

INSTITUTE AND FACULTY OF ACTUARIES

EXAMINATION

6 October 2011 (am)

Subject CT6 — Statistical Methods Core Technical

Time allowed: Three hours

INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CANDIDATE

1. *Enter all the candidate and examination details as requested on the front of your answer booklet.*
2. *You must not start writing your answers in the booklet until instructed to do so by the supervisor.*
3. *Mark allocations are shown in brackets.*
4. *Attempt all 11 questions, beginning your answer to each question on a separate sheet.*
5. *Candidates should show calculations where this is appropriate.*

Graph paper is NOT required for this paper.

AT THE END OF THE EXAMINATION

Hand in BOTH your answer booklet, with any additional sheets firmly attached, and this question paper.

| |
|--|
| <p><i>In addition to this paper you should have available the 2002 edition of the Formulae and Tables and your own electronic calculator from the approved list.</i></p> |
|--|

1 The loss function for a decision problem is given below.

| | θ_1 | θ_2 | θ_3 |
|----|------------|------------|------------|
| D1 | 30 | 20 | 15 |
| D2 | 20 | 25 | 10 |
| D3 | 17 | 19 | 24 |
| D4 | 35 | 20 | 17 |

- (i) Explain which strategies, if any, are dominated. [3]
- (ii) Find the minimax solution to this decision problem. [1]
- [Total 4]

2 An accountant is using a psychic octopus to predict the outcome of tosses of a fair coin. He claims that the octopus has a probability $p > 0.5$ of successfully predicting the outcome of any given coin toss. His actuarial colleague is extremely sceptical and summarises his prior beliefs about p as follows: there is an 80% chance that $p = 0.5$ and a 20% chance that p is uniformly distributed on the interval $[0.5, 1]$. The octopus successfully predicts the results of 7 out of 8 coin tosses.

Calculate the posterior probability that $p = 0.5$. [4]

3 Loss amounts under a class of insurance policies follow an exponential distribution with mean 100. The insurance company wishes to enter into an individual excess of loss reinsurance arrangement with retention level M set such that 8 out of 10 claims will not involve the reinsurer.

- (i) Find the retention M . [2]

For a given claim, let X_I denote the amount paid by the insurer and X_R the amount paid by the reinsurer.

- (ii) Calculate $E(X_I)$ and $E(X_R)$. [3]
- [Total 5]

4 Claims on a portfolio of insurance policies follow a Poisson process with parameter λ . The insurance company calculates premiums using a premium loading of θ and has an initial surplus of U .

- (i) Define the surplus process $U(t)$. [1]
- (ii) Define the probabilities $\psi(U, t)$ and $\psi(U)$. [2]
- (iii) Explain how $\psi(U, t)$ and $\psi(U)$ depend on λ . [2]
- [Total 5]

- 5** An insurance company covers pedigree cats against the costs of medical treatment. The cost of claims from a policy in a year is assumed to have a normal distribution with mean μ (which varies from policy to policy) and known variance 25^2 . It is assumed that $\mu = \alpha + \beta x$ where α and β are fixed constants and x is the age of the cat. You are given the following data for the pairs (y_i, x_i) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 50$ where y_i is the cost of claims last year for the i th policy and x_i is the age of the corresponding cat.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{50} x_i = 637 \quad \sum_{i=1}^{50} y_i = 5,492 \quad \sum_{i=1}^{50} y_i x_i = 74,532 \quad \sum_{i=1}^{50} x_i^2 = 8,312$$

Calculate the maximum likelihood estimates of α and β . [6]

- 6** Let X_1 and X_2 be two independent exponentially distributed random variables with parameters λ_1 and λ_2 respectively. The random variable X is related to X_1 and X_2 such that a single observation from X is chosen from X_1 with probability p and from X_2 with probability $1 - p$.

- (i) Show that the density function of X is

$$pf_1(x) + (1 - p)f_2(x).$$

where $f_i(x)$ is the density function of X_i . [2]

- (ii) Construct an algorithm for generating samples from X . [4]

- (iii) Describe how the algorithm in (ii) could be generalised for k independent components $p_1 f_1(x) + \dots + p_k f_k(x)$ where $p_1 + \dots + p_k = 1$, each $p_i \geq 0$ and $f_i(x)$ is the density of an exponential distribution with parameter λ_i . [2]

[Total 8]

7 A portfolio of insurance policies contains two types of risk. Type I risks make up 80% of claims and give rise to loss amounts which follow a normal distribution with mean 100 and variance 400. Type II risks give rise to loss amounts which are normally distributed with mean 115 and variance 900.

- (i) Calculate the mean and variance of the loss amount for a randomly chosen claim. [3]
- (ii) Explain whether the loss amount for a randomly chosen claim follows a normal distribution. [2]

The insurance company has in place an excess of loss reinsurance arrangement with retention 130.

- (iii) Calculate the probability that a randomly chosen claim from the portfolio results in a payment by the reinsurer. [3]
 - (iv) Calculate the proportion of claims involving the reinsurer that arise from Type II risks. [2]
- [Total 10]

8 Consider the time series

$$Y_t = 0.1 + 0.4Y_{t-1} + 0.9e_{t-1} + e_t$$

where e_t is a white noise process with variance σ^2 .

- (i) Identify the model as an ARIMA(p,d,q) process. [1]
 - (ii) Determine whether Y_t is:
 - (a) a stationary process
 - (b) an invertible process
 [2]
 - (iii) Calculate $E(Y_t)$ and find the auto-covariance function for Y_t . [6]
 - (iv) Determine the MA(∞) representation for Y_t . [4]
- [Total 13]

9 Claim events on a portfolio of insurance policies follow a Poisson process with parameter λ . Individual claim amounts follow a distribution X with density

$$f(x) = 0.01^2 x e^{-0.01x} \quad x > 0.$$

The insurance company calculates premiums using a premium loading of 45%.

- (i) Derive the moment generating function $M_X(t)$. [3]

- (ii) Determine the adjustment coefficient and hence derive an upper bound on the probability of ruin if the insurance company has initial surplus U . [5]
- (iii) Find the surplus required to ensure the probability of ruin is less than 1% using the upper bound in (ii). [2]

Suppose instead that individual claims are for a fixed amount of 200.

- (iv) Determine whether the adjustment coefficient is higher or lower than in (ii) and comment on your conclusion. [4]
- [Total 14]

10 The table below shows cumulative claims paid on a portfolio of motor insurance policies.

| <i>Accident Year</i> | <i>Development Year</i> | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | <i>0</i> | <i>1</i> | <i>2</i> | <i>3</i> |
| 2007 | 120 | 134 | 146 | 148 |
| 2008 | 140 | 180 | 185 | |
| 2009 | 135 | 149 | | |
| 2010 | 138 | | | |

All claims are fully run off by the end of development year 3.

- (i) Calculate the total reserve for outstanding claims using the basic chain ladder technique. [7]

An actuarial student suggests an alternative approach to projecting the claims as follows:

- For each of development years 1 to 3 calculate the observed development factor separately for each accident year.
- Then project claims assuming the development factor for a given year is the maximum of the observed development factors for the relevant accident year.
- For example for the development factor from development year 1 to development year 2 we can observe actual factors for accident years 2007 and 2008. To project claims, we assume that the development factor for development year 1 to development year 2 is the maximum of the two observed factors.

- (ii) Calculate the increase in the reserve for outstanding claims if claims are projected in this way. [5]
- (iii) Discuss why the method in (ii) may not be appropriate. [2]
- [Total 14]

- 11** Five years ago, an insurance company began to issue insurance policies covering medical expenses for dogs. The insurance company classifies dogs into three risk categories: large pedigree (category 1), small pedigree (category 2) and non-pedigree (category 3). The number of claims n_{ij} in the i th category in the j th year is assumed to have a Poisson distribution with unknown parameter θ_i . Data on the number of claims in each category over the last 5 years is set out as follows:

| | <i>Year</i> | | | | | $\sum_{j=1}^5 n_{ij}$ | $\sum_{j=1}^5 n_{ij}^2$ |
|------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>1</i> | <i>2</i> | <i>3</i> | <i>4</i> | <i>5</i> | | |
| Category 1 | 30 | 43 | 49 | 58 | 60 | 240 | 12,144 |
| Category 2 | 37 | 49 | 58 | 52 | 64 | 260 | 13,934 |
| Category 3 | 26 | 31 | 18 | 37 | 32 | 144 | 4,354 |

Prior beliefs about θ_1 are given by a gamma distribution with mean 50 and variance 25.

- (i) Find the Bayes estimate of θ_1 under quadratic loss. [5]
- (ii) Calculate the expected claims for year 6 of each category under the assumptions of Empirical Bayes Credibility Theory Model 1 [6]
- (iii) Explain the main differences between the approach in (i) and that in (ii). [3]
- (iv) Explain why the assumption of a Poisson distribution with a constant parameter may not be appropriate and describe how each approach might be generalised. [3]

[Total 17]

END OF PAPER