as follows:

- (i) Territory: Union, Central African Federation, East Africa.
- (ii) Race: Europeans, Africans, Indians and Coloured lives.
- (iii) Medical and Non-medical separately.

Cases not accepted at ordinary rates for the race concerned were to be excluded. Separate returns were to be made in respect of female lives unless the proportion of female lives was small. Offices were asked if possible to make returns both on a sum assured basis and on a number of policies basis. Selection was to be traced for three years.

# (2) Response to investigation

The first returns received related to the census date falling in the year 1956. Eleven offices commenced contributing at that stage, one further office commenced in 1957, and one in 1958. The thirteen offices represent some 70 % of the industry, judged by premium income. It is hoped eventually to cover at least 90 % of the industry.

# (3) Selection of data for first investigation

Table 1 sets out the totals of the available data in the various subsections.

It was decided to concentrate on the subsection with the largest amount of data, as this was most likely to produce reliable results.

Other portions of the experience will be investigated later as time permits, and as the volume of data becomes sufficiently large to produce reliable results.

The subsection of the data chosen for the first investigation was therefore in respect of Europeans in the Union. It was decided to produce a table of graduated ultimate (3 years' duration and over) rates of mortality, combining medical and non-medical business, and to use these rates of mortality as a standard table to compare the medical experience with the non-medical experience. Time did not permit of the investigation of the select portion of the data.

It should be noted that while the subsection is described as being in respect of Europeans, some offices found it impracticable entirely to exclude non-European lives. A small proportion of non-European lives is therefore included in the experience. The experience is also preponderantly in respect of male lives, the proportion of female lives being small.

All offices participating in the investigation made returns on a policies basis, whereas five offices did not make a sum-assured basis return, and one other office did so for only part of the period. The investigation was therefore made on a policies basis.

# (4) Period of investigation and volume of data

Seven offices had made returns in respect of four census dates, i.e. 1956 to 1959 inclusive. Of the other six offices, five made three returns and one made two returns.

A decision had to be made on whether to adopt a period for the investigation such that all offices contributed for the same period. An analysis of the combined experience of all offices for the 1956, 1957 and 1958 census returns separately showed a sufficient degree of correspondence for the whole of the data to be adopted as the basis of the investigation. The experience therefore covers the census dates falling in the calendar years 1956, 1957 and 1958 together with the associated deaths.

The volume of the data may be judged from the following figures:

	Exposed to risk	Deaths
Medical	786,033.5	8,688
Non-medical	744,253.0	2,992
Total	1,530,286.5	11,680

The detailed figures are set out in Tables 2-4.

Table 1. Totals of exposed to risk and deaths for various subsections of the experience

	Durations 0-2 years		Durations 3 years and o	
	Exposed to risk	Deaths	Exposed to risk	Deaths
Europeans: Medical Union	162,915	425	786,034	8,688
Central African Federation East Africa	20,800 8,062	56 11	69,488 18,304	492 123
Europeans: Non-medical Union Central African Federation East Africa	422,890 45,526 9,193	850 84 9	744,253 52,012 5,781	2,992 168 7
Coloureds Natives Indians	9,413 1,488 24,091	18 2 61	10,543 11,972 46,380	50 47 346

#### (5) Graduation

Ungraduated rates of mortality for half-ages were derived using the 'modified policy year' method of Haynes.\* Ultimate rates of mortality for the policy year commencing in financial year N are given by

 $q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}=$  [Deaths after policy anniversary in year N aged x nearest birthday at end of year N plus deaths before policy anniversary in year (N+1) aged x nearest birthday at end of year N]  $\div$  [In force at end of year N aged x nearest birthday plus deaths after policy anniversary in year N aged x nearest birthday at end of year N].

The ungraduated rates of mortality (medical and non-medical combined) for durations 3 years and over, so arrived at, are set out in Table 4. The ungraduated values of  $q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}$  for x from 17 to 96 inclusive were used to produce graduated values of  $q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}$  for x from 27 to 86 inclusive, using the Spencer 21-term summation formula. The calculations were done on an electronic computer. The graduated values for x from 77 to 82 inclusive were adjusted by inspection to improve the run of the figures.

The usual tests of graduation were applied over the age range  $26\frac{1}{2}$  to  $85\frac{1}{2}$ . The progression of the rates is not ideally smooth, but is certainly smooth enough for practical purposes. Expected deaths over the range are 11,264.8 as against actual deaths of 11,265. The individual deviations seldom exceed twice the standard error of the deviations. The successive deviations change sign frequently as do the accumulated deviations. The graduation was considered satisfactory and was adopted.

Table 2. South African Assured Lives 1956–58 (durations 3 years and over): exposed to risk, deaths, and observed rates of mortality

# Europeans-Union-Medical

Nearest	Exposed	Dartha	_	Nearest	Exposed	Daratha	
age x	to risk	Deaths	$q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}$	age x	to risk	Deaths	$q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}$
15	46.75	_	.00000	60	10834.5	212	·01957
16	82.75	_	.00000	61 62	9782.75	184	·01881 ·02576
17 18	157·75 482·75	I 2,	·00634 ·00414	63	9007 8493·75	232 228	·02570
19	710.75	2	.00281	64	7969.5	180	.02054
				•			
20 21	1132·25 2052·5	4 2	00353	65 66	7220 6734·5	187 222	•02590 •03296
22	3069.5	4	.00130	67	6300.25	264	·04190
23	4039.5	8	.00138	68	5875.5	231	.03932
24	5032	5	.00099	69	5482.5	251	.04578
25	6304.5	5	00070	70	5010	199	.03972
<b>2</b> 6	7955:25	3 9	.00113	71 71	4357:25	190	.04361
27	9749:25	8	.00082	72	3874.75	217	.05600
28	11355.25	7	.00062	73	3656.5	190	.05196
29	12511.25	20	.00160	74	3597.75	192	.05474
30	13733.25	16	.00117	75	3341.5	210	06285
31	14921.75	25	.00168	76	3050.2	266	.08720
32	16082.75	32	.00100	77	2676	229	08558
33	16993.25	24	.00141	78	2345.75	192	08185
34	17785.25	21	.00118	79	1941.5	1 <b>8</b> 5	109529
35	19041.25	36	.00189	80	1640.5	155	.09448
36	20111	45	.00224	81	1394	168	12052
37	20613.25	49	00238	82	1145.75	131	11434
38	20612	50	.00243	83	886.75	119	13420
39	20712.25	53	·00256	84	656.5	74	11272
40	21242.5	50	.00235	85	533.25	60	11252
<b>4</b> I	21844.25	81	.00371	86	409.75	71	17328
42	22598.75	88	· <b>o</b> o389	87	301.75	49	16239
43	23573	73	.00310	88	211.5	31	14657
44	24593.5	114	· <b>00</b> 464	89	166	33	·1988 <b>o</b>
45	24741.5	94	· <b>o</b> o380	90	122.5	12	· <b>0</b> 9796
46	<b>2</b> 4973	113	.00452	91	102	23	22549
47	25097.75	147	·00586	92	55.2	13	23423
48	25059·5 24986	171	·00682 ·00708	93	40	12	.30000
49		177		94	19.5	3	15385
50	24150.25	174	.00720	95	14.75	3	20339
51	23718.5	186	.00784	96	14.2	6	41379
52 52	23137	226	-00977	97	8 6	I	12500
53 54	21579	205 180	·00950 ·00926	98 99	0 <b>2</b>	2	.00000
	19435		00920			4	
55 56	16093 <b>·</b> 75 14348·25	191 194	·01187	100 and ov	3	-	.00000
50 57	14340 25	157	01352			0.400	
57 58	13624.25	199	01120	•	786,033.5	8,688	
59	12740.75	213	01401				
37	113	3	/-				

Table 3. South African Assured Lives 1956-58 (durations 3 years and over): exposed to risk, deaths, and observed rates of mortality

# Europeans-Union-Non-medical

Nearest	Exposed			Nearest	Exposed		
age x	to risk	Deaths	$q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}$	age $x$	to risk	Deaths	$q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}$
15	162.5		.00000	60	2238.25	38	.01699
16	272.5		.00000	61	1963	46	.02343
17	470.25		.00000	62	1732.5	41	.02367
18	2000	5	.00220	63	1552.75	41	·02640
19	3052.75	5	·00164	64	1302-25	37	·02841
20	5182	10	.00193	65	1091.75	27	.02473
21	8766-25	18	.00202	66	929.25	30	03228
22	12521	28	.00223	67	842.25	31	·03681
23	15077	21	.00139	68	701.25	42	.05989
24	17490.5	32	.00183	69	550	26	.04727
25	19958.25	32	.00160	70	425.25	26	.06114
26	22460.25	34	.00121	71	330.52	22	· <b>o</b> 6662
27	24524.5	27	.00110	72	279.75	12	•04290
28	25754.75	35	.00136	73	214.2	17	· <b>0</b> 79 <b>2</b> 5
29	25972	30	.00119	74	147.5	14	.09492
30	25854.25	29	.00113	75	92	9	•09783
31	25647.25	39	.0012	76	75	8	10667
32	25560.5	34	.00133	77	60-25	2	.03320
33	25420.5	46	.00181	78	39	5	12821
34	25312.75	32	.00126	79	20.75	I	.04819
35	25526	48	.00188	8o	9.5	4	.42105
36	25904.75	59	.00228	81	3	******	.00000
37	25485.5	69	· <b>0</b> 0271	82	1.75		.00000
38	24748.25	60	.00242	83	4.5	2	·47 <b>°</b> 59
39	24006.5	51	.00212	84	3	1	.33333
40	23792.75	69	.00290	85	2		.00000
41	23158.25	58	.00250	86	I		.00000
42	22805.5	86	.00377	87	I		.00000
43	22745.5	86	.00378	88			
44	22619.75	85	-00376	89			
45	21335.25	104	·00487	90			
46	20418.25	93	.00455	91			
47	19676.25	109	.00554	92			_
48	18550-25	109	.00588	93	1		.00000
49	17063.75	136	.00797	94	—		_
50	15011.75	126	.00839	95			
51	13469.75	114	·00846	96			
52	12128-25	96	.00791	97			
53	10422.75	92	.00883	98			
54	8236-75	94	.01141	99	***************************************		
55	5814.75	75	.01290	100	I	_	.00000
56	4689.5	66	.01407	and ov	er		
57	4034.75	59	01462		744,253.0	2,992	
58	3494.5	59	.01688				
59	3039-25	50	·01645				

Table 4. South African Assured Lives 1956–58 (durations 3 years and over): exposed to risk, deaths, and observed rates of mortality

Europeans-Union-Medical and non-medical combined

Nearest	Exposed			Nearest	Exposed		
age x	to risk	Deaths		age x	to risk	Deaths	α.
age A	to 118K	Deatils	$q_{x-rac{1}{2}}$	-			$q_{x-rac{1}{2}}$
15	209.25	_	.00000	60	13072.75	250	.01912
16	355.25		.00000	61	11745.75	230	· <b>0</b> 1958
17	628	1	.00129	62	10739.5	273	02542
18	2482.75	7	.00282	63	10046.5	269	·02678
19	3763.5	7	.00186	64	9271.75	217	.02340
20	6314.25	14	.00222	65	8311.75	214	.02575
21	10818.75	20	.00182	66	7663.75	252	·03288
22	15590.5	32	.00202	67	7142.5	295	.04130
23	19116.5	29	.00152	68	6576.75	273	.04121
24	22522.5	37	·00164	69	6032.5	277	.04592
25	26262.75	37	.00141	70	5435.25	225	.04140
26	30415.5	43	.00141	71	4687.5	212	.04523
27	34273.75	35	.00102	72	4154.5	229	.05512
28	37110	42	.00113	73	3871	207	.05347
29	38483.25	50	.00130	74	3655-25	206	·05636
30	39587.5	45	.00114	75	3433'5	219	·06378
31	40569	64	.00128	76	3125.5	274	.08767
32	41643.25	66	.00128	77	2736.25	231	.08442
33	42413.75	70	.00162	78	2384.75	197	.08261
34	43098	53	.00123	79	1962.25	186	09479
	44567.25	84	.00188	80	1650	159	.09636
35 36	46015.75	04 104	.00136	81	1397	168	12026
30 37	46098.75	118	.00226	82	1147.5	131	12020
37 38	45360.25	110	.00230	83	891	121	113580
39	44718.75	104	.00233	84	659.5	75	13372
		•		•			
40	45035.25	119	.00264	85	535.25	60	11210
41	45002.5	139	.00309	86	410.75	71	17285
42	45404.25	174	.00383	87	302.75	49	.16185
43	46318.5	159	.00343	88	211.5	31	14657
44	47213.25	199	.00421	89	166	33	·1988o
45	46076.75	198	.00430	90	122.2	12	· <b>0</b> 9796
46	45391.25	206	.00454	91	102	23	.22549
47	44774	256	00572	92	55.2	13	23423
48	43609.75	280	·00642	93	41	12	·29268
49	42049.75	313	.00744	94	19.5	3	15385
50	39162	300	·00766	95	14.75	3	.20339
51	37188-25	300	.00807	96	14.2	6	.41379
52	35265.25	322	.00913	97	8	I	12500
53	32001.75	297	·00928	98	6	_	.00000
54	27671.75	274	•00990	99	2	2	1.00000
55	21908.5	266	.01214	100	4		.00000
56	19037.75	260	·01366	and ov			
57	18048.5	216	·01197		530,286·5	11,680	
58	17118.5	258	.01507	1,	530,200.5	11,000	
59	15780	263	·01667				
• -	• •	-	•				

The remaining graduation problems concerned the completion of the two ends of the curve. At the young ages the data show a 'hump' in the curve round about ages 18–22, presumably due to accidental deaths. There is a corresponding 'hollow' about age 28. It was decided to complete this portion of the curve by graphic graduation, and to preserve the features shown by the crude rates of mortality. The usual tests of graduation were applied, and showed the graphic graduation to be satisfactory.

Table 5. South African Assured Lives 1956-58 (durations 3 years and over): graduated rates of mortality and comparison of actual deaths with expected deaths computed from graduated rates of mortality

# European-Union-Medical and non-medical combined

Nearest age x	Graduated $q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}$	Actual deaths		Actual – E dear +		Accum devia	tion	Standard error
15 16 17 18	.00140 .00162 .00192 .00207		.6 1.2 5.1 7.9	<u>1.8</u>	·6 ·2 —	  .8 	.1  .3 .3	.5 .8 1.1 2.3 2.8
20 21 22 23 24	·00206 ·00198 ·00186 ·00173 ·00160	14 20 32 29 37	13.0 21.4 29.0 33.1 36.0	1.0 3.0 —	4.1 	-9 	-5 -6 .6	3·6 4·6 5·4 5·8 6·0
25 26 27 28 29	·00146 ·00135 ·00126 ·00123	37 43 35 42 50	38·3 41·1 43·2 45·6 47·3		8·2 3·6		1·9  8·2 11·8 9·1	6·2 6·4 6·6 6·8 6·9
30 31 32 33 34	·00128 ·00135 ·00146 ·00158 ·00172	45 64 66 70 53	50·7 54·8 60·8 67·0 74·1	9·2 5·2 3·0	5·7 — — 21·1		14·8 5·6 ·4 — 18·5	7·1 7·4 7·8 8·2 8·6
35 36 37 38 39	·00188 ·00204 ·00222 ·00241 ·00261	84 104 118 110 104	83·8 93·9 102·3 109·3	·2 10·1 15·7 ·7		7.5 8.2	18·3 8·2 — 4·5	9·2 9·7 10·1 10·5 10·8
40 41 42 43 44	·00282 ·00306 ·00334 ·00367 ·00407	119 139 174 159 199	127·0 137·7 151·7 170·0 192·2	 1·3 22·3  6·8	11.0 — 8.0	6.9 11.1 —	12·5 11·2 —	11.3 11.7 13.0 13.0
45 46 47 48 49	.00454 .00506 .00564 .00625 .00689	198 206 256 280 313	209·2 229·7 252·5 272·6 289·7	3·5 7·4 23·3	23.7 — —		4·3 28·0 24·5 17·1	14·5 15·2 15·9 16·5

,					٥,	,			
						Expected aths		nulated ation	
Nea age		Graduated $q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}$	l Actual deaths	Expected deaths	+		+		Standard error
5° 5° 5°	I	·00754 ·00822 ·00891	300 300 322	295·3 305·7 314·2	4·7 7·8	<u></u> 5·7	10·9 5·2 13·0	_	17·2 17·5 17·7
5; 54		·00963 ·01044	297 274	308·2 288·9		11·2 14·9	1.8	13.1	17·6 17·0
5. 5.	6	·01139 ·01251 ·01382	266 260 216	249·5 238·2	16·5 21·8		3.4 25.2	8.2	15·8 15·4 15·8
5′ 5′ 5′	8	·01527 ·01684	258 263	249°4 261°4 265°7	_	33.4 3.4 2.7	_	11.6	16·3
6	0	01853 02040	250 230	242·2 239·6	7.8	9.6	_	6·5	15·5 15·4
6; 6; 6.	3	·02247 ·02481 ·02745	273 269 217	241·3 249·3 254·5	31.2	37.5	35.3 12.6		15·5 15·8
6; 6;	5	·03033 ·03329	214 252	252·I 255·I		38·1	_	40·3 43·4	16.0
6 <sup>4</sup> 68 64	8	·03621 ·03907	295 273	258·6 257·0	36·4 16·0	_	9.0	7.0	16·1 16·0
7	0	·04192 ·04490 ·04819	277 225 212	252·9 244·0 225·9	24·I	13.0	33·1 14·1 33·1	_	15.0
7: 7: 7:	2	·05204 ·05661	229 207	216·2	12.8	12.1	.9 13.0		14·7 14·8
7. 7.	5	·06197	206 219	226·5 233·8		20·5 14·8	_	34·4	12.3
7' 7' 7'	7	·07483 ·08191 ·08904	274 231 197	233.9 224.1 213.9	40·1 6·9	15.3	5.7 12.6	<u>-</u>	15·3 15·6
7: 8:	9	·09592 ·10263	186 159	188·2		2·2	_	4·9 15·2	13·7 13·0
8 8:	I 2	·10920 ·11572	168 131	152·6 132·8	15.4	1.8	·2 —	1.6	12·4 11·5
8; 8; 8;	4	·12222 ·12882 ·13560	121 75 60	108·9 85·0 72·6	<u>—</u>	10.0	.2		10·4 9·2 8·5
80 84	6 7	13500	71 49	58·7 45·7	3·3	=	·2 3·5		7·7 6·8
89 89	9	·16004 ·16994	31 33	33·8 28·2	4.8	<b>2·8</b> —	·7 5·5		5·8 5·8
9: 9: 9:	I	·18072 ·19238 ·20492	12 23 13	22·1 19·6 11·4	3·4 1·6	  10.1	<u> </u>	4·6 1·2	4·7 4·4 3·4
9; 9;	3	·21834 ·23264	12 3	9·6 4·5	3.0	1.2	3·4 1·9		3.0
9. 9 <sup>1</sup> 9 <sup>1</sup>	6	·24782 ·26388 ·28082	3 6 1	3·7 3·8 <b>2·2</b>	2.2	·7  1·2	3.4 2.2	_	1.2 1.3
9 9	8	·29864 ·31734	2	1·8 ·6	1.4	1.8	·4 1·8		·8
10	I	·33692 ·35738		.3 .7		·3 ·7	1·5 ·8		·5 ·8 ·6
10:	E	·3787 <b>2</b>	11,680	°4 11,679°6	<u>-</u> 426.0	4 425 6	·4 —		887·6

At the high ages an attempt at a graphic graduation showed that a second degree polynomial would be appropriate. The formula

$$q_{85\frac{1}{2}+h} = q_{85\frac{1}{2}} + \cdot 0077h + \cdot 00044h^2$$

was adopted after it had been established that it would fit the data satisfactorily.

Table 5 shows the graduated rates of mortality for ages  $14\frac{1}{2}$  to  $101\frac{1}{2}$ , together with the actual and expected deaths, deviations, accumulated deviations and the standard errors of the deviations. The standard error has been taken as the square root of the expected deaths.

### (6) Comparison with standard tables

For the purposes of identification the mortality table arrived at in this investigation is designated the S.A. 56/58 Ultimate Table.

The following table compares ultimate rates of mortality from the S.A. 56/58 Ultimate Table with those from various standard tables. The figures in parentheses are the S.A. 56/58 rates of mortality as a percentage of the standard table rates of mortality.

			$q_x\ Ultimate$		
Age	S.A. 56/58	A 1924–29	A 1924-29 Light	A 1949–52	C.S.O. 58 Basic
15	.00150	.00206 (73)	·00164 (91)	.00111 (135)	·00056 (268)
20	.00203	·00235 (86)	·00172 (118)	.00111 (183)	·00084 (242)
25	.00140	·00235 (60)	·00190 (74)	.00112 (125)	·00093 (151)
30	.00131	·00241 (54)	·00207 (63)	·00116 (113)	·00108 (121)
35	.00196	·00286 (69)	·00231 (85)	·00132 (148)	·00141 (139)
40	.00294	.00388 (76)	·00310 (95)	·00188 (156)	·00236 (125)
45	00479	.00527 (91)	·00426 (112)	·00330 (145)	·00403 (119)
50	.00788	·00764 (103)	.00635 (124)	.00599 (132)	·00671 (117)
55	.01193	.01190 (100)	·00983 (121)	·01035 (115)	·01093 (109)
60	.01944	.01973 (99)	·01574 (124)	01720 (113)	·01756 (111)
65	.03182	.03188 (100)	·02662 (120)	·02810 (113)	.02761 (115)
70	·04648	.05327 (87)	·04666 (100)	.04543 (102)	.04330 (107)
75	.07142	.08497 (84)	·07856 (91)	.07257 (98)	·06380 (112)
80	10592	12910 (82)	12019 (88)	11369 (93)	.09564 (111)
85	.13913	·18676 (74)	17254 (81)	17282 (81)	14012 (99)
90	·18644	-25611 (73)	24164 (77)	25168 (74)	19838 (94)

N.B. The C.S.O. 58 Basic Table is a recent American table that is stated to represent the average company ultimate mortality on standard ordinary business for the period 1950 to 1954.

In considering the results of the experience an assessment needs to be made of the degree of reliance that can be placed on the results. The A 1924-29 and A 1949-52 investigations each had about seven times the volume of data of the S.A. 56/58 investigation. On the other hand, an exposed to risk of some 1½ million policy years is a very considerable

Table 6. S.A. 56/58 Ultimate Table (durations 3 years and over)
Europeans-Union-Medical and non-medical combined

x	$q_x$	$l_x$	$e_x$	$\boldsymbol{x}$	$q_x$	$l_x$	$e_x$
15	.00120	9,999,999	55.403	60	·01944	8,084,393	16.020
16	·00179	9,984,999	54.486	61	.02140	7,927,232	15.368
17	.00201	9,967,126	53.584	62	•02360	7,757,590	14.705
18	.00209	9,947,092	52.692	63	.02610	7,574,511	14.060
19	.00209	9,926,303	51.802	64	·02888	7,376,816	13.437
20	.00203	9,905,557	50.911	65	.03182	7,163,773	12.836
21	.00192	9,885,448	50.014	66	.03476	6,935,822	12.258
22	.00180	9,866,468	49.111	67	·03764	6,694,733	11.700
23	·00167	9,848,709	48.199	68	.04048	6,442,743	11.157
24	.00153	9,832,261	47.280	69	.04337	6,181,941	10.628
25	.00140	9,817,218	46.352	70	·04648	5,913,830	10.110
26	.00130	9,803,474	45.417	71	.05003	5,638,955	9.603
27	.00124	9,790,729	44·476	72	.05423	5,356,838	9.108
28	.00122	9,778,589	43.532	73	.05919	5,066,337	8.631
29	.00125	9,766,659	42.585	74	·06496	4,766,461	8.174
30	.00131	9,754,451	41.638	75	.07142	4,456,831	7.741
31	.00140	9,741,672	40.693	76	.07836	4,138,524	7:337
32	.00152	9,728,034	39.750	77	·08551	3,814,230	6.961
33	.00165	9,713,247	38.810	78	.09250	3,488,075	6.612
34	.00180	9,697,220	37.874	79	.09929	3,165,428	6.285
35	.00196	9,679,765	36.943	80	.10592	2,851,133	5.978
36	.00213	9,660,793	36.015	81	•11246	2,549,141	5.687
37	.00231	9,640,216	35.092	82	•11896	2,262,464	5.407
38	.00251	9,617,947	34.173	83	12550	1,993,322	5.137
39	.00271	9,593,806	33.259	84	.13212	1,743,160	4.874
40	.00294	9,567,806	32.350	85	.13913	1,512,801	4.617
4I	.00319	9,539,677	31.445	86	•14684	1,302,325	4.363
42	.00350	9,509,245	30.546	87	15542	1,111,092	4.114
43	•00386	9,475,963	29.653	88	•16488	938,406	3.871
44	.00430	9,439,386	28·768	89	17522	783,681	3.635
45	.00479	9,398,797	27.892	90	·18644	646,365	3.407
46	-00535	9,353,776	27.026	91	·19854	525,857	3.188
47	.00594	9,303,734	26.172	92	'21152	421,453	2.977
48	·00657	9,248,469	25.328	93	-22538	332,307	2.776
49	.00721	9,187,707	<b>2</b> 4·496	94	.24012	257,412	2.584
50	-00788	9,121,464	23.674	95	*25574	195,602	2.400
51	·00856	9,049,586	22.862	96	.27224	145,579	2.222
52	·00926	8,972,122	22.059	97	·28962	105,946	2.058
53	.01002	8,889,040	21.265	98	.30788	75,262	1.896
54	.01089	8,799,972	20.480	99	.32702	52,090	1.740
55	.01193	8,704,140	19·706	100	.34704	35,056	1.586
56	.01315	8,600,300	18.944	101	.36794	22,890	1.428
57	.01453	8,487,206	18-196	102	.38972	14,468	1.260
58	·01604	8,363,887	17-464	103	40000	8,830	1.064
59	·01766	8,229,730	16.749	104	.20000	5,298	.773

amount of data, and bearing in mind that the general level of mortality for the three years taken separately was very similar, it is felt that the table produced gives a good measure of current ultimate assured lives mortality for European male lives in the Union.

The general shape of the mortality curve for the Union is different from the four standard tables with which a comparison is made, although there is a marked similarity (but a different level) over certain wide ranges of ages. For example, compare the mortality rates at ages 50–69 with A 1924–29 Light, or at ages 50–84 with C.S.O. 58.

As regards the level of mortality, the S.A. table is generally lower than A 1924–29, lower than A 1924–29 Light except at the important middle ages, lower than A 1949–52 only from the mid-70's, and lower than C.S.O. 58 only at the very high ages.

At the younger ages the S.A. table is perhaps surprisingly in excess of the modern British and American experience. It is estimated that accidental deaths account for more than 40 % of the total deaths below age 40 amongst European assured lives in the Union. Comparable figures for Britain and America would establish whether or not the Union's high accident rate is the main reason for the relatively less favourable mortality at the younger ages.

It has been said that the Union is second only to the U.S.A. in the incidence of coronary heart disease amongst males. The high incidence of deaths from this type of disease in the Union may well explain the relationship between the S.A. table and A 1949–52 from the middle ages up to the mid-70's, but if the U.S.A. has a still higher incidence than the Union, the relatively lower American experience at these ages is somewhat puzzling.

At the high ages the S.A. 56/58 experience is good. The question arises whether this is genuine or whether the inclusion of numbers of small paid-up policies where the assured lives have died, but where the policies remain on the books because the deaths have not been notified, has artificially lowered the experience rates of mortality. To guard against this possibility offices were asked to exclude all policies where the sum assured was less than £100, and this was done in respect of at least 60% of the experience. The light experience is therefore more likely to be genuine than not, and on general grounds there are two reasons for expecting light mortality at high ages in the Union. First, the climate is more favourable to survival at the older ages than is the case in say Britain. Secondly, the heavier death-rates at the earlier ages can lead to a relative improvement at the older ages on the principle of 'the survival of the fittest'.

# (7) Medical and non-medical experience

The following table sets out a comparison of the medical and non-medical sections of the experience. The expected deaths have been calculated using the graduated values of  $q_{x-\frac{1}{2}}$  derived from the combined experience.

•	Medical				Non-medic		
Age- group	A	E	100 A E	A	E	100 A E	$rac{ ext{Medical}}{ ext{Non-medical}}\%$
15-19	5	3.0	166.7	10	12.0	83.3	200.1
20-24	23	27.2	84.6	109	105.2	103.3	81.9
25-29	49	61.6	79.5	158	153.9	102.7	77.4
30-34	118	118.6	99.5	180	188.7	95.4	104.3
35-39	233	226·4	102.9	287	279.7	102.6	100.3
40-44	406	388.8	104.4	384	389.8	98.5	106.0
45-49	702	709.1	99.0	551	5 <del>44</del> .7	101.3	97•8
50-54	971	994.0	97.7	522	518.4	100.7	97.0
55-59	954	979.1	97.4	309	285.3	108.3	89•9
60-64	1036	1032.3	100.4	203	194.6	104.3	96·3
65-69	1155	1130.7	102.1	156	145.0	107.6	94.9
70-74	988	1060.0	93.1	91	70.8	128.5	72.5
75-79	1082	1070.2	101.1	25	22.3	112.1	90.2
80–84	647	646.2	100.1	7	2.4	291.7	34.3
85-89	244	238.4	102.3	_	.6		
90-94	63	66.3	95.0		•2		
95-99	12	12.1	99.2				
100 and over	_	1.1	_	-	<b>'4</b>	a.anninga.nag	
Total	8688	8766·o	99.1	2992	2914.3	102.7	96.2

The correspondence between medical and non-medical mortality is somewhat closer than was the case with the A1949-52 experience (durations 3 years and over), where medical mortality was about 94% of non-medical mortality.

The Committee responsible for the A1949-52 investigation pointed out that the aggregate difference between medical and non-medical mortality was largely fortuitous, as the following quotation from their report shows:

When comparisons are made between the medical and non-medical business of individual offices the margin between the mortality experienced by these two classes of business greatly diminishes. It seems, in fact, that a high proportion of the total non-medical business is transacted by offices whose mortality experience is heavier than average in all classes. Consequently, one reason why the non-medical experience is heavier than the medical experience is that it contains a higher proportion of data from 'heavy' offices.

In the present investigation comparisons of figures for individual offices have not been made, but it is likely that the same feature is present. Also, the fact that there are fewer contributing offices, and that

one office contributed about 60% of the data, may be the main reason for the closer correspondence of medical with non-medical mortality as compared with the A 1949-52 investigation.

The figures in the table given above convey the impression that there is a different relationship between medical and non-medical mortality at the middle and higher ages as compared with the younger ages. Care is needed, however, in interpreting the figures, for somewhat different patterns are revealed by different groupings of the data, as follows:

Age- group	$\frac{ ext{Medical}}{ ext{Non-medical}}\%$	Age- group	$\frac{\mathrm{Medical}}{\mathrm{Non\text{-}medical}}\%$	Age- group	$\frac{\text{Medical}}{\text{Non-medical}}\%$
15-24	91.2	15~29	82.2	15-34	93*4
25-39	98∙o	30-44	104.0	35-49	100.2
40-54 55-69	93·6	45-59 60-74	90.0 92.2	50-64 65-79	95·1
70-	77 <b>·</b> 1	75-	81.5	80-	51.2

# (8) Conclusion

The mortality table produced by the investigation can be regarded as a useful measure of European male assured life mortality in the Union. It does not purport to represent the experience of any one office, nor of any one class of business, and actuaries will no doubt wish to compare their own offices' experience with the average experience as represented by the table.

Much remains to be done, for no attempt has yet been made to investigate select rates of mortality, nor non-Union mortality, nor the mortality of non-European lives. All of these investigations will be pursued, and the main investigation will be added to as more data become available.

As stated earlier, relatively few returns were made on a sum-assured basis. For the Committee to make use of the data it will have to make a comparison, for each office that makes a sum-assured return, between the policies basis returns and the sum-assured basis returns, or to make a similar comparison for the total of the data for these offices. As some offices prefer not to have their individual results available to anyone other than themselves, the Committee is averse to making comparisons for individual offices. Even the making of the combined comparison might well give an indication of the experience of an individual office. For this reason, and also because of the additional work entailed when so many other aspects still require to be investigated, the Committee would prefer not to pursue any investigation on a sum-assured basis. It is felt that returns should no longer be made to the Committee on a sumassured basis, and that those offices that wish to see the effect of adopting this alternative unit of investigation should make their own comparisons from their own data.