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1. Can ruin theory help?

- Can ruin theory help …,
- … or is it to be forgotten after our studies?
- Are we holding too little capital? Too much?
- Which is better: reinsurance or capital?
- Is there an optimal exposure we should be writing?
- …

1. Aims of this workshop

- Can ruin theory help with risk management questions
  - Our conclusion will be: its strength lies in its ability to explore certain problems from different angles
  - What will yours be?
- Is the mathematics too complex? Go through mathematics
- What can I do with a model for ruin? Explore risk-return optimisation ideas
- Is it easy to use? Demonstrate macro-free spreadsheet
1. Optimisation

- Challenging investment and premium rate environments

- Part of modern risk management in G.I. companies

- Are our work being used by others in such exercises correctly?

- If suspicious, can think portfolio enhancement rather than optimisation

2a. Risk-return optimisation: classical theory

- Markowitz (1952); Merton (1972); ST5

- “Second stage” of portfolio selection

- Parabolic efficient frontier on the V-E plane
2b. Risk-return optimisation: use

- Part of a toolkit
- Dependent on “first stage” – estimation

- Risk: represented by S.D. of P.V. of returns
- Return: represented by E.P.V.

- Difficult to represent risk and return in one single metric
- Generally: What discount rates?
- For G.I.: Extreme Tails?

3. Ruin theory as a risk-return tool

- Lundberg (1903); Cramér (1930); CT6
  \[ U_t = u + ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} X_i \]
  - For \( N_t \sim \text{Po}(\lambda t) \) and \( X_i \sim \text{Exp}(1/\beta) \),
    \[ \Psi(u) = \frac{\lambda}{\beta c} \exp \left[ -u \left( \beta - \frac{\lambda}{c} \right) \right] \]
  - Constant dividend = \( D.u \) until ruin
  - Incorporate into constant \( c \)
  - Risk: represented by \( \psi(u) \)
  - Return: represented by \( D \)
3a. Hang on... aren’t exponential claim severities unrealistic?

- Yes, they are!

- But other distributions are allowed...

3a. Mixed exponentials give analytic solutions

- Simple PDF:
  \[ f_X(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \beta_i e^{-\beta_i x} \]

- Simple form for ruin:
  \[ \psi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i e^{-r_i u} \]
3a. Mixed exponentials are flexible

3b. Other advances?

- Many since 1903: shall discuss towards the end

- Simpler models are often better
  - for implementation, and for interpretation

- High-level indications to inform strategic decisions
  - not about detailed and “accurate” predictions
4. Classical risk model

- \( U(t) = u + ct - S(t) \)
- \( S(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} X_k \)
- \( \Psi(u) = P(U(t) < 0, \text{for some } t | U(0)) \)
- \( \Psi(\infty) = 0 \)

5. IE \(\rightarrow\) IDE \(\rightarrow\) ODE

- \( \Psi(u) = \mathbb{E}(\Psi(u + cT_1 - X_1)) \)

- **IE:**
  \[ \Psi(u) = \int_0^\infty f_T(t) \int_0^\infty \Psi(u + ct - x)f_x(x)dx \, dt \]
  \[ f_T(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \]

- **IDE:**
  \[ \left(-c \frac{d}{du} + \lambda\right)\Psi(u) = \lambda \int_0^\infty \Psi(u - x)f_x(x)dx \]
  \[ f_x(x) = \beta e^{-\beta x} \]

- **ODE:**
  \[ \left(\frac{d}{du} + \beta\right)\left(-c \frac{d}{du} + \lambda\right)\Psi(u) = \lambda \beta \Psi(u) \]
6. Solving the ODE

\[
\left( \frac{d}{du} + \beta \right) \left( -c \frac{d}{du} + \lambda \right) \Psi(u) = \lambda \beta \Psi(u)
\]

- Characteristic equation: \((s + \beta)(-cs + \lambda) - \lambda \beta = 0\)
- Exponents: \(s(-cs + (\lambda - c\beta)) = 0\)
- Solution (w/out boundary conditions): \(\Psi(u) = C_1 + C_2 e^{-(\beta/c)u}\)

\[
\Psi(u) = \Psi(0)e^{-Ru}
\]

7a. Implementation: obtaining parameters

Planning inputs \(\rightarrow\) Model parameters \(\rightarrow\) Probability of ruin

- **Model parameters can incorporate richer assumptions**

- Inputs for \(c\)
  - Premium rate (p.a.), expense ratio (as % of premiums), real dividend rate (as % of initial capital, \(u\))
  - \(c = \text{premium rates} \times (1 - \text{expense ratio}) - u \times \text{real dividend rate}\)

- Stochastic inputs – calibrated elsewhere (internal model?)
  - Does not have to be underwriting losses only!

- Inputs for \(u\)
  - Note maximum \(u\) check
7b. Implementation: calculations

• Planning inputs $\rightarrow$ Model parameters $\rightarrow$ Probability of ruin

• *Aim to avoid messy simulations*

• Calculate coefficients of characteristic equation

• Solve equation, assuming distinct roots
  – Using algorithm for quartic equations

• Calculate boundary conditions

• Use inverse matrix to solve them

• Calculate $C_i$ and $r_i$

• Calculate $\Psi(u)$

---

7c. Implementation: care when using it

• Planning inputs $\rightarrow$ Model parameters $\rightarrow$ Probability of ruin

• Should test it to your satisfaction
  – E.g. use simulations to see if the $\Psi(u)$ matches
  – Have tested it under a handful of assumption sets

• Large numbers could distort answers
  – Inputs in e.g. £m rather than £
8. Capital Setting Example

Using Solver in Excel we manage to get the optimal CIR (capital intensity ratio) with all other parameters fixed.
9. A New “Efficient Frontier”

This is an “Efficient Frontier” drawing with: Premium Income (p.a.): 120.0; Expenses (as % of Premiums): 25%; Real Dividend (as % of initial capital): 0% to 20%; Exponential distribution rate (lambda, p.a.): 10; Capital Intensity Ratio (capital / premium): 0% to 170%; Ceded proportions (as % of premium income): 30%; Overrider Commission (as % of RI premiums): 30%.
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9a. Optimal dividends and capital

- If we want at most 15% chance of ruin, what is the optimal combination initial capital and dividend ratio?

- How about if we want a dividend at 20% of initial capital?

![Graph showing optimal probability of ruin vs. real dividend as % of initial capital.]

9b. What if we no longer have QS?

- What if Quota-share reinsurance no longer available?

![Graph showing efficient frontier with different scenarios.]

This is an “Efficient Frontier” drawing with: Premium Income (p.a.): 120; Expenses (as % of Premiums): 25%; Real Dividend (as % of initial capital): 4% to 20%; Exponential distribution rate (lambda, p.a.): 10; Capital Intensity Ratio (capital / premium): 0% to 200%; Ceded proportions (as % of premium income): 0% & 30%; Override Commission (as % of RI premiums): 0% & 30%.
9c. Reinsurance or not?

This is a Ruin probability drawing with: Premium Income (p.a.): 120.0; Expenses (as % of Premiums): 25%; Real Dividend (as % of initial capital): 13%; Exponential distribution rate (lambda, p.a.): 10; Capital Intensity Ratio (capital / premium): 51% and 100.5%; Ceded proportions (as % of premium income): 0% to 78%; Overrider Commission (as % of RI premiums): 30%.

15 October 2013
10. Can Ruin Theory be helpful?

- Simplified version of reality…
  - … but what model isn’t?

- The key is:
  - When used properly, …
  - … can it help answer key questions in decision making?

- Considers problems through very different point of view, …
  - … which can be helpful

- Simple assumptions also helps implementation…
  - … the work is in calibration – leverage off S2 work?

10a. Can it contribute to capital setting?

- Current approaches considers
  - internal risk appetites
  - external requirements
  - general market environments

- Presented approach contributes by
  - Considering from risk-return optimality perspective…
  - … with tail-sensitive risk metrics; avoids use of remote percentiles …
  - … and with model assumptions, of course…
  - … but at least can provide a starting point to answering the problem
10b. How about reinsurance decisions?

- Current approaches involve
  - Quantitative evaluations of quoted prices; impacts on P&L and BS
  - Consideration of commercial environments, market practice and external requirements

- Risk-return considerations / optimisation increasingly popular

- Presented approach contributes by
  - Considering long-term stable relationship with reinsurers…
  - … gives additional information via optimality

11. What we have not discussed

- Renewal risk models – \( N(t) \) renewal process -
  \[
  f_T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i\lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i x}
  \]

- Risk models perturbed by a diffusion
  \[
  U_t = u + ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} X_i + \sigma B_t
  \]

- Risk models with dividends paid according to a barrier stratag;

- Summer collaboration experience (MSc projects written in cooperation with insurance industry)
12. Summary

- Can ruin theory help answer risk management questions?
- Went through mathematics (which was quite straightforward?)
- Evaluated model in two situations
  - helps giving another viewpoint …
  - …through optimality and long-term considerations
  - beware of spurious accuracy
  - simplifying assumptions can help …
  - … or can sometimes be improved on
- Demonstrated macro-free spreadsheet
  - simple enough to use solver or to give multiple scenarios

Expressions of individual views by members of the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries and its staff are encouraged.
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