

Behavioural Finance, Retirement Decision Making, and Investment

Actuarial Profession, May 2015





- From rational economics to behavioural economics
- Individuals
- Trustees
- Advisors
- Regulators
- The brave new world of UK pensions...





"People seek to maximise their well-being not at a single point in time, but over time. Someone who saves does so not because extra consumption today has no value, but because he values extra consumption in the future more highly than extra consumption today."

Barr and Diamond (2006)

What is this statement saying people do?





- 1. Completeness all actions can be ranked
- 2. Transitivity (ApB) (BpC) therefore (ApC)
- 3. Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives (ApB) (A, B, X) (ApB)

This allows us to arrive at Von Neumann and Morgenstern Utility (1944)


'...a complete set of rules of behaviour [for] all conceivable [contingencies]'

Rationality is connected with decisions and actions and not beliefs and attitudes

Individuals attempt to maximise their expected utility ...how can this be the case in pensions in an inherently uncertain World...

Fama and Shiller get the Nobel Prize?











Well, there is a 4th criteria for rationality

- attitudes and beliefs should not be incompatible with empirical observation

If this is not the case we get to 'irrationality' – the world of anomalies in academic finance...

So what is behavioural finance concerned with?

- The real world with all its complexities – rational is easy irrational is hard





Prospect Theory

The value function is defined over gains and losses relative to some reference point. Anchoring - Transactions are often evaluated one at a time, rather than in conjunction with everything else.

Both the gain and loss functions display diminishing sensitivity. That is, the gain function is concave and the loss function is convex.

Loss aversion. Losing £100 hurts more than gaining £100 yields pleasure



Extrapolating small samples to large samples

Linda is a bank teller is much more likely than Linda is a bank teller and a bank robber

- Representativeness

I have won on the last 5 races I bet on, ergo I must win on this race

- Gamblers Fallacy





Mental Accounting

- Framing the description of an event
- Win £75 or win £25 and £50?
- Sales in shops big discounts seem more attractive
- Hedonic Editing the internal process by which a decision is reached
- Why does £5 off something costing £15 seem better than something for £120 that was £125?

Some Relevant Behavioural Traits



Budgeting and Fungibility

Big baths Vs tins

Substitutability

Regular income Vs petty cash - Starbucks

Choice Bracketing Dynamics

Memberships of health clubs

Credit cards increase spending – salience of costs is lower



- Individuals are prone to all of the above characteristics but not systematically
- Gender and risk taking in the DC world default funds
- Understanding costs 2015 pensions become bank accounts
- Understanding the best deal not everyone is honest misselling
- Lamborghini's for all problems of framing and discounting
- Mental accounting around life expectancy
- Interia
- Trust...





UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

People do not save enough – Save More Tomorrow – better outcomes via behavioural finance?

The Nudge - Richard H Thaler, Cass R Sunstein

Now been criticised based on civil liberties in the US?



Trustees



Investment decision making

- Risk aversion
- Discounting
- Information processing/framing

Myners (2001) – Pensions Act (2004)

One particular asset class interests me – UK infrastructure

Herding in pension funds is significant – regulations/de-risking

In so many respects, investment decision making is a gamble. But it is hoped it is a structured gamble, framed by habits, rules, and norms that allow trustees scope for both decision making and protection in case things go wrong.

Clark (1998)





Advisors have to work in this World...



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2tfB-SSYL1A







In the real world we use heuristics

We are happy with good outcomes as optimal outcomes are too hard and costly

Regulation does not satisfice in this way

Regulation is for optimal and leads to bad outcomes – 40/80 – 35/80 or DC?

Equitable Life has an awful lot to answer for...

Inconsistency in policy – NEST Vs the pension as a bank account

The Brave New World...



State endorsed pensions liberation...people are not good with restraint



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QX_oy9614HQ



Retirement savings products are going to have to be smarter?

Do we need collectivism in pensions and retirement savings?

As we move towards individualism how can we operate and get to good outcomes in an irrational world?

If outcomes are bad this ultimately falls back on the state

At the other end – games are afoot – transfers to DC – no mandatory retirement – death duties on pension transfers abolished...

More changes to come – leaves the industry open to unscrupulous players in the short-run