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## Outline

- The impact of asset purchase decisions on interest rates and share prices.
- Other influences on gilt yields.
- Impact of interest rate changes on pension funds.
- Impact of interest rate changes on incomes of old people.


## Decisions to Purchase Assets

Cumulative asset purchase announcements (£bn)


Total asset purchases compared to debt and equity

(a) Private Debt for 2010/2011 is used to represent private debt in 2011/2012
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Asset purchases as a percentage of FTSE All Share market cap.



## QE impact on sterling and FTSE All-Share


(a) Based on a two day window event study
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Fixed income yields and UK equity market, indicating times of QE announcements


## Sovereign Bond Yield Differentials relative to US and

 Germany, indicating times of QE announcements

Changes in expectations of purchases

| Table A Changes in auction maturity sectors |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Market Notice | Auction Details |
| 11 February 2009 | February IR and press conference give strong indication that gilt <br> purchases are likely. <br> No details on the quantity or distribution of purchases |
| 05 March 2009 | Gilt purchases announced, split between two auction maturity <br> sectors for gilts, with maturities of: (i) 5-10 years; (ii) 10-25 years. |
| 06 August 2009 | Purchases split between three auction maturity sectors for gilts, <br> with maturities of: (i) 3-10 years; (ii) 10-25 years; (iii) 25+ years. |
| 09 February 2009 | Purchases split between three auction maturity sectors for gilts, <br> with maturities of: (i) 3-7 years; (ii) 7-15 years; (iii) 15+ years. |
| BANK OF ENGLAND |  |

## Changes in expectations of purchases

Table B Market expectation of amount of gilt purchases expected in the future, mean response to Reuters survey
$£$ billions
Date of MPC
announcement and

| Market Notice | 05 March 2009 | 06 August 2009 | 09 February 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Expected before | 0 | 27 | 86 |
|  | (n.a.) | (30 Jul 2009) | (1 Feb 2012) |
| Expected after | 142 | 62 | 92 |
|  | (1 Apr 2009) | (6 Aug 2009) | (9 Feb 2012) |
| Total QE 'surprise' | 142 | 35 | 6 |

## Effects of local supply surprises

- Asset purchases can affect the local supply of a specific gilt maturity if gilts are imperfect substitutes.
- This 'local supply' surprise in the maturity distribution will affect yields, even if the scale of asset purchases is already expected.
- As a result the following specification can be used to provide an alternative measure of the impact of QE :

$$
\Delta y_{n}=\alpha+\beta \Delta q_{n}+\gamma d_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}
$$
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## Yield change regression results

| Independent variables |  | 2009 |  |  | 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 | March | August |  |

## The Long Term Effect of Asset Purchases

- Joyce and Tong (Economic Journal 2012) looked at yields on gilts with maturity five years + from January 2009 to April 2010.
- Yields were explained by:

1. Expectations of asset purchases.
2. Cumulative purchases of individual and nearby gilts
3. The expected cumulative fiscal deficit over the period 2008-2013
4. The UK credit default swap premium
5. The three-year overnight indexed swap rate

- They suggested $£ 200$ bn of asset purchases had reduced rates by around 1.2 percentage points at 15-20 year maturity.
- This suggests the overall impact of the programme of $£ 375$ bn purchases may be around two percentage points.


## Other factors driving down gilts yields

- Expectations of low short-term rates.

The expectations model suggests that long rates are the average of current and expected future short rates.

$$
R_{T}=\left\{\prod_{t=1}^{T}\left(1+r_{t}\right)\right\}^{1 / T}-1
$$

Why should expected future rates have fallen?

## Slower Economic Growth and greater risk

The relationship between these and the interest rate based on intertemporal choice.
$E \Delta \ln c_{t+1}=\rho^{-1}\left(E r_{t+1}-\delta\right)+\rho \omega^{2} / 2$
$E$ is expectation; $\quad c_{t+1}$ is consumption
$\delta$ is the discount rate
$\omega$ is the variance of next period consumption
$\rho^{-1}$ indicates how far a change in the price of future consumption relative to current consumption induces people to change their spending plans (intertemporal elasticity of substitution)
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## Implications

- The rate of interest and the rate of consumption growth are related. With growth is driven by supply-side considerations, low growth implies low interest rates.
- An increase in risk at a given rate of growth implies a reduction in the safe rate of interest- a safe haven effect.
- But these would not also drive share prices up.

Yields and fund deficits ${ }^{(a)}$

(a) Estimated movements in assets and liabilities of schemes in the Purple Book 2012 dataset

Source: PPF/ The Pension Regulator

## Illustrative effects of DB Pension scheme deficits

|  | Baseline Scheme | Scheme 1 | Scheme 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fully-funded at | . 2007 | Under-funded at Mar. $2007$ |
|  | Matched Asset/Liability | Asset/Lia | bility Mismatch |
| Deficits at: |  |  |  |
| End Mar. 2007 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -30.0 |
|  | (100/100) | (100/100) | (70/100) |
| End Feb. 2009 | 0.0 | -26.5 | -49.4 |
|  | (102.9/102.9) | (76.4/102.9) | (53.5/102.9) |
| End Feb. 2010 | 0.0 | -9.6 | -36.5 |
|  | (99.3/99.3) | (89.7/99.3) | (62.8/99.3) |
| End Sep. 2011 | 0.0 | -26.5 | -56.1 |
|  | (125.0/125.0) | (98.5/125.0) | (69.0/125.0) |
| End May 2012 | 0.0 | -33.5 | -65.5 |
|  | (140.1/140.1) | (106.6/140.1) | (74.6/140.1) |

Note: Negative figures indicate deficits/any increase in deficits/liabilities

## Illustrative effects of DB Pension scheme deficits continued

£m deficit for $£ 100 m$ (valued at Mar. 2007) DB pension schemes

| Baseline Scheme | Scheme 1 | Scheme 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fully-funded at Mar. 2007 | Under-funded at |  |
|  |  | Mar. 2007 |
| Matched | Asset/Liability Mismatch |  |
| Asset/Liability |  |  |

Changes Mar. 2007-

| May 2012 | $O[0 \%]$ | $-33.5[-33.5 \%]$ | $-35.5[-50.7 \%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Due to QE | 0 | -5.1 | -12.6 |
| $\quad$ Change in assets | 30.3 | 25.2 | 17.7 |
| $\quad$Change in |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ liabilities | -30.3 | -30.3 | -30.3 |
| Due to other factors | 0 | -28.4 | -22.8 |

Note: Negative figures indicate deficits or any increase in deficits/liabilities
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## Illustrative examples of annuities

$£$ per year from a pension fund valued at $£ 100,000$ at end March 2007
Negative figures indicate reduction in annuity

| Portfolio 1 <br> 'Conservative' | Portfolio 2 | Portfolio 3 | Annuity Rate <br> $(\% / p p s)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 7140 | 7140 | 7140 | $7.14 \%$ |
| $(100000)$ | $(100000)$ | $(100000)$ |  |
| 7160 | 5630 | 4090 | $6.96 \%$ |
| $(102940)$ | $(80860)$ | $(58780)$ |  |
| 6710 | 6170 | 5630 | $6.76 \%$ |
| $(99330)$ | $(91340)$ | $(83360)$ |  |
| 7700 | 6340 | 4980 | $6.16 \%$ |
| $(125020)$ | $(102930)$ | $(80850)$ |  |
| 8200 | 6560 | 4930 | $5.85 \%$ |
| $(140130)$ | $(112210)$ | $(84280)$ |  |

Note: Numbers in () are the values of assets/liabilities at point in time

## Illustrative examples of annuities continued

$£$ per year from a pension fund valued at $£ 100,000$ at end March 2007

| Negative figures indicate reduction in annuity | Portfolio 1 <br> 'Conservative' | Portfolio 2 <br> 'Balanced' | Portfolio 3 <br> 'High risk' | Annuity Rate (\%/pps) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Changes Mar. 2007- May 2012 | 1060 | -580 | -2210 | -1.29 pp |
| Due to QE | -10 | 130 | 260 | -1.63 pp |
| o/w impact from higher asset value o/w impact from lower annuity rate | 1620 -1630 | 1760 -1630 | 1890 -1630 |  |
| Due to other factors | 1070 | -710 | -2470 | 0.34 pp |

Note: Numbers in () are the values of assets/liabilities at point in time

## Changes since 2012 Q3

|  |  | Increase in <br> Assets | Increase in <br> Liabilities |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Change in Gilt <br> Yield | +0.13 | $0.6 \%$ | $-2.6 \%$ |  |
| Change in Share <br> Prices | $+2.9 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | Assets | Liabilities | Deficit |
| 2012Q3 Average |  | 1052.5 | 1326.6 | 274.1 |
| 2012Q4 Average |  | 1060.6 | 1304.8 | 244.2 |
| 2012 December |  | 1065.6 | 1310.3 | 244.7 |
| 2013 Jan Estimate |  | 1082.6 | 1276.2 | 193.6 |

The estimate for January 2013 s computed using the PPF ready reckoners


| Mean Asset Holdings for those aged 50-64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 45-55 |  | 70-80 |  |
|  |  | 2008 mean 2010 mean 2008 mean 2010 mean <br> (£s) (£s) (£s) (£s) |  |  |  |
| Primary House Wealth |  | 169,913 | 174,509 | 255,584 | 260,773 |
| Financial Wealth | Long Term | 11,158 | 6,905 | 21,108 | 26,027 |
|  | Short Term | 20,745 | 19,819 | 38,215 | 47,952 |
| Physical Wealth |  | 7,376 | 6,469 | 27,409 | 23,904 |
| Debt |  | 20,125 | 17,233 | 18,852 | 14,605 |
| Net Wealth |  | 189,067 | 190,469 | 323,464 | 344,052 |
| Impact of 1\% rise in rates on annual income |  | 6 | 26 | 194 | 333 |
| Mean Annual Income |  | 22,120 | 23,910 | 29,793 | 29,724 |
| Impact as a percentage of income |  | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.65 | 1.12 |
| BANK OF ENGLAND | Source: Eng | glish Longitudin | Study of Age | Bank Calcula |  |




## Conclusions

- Asset purchases have had the effect of reducing medium and long-term interest rates.
- Gilt yields may have been reduced by around two per cent.
- Other factors have also contributed to the overall fall in yields.
- Fully-funded and hedged pension funds are not affected by the decline in yields but those which are underfunded or have a portfolio mismatch may be.
- Overall deficits have fallen markedly as interest rates and the stock market have risen in the last few months.
- Low gilt yields may depress the consumption of people with DC pension schemes close to retirement.
- Low interest rates transfer income from old people to young people with net incomes of reasonably wealth people aged 65 and over reduced by about two per cent for each percentage point reduction in net interest rates.
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