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A review of the facts

- Commutation functions were neat
- Excel spreadsheets are easy
- Compound interest is used in the daily lives of thousands of finance professionals
- Actuaries learn only three useful things about compound interest...
Where did it all go wrong?

- Commutation functions became our millstone – we hung on to flat interest rate term structures for too long
- Because of our focus on flat structures we failed to recognise interest rate risk properly
  - Hedging duration and convexity belongs in flatland
  - In flatland you can hedge both with any two instruments
  - In excel-land we hedge principal components, pivots etc
  - Better still we hedge each cash flow
- Divorced from reality interest rates became abstract – we forgot the roots in hedging and began inventing discount rates based on “actuarial judgement”.

…but didn’t we invent immunisation

- Yes, a truly great idea in the 1950s
- The irony is that we recognised the impact of convexity but didn’t use this insight
- It was left to financial economists in the 1980s to develop the maths of arbitrage free term structure modelling
- It wasn’t until the mid to late 1990s that actuaries began to apply these models

Who are the stars of the interest rate world today?

- The swaps desks of investment banks use what should be regarded as “actuarial mathematics” every day
  - To be long a plain vanilla swap is to be long a coupon bond
  - All the mathematics of coupon bonds is used every day
  - Zero coupon swaps effectively give us pure discount bonds
- The inflation swaps market is particularly neat:
  - Long a zero coupon index linked bond worth £100 today
  - Short a pure discount bond worth £100 today
  - Value of contract at inception is nil
  - Difference in proceeds is actual inflation v breakeven inflation on a notional amount equal to the real proceeds of the linker
Reasons to be cheerful (only joking)

- Our valuation models are over simplistic
- Our risk analysis and hedging is rooted in flatland
- Only younger actuaries (mainly) understand term structure models
- Swaps have pulled the rug from any mystery over long term liabilities (real or inflation linked) by reducing the liability to a Libor deposit

Uncloaking the mystery of “real liabilities” — inflation swaps

- Considering a projected inflation linked pension payment in year 2025 (say)

The maths of inflation swaps

- The payment of £120 million in 2025 is based on the breakeven inflation rate (say 3% p.a.)
- More fundamentally it is a payment of £66.5 million in real terms, this is the so called inflation swap notional
- Under an inflation swap:
  - Scheme pays bank expected inflation uplift (£53.5 m) on £66.5 m
  - Bank pays Scheme actual inflation uplift (unknown) on £66.5 m
- So as long as the Schemes assets deliver £120 million in year 20, the Scheme can pay the actual (real) obligation
Uncloaking the mystery of the long term – interest rate swaps

- Now consider the total projected cash flow in year 2025

The maths of interest rate swaps

- The payment of £140 million in 2025 is based on break even inflation rate (say 3% p.a.) “locked” by the inflation swap
- Suppose present value of the payment, on Libor discount factor is £53 million (5% pa), this is the swap notional
- Under a zero coupon interest swap:
  - Scheme pays bank interest at the 3 month deposit rate on £53m
  - Bank pays Scheme interest at the fixed 20 year rate on £53m
  - The payment by bank is known at the outset and is £53 million x \((1.05^{20} - 1)\) = £87m (in this example).
- As long as assets deliver 3 month bank deposit rate on today’s present value Scheme can pay £140 m in 2025.
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The long term as a USP

- The nice thing about the long term is:
  - Nobody will be around to know anyway
  - It is a great apple pie and motherhood card to play
  - Nobody wins arguments by saying they take a short term view

- Unfortunately
  - Swaps and other financial instruments have removed any mystique from liabilities that are merely "long duration"
  - Until very recently actuaries completely misunderstood the fundamental principles institutional investment

The zeroth rule of institutional investment

- Institutions are not end investors

- Consequences of the zeroth rule
  - Portfolio selection theory (efficient frontiers etc) is irrelevant
  - Debate over time diversification of risk is irrelevant

- Put another way, although
  - Efficient frontier analysis tends to be arbitrary and non robust
  - Time diversification in the way it is explained by most actuaries is a total fallacy
  - It doesn’t really matter anyway!

Quick proof of the zeroth rule

- Actuaries should apply the branch of financial economics known as “the theory of the firm” not “portfolio selection theory” to most institutional investment problems.
- With one or two rare (and declining) exceptions
More reasons to be cheerful (joking again)

- Even in the few products where actuaries can create discretion in savings products, the world is moving towards transparency and defined promises
  - With profit
  - Defined benefit schemes

- There is little evidence of any particular long term aptitude in the profession anyway, and much evidence of behavioural bias...

Hindsight bias?
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**The great hope?**

- Some actuaries have advanced behavioural finance as a reason to reject the disciplines of neo classical financial theory.
- In fact behavioural finance is largely complementary to the classical approach and does not justify rejection of key principles (such as the zeroth law).
- Agency theory plays a similar role in explaining anomalies – with debate over the relative merits of these two auxiliary theories.

**The Candidates for behavioural bias**

- As a first approximation high net worth successful investors are likely to be the least prone to behavioural bias.
  - Warren Buffet
  - George Soros
- Likewise people deciding how to spend other people’s money are possibly the most prone to bias as they don’t get toasted as quickly when they are wrong.

**Oh dear**

- Are actuaries free from bias themselves?
  - Anchoring
  - Loss aversion
  - Hindsight bias
  - Over confidence
  - ...
- There is no reason why actuaries should be free of these biases.
- Try spotting a few next time your colleagues express opinions on markets.
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Adding value with core skills

- Although actuaries have lost the battle on interest rates there is less obvious competition mortality tables
- The good news is thanks past actuarial advice to companies there’s a lot of longevity risk about!
- Aside from specialisation in this area there is still a role for actuaries in valuation
  - Providing transparent and clear advice
  - Free from behavioural bias
- But we need to understand the principal-agent conflict

Principal agent conflict 101 for actuaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Actuary</th>
<th>Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bears risks and ultimately pays your fees</td>
<td>Demand for advice</td>
<td>Operational Decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>Supply of Actuarial theories</td>
<td>Manages operation on behalf of principals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Wider fields – a personal view

- There is a danger that wider fields have involved chasing after other mis-applications of mathematics to meet agent demand
- The most enjoyable application of our skills is bringing clear thought and cynicism to de-bunk erroneous concepts
  - There are lots of flawed metrics and pseudo-science around
  - For example the widespread mis-application of "cost of equity"
- The problem is that for every theory popular with the buyers there is a consultant willing to sell for ("short term?") gain
- It is up to the younger members of the profession to choose the right ("long term?") path for the future.
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Wrap up

- We have no unique expertise in discounting. Modern financial instruments make short work of long duration.
- The zeroth law combined with the demise of discretionary products has killed the long term as a USP.
- Actuaries are also prone to behavioural bias, especially in their confidence about the long term
- The real long term versus short term issue arises through principal agent conflict – what do we want to sell?
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