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Background: Why build pricing models?

- Statistical pricing models help to:
  - Avoid anti-selection
  - Target the right segment of customers
  - Enhance profitability
  - Gain competitive advantage
Background: Why build pricing models?

- All statistical models have an element of uncertainty around their estimates.
- Standard Error of the Mean $= s / \sqrt{n}$
  - Where,
    - $s$ is the sample standard deviation (i.e., the sample-based estimate of the standard deviation of the population), and
    - $n$ is the size (number of observations) of the sample.

- So could a simplistic application of statistical models and ignoring the inherent uncertainty actually be detrimental to profit?

---
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Pricing uncertainty

- Hypothesis:
  - Every insurer’s own experience is only a sample of the overall market experience for any risk.
  - The smaller the sample the higher the risk of mispricing due to sample error.
- Overpricing: less of an issue unless segment is critical to insurer’s strategy.
- Under-pricing: can be a significant issue, especially in the aggregator world.

Challenges:
- Working out by customer segment how much to adjust the basic risk price to allow for sample error.
- Checking the under-pricing risk across the portfolio is within the insurer’s risk appetite.
- Putting in place real-time portfolio management.

Estimating the burning cost in practice

- The standard approach is to separately parameterise:
  - Frequency model => Number of Claims per Policy
  - Severity model => Average Cost per Claim

- To obtain the combined model:
  - Burning Costs = Frequency x Severity
  => Average cost per policy

- The Frequency and Severity models are appropriately chosen via Generalized Linear Models (GLMs).
How to measure the uncertainty around the parameter estimates?

Assuming the dataset is sufficiently large, the parameter estimates are asymptotically multivariate normal distributed.

But it's only the burning cost that really matters!

We only know how to measure uncertainty around one parameter, but we want to measure price uncertainty.
What empirical method to use for determining pricing uncertainty?

- Randomly sample (with replacement) a dataset. This results in a set of different datasets that are only marginally different.

- Fit the same Model to all the datasets.

- Consider the variation of parameter estimates and predicted values or the fitted models.
The estimated burning cost can have significant variation.

What are the relative estimates for the Tenure factor in the Severity model?
How does the range of the prediction intervals vary with the number of policies?

Graph showing the variation of burning cost where there is sparse data.

Why (not) to use bootstrapping?

**Advantages**
- Bootstrapping is a very simple method
- Bootstrapping lets the data speak for itself
- It is easy to implement
- It is an appropriate way to control and check the stability of results

**Disadvantages**
- Bootstrapping is computationally heavy
- Important assumptions are being made when undertaking the analysis (Independence of samples, good representation of population)
- Difficult to derive an uncertainty measure out of the results
So what are the other options?

• It would be easier to calculate a prediction interval directly around a price in the same way as you would a confidence interval around a parameter estimate.

• There is a closed form uncertainty measure, which allows calculation of the prediction interval without having to use bootstrapping.

• This allows us to industrialise calculating a measure and fit it into BAU.
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How to use pricing uncertainty in the Pricing Process?

The Pricing Wheel provides a framework to help improve pricing capability.

Pricing decisions...

- Insurers must offer a competitive price, especially on PCWs
- A measure of uncertainty of the risk costs will:
  - Help to indentify risks where we are more ‘certain’ of a risk’s expected profitability to us – important when considering Winners curse.
  - Give confidence to RI that risks are adequately priced.
  - Help to build rate monitors and develop new risk monitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Insurer</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Price Uncertainty</th>
<th>Potential High price</th>
<th>Potential Low price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 A</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 B</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 C</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 D</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>398</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 E</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How to balance maximising revenue and risk appetite?

- The expected loss ratio looks out of control

How to balance maximising revenue and risk appetite?

- Significant rate increases = significant reduction in policies
How to balance maximising revenue and risk appetite?

- Considering the range of the expected loss ratio could lead to significantly different decisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected Loss Ratio</th>
<th>AWP and Risk Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180%</td>
<td>110%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190%</td>
<td>120%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200%</td>
<td>130%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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And how can we use this for optimisation against market and channel?

- CLV is based on numerous models all with a single point estimates.
- Understanding the prediction interval allows to adjust the amount of uncertainty risk.
- Reduce premium by a proportion of the prediction interval in segments where there is a higher Customer Lifetime Value.
- Get more good business without exceeding the uncertainty threshold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projection years</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>T+1</th>
<th>T+2</th>
<th>T+3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer holds 1 product without payment issues</td>
<td>🍀</td>
<td>🍀</td>
<td>🍀</td>
<td>🍀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer holds 1 product with payment issues</td>
<td>🍀</td>
<td>🍀</td>
<td>🍀</td>
<td>🍀</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How does this model work together with price optimisation?

The Customer Lifetime Value model is very intuitive

Using the company's client data to model client behaviour:
- A higher lapse rate results in a lower customer value.
- A higher probability of non-payment results in a lower customer value.
- A higher rate of cross selling results in a higher customer value.
- A higher product yield results in a higher customer value.

Assign a “pound value” to predicted client behaviour
- Administrative costs (e.g. dept collectors)
- Fixed cost per policy
- Profit per policy
How to use Customer Lifetime Value and pricing uncertainty in price optimisation?

The risk premium prediction interval is used to determine the allowable variation around your premium, while maintaining sufficient overall income to cover your burning costs.
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Wrap up

- Where there less data available there is more uncertainty in a model’s output.

- We have defined this variability as pricing uncertainty.

- By considering this uncertainty we can significantly alter the pricing decisions.

- We could use it as a reporting function or within a customer lifetime value or price optimisation framework.

Questions or comments?

Expressions of individual views by members of The Actuarial Profession and its staff are encouraged. The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter.