




Institute
and Faculty
of Actuaries

Alternative Sources of Capital

Daniela Collis and Sie Liang Lau
SCOR Global P&C Asia Pacific

Asia Conference
3rd – 4th March 2016, Kuala Lumpur

Friday, 4 March 2016



Institute
and Faculty
of Actuaries

Alternative Sources of Capital

1	Introduction
2	The reinsurance universe and the influx of alternative capital
3	Catastrophe bonds
4	Sidecars
5	Development in Asia Pacific
6	Impact on the reinsurance market
7	Conclusion

eritise
onsorship
The right leadership
The right community
SCOR
Friday, 4 March 2016

essional Meetings
Education
Working parties
Volunteering
Research
Shaping the future
Networking
Professional support
Enterprise and risk
Learned society
Opportunity
International profile
Journals
Support

1



Institute
and Faculty
of Actuaries

Alternative Sources of Capital

1	Introduction
2	The reinsurance universe and the influx of alternative capital
3	Catastrophe bonds
4	Sidecars
5	Development in Asia Pacific
6	Impact on the reinsurance market
7	Conclusion

artise
onsorship
The part leadership
SCOR
Friday, 4 March 2016
The part leadership
Sessional Meetings
Education
Working parties
Volunteering
Research
Shaping the future
Networking
Professional support
Enterprise and risk
Learned society
Opportunity
International profile
Journals
Support



Introduction: Why reinsurance?

- ❑ Reinsurance (or retrocession) is an effective way of optimising capital relief and forms part of (re)insurer's strategy
- ❑ The key reasons to buy reinsurance (or retrocession) are:

1

Capital efficiency (as an alternative to capital)

- to satisfy Regulator (such as C-ROSS), and
- to expand efficiently

2

Profitability and portfolio management

- smooth peaks and volatility, and
- control portfolio

3

Cost efficiencies/ Arbitrage

4

Market intelligence/ reinsurer's expertise



Introduction: What is a typical insurer or reinsurer's approach to reinsurance/retrocession?

- Typically one would buy protection...

in many different types such as:

- ✓ Traditional cat and risk XL
- ✓ Aggregate XL
- ✓ Quota share
- ✓ Catastrophe bonds
- ✓ Parametric deals such as CWIL (county weighted indexed loss)
- ✓ Contingent capital

...across many different classes:

- ✓ Property
- ✓ Facultative
- ✓ Engineering
- ✓ Marine
- ✓ Motor
- ✓ Decennial
- ✓ Aviation and Space

- The aim is to diversify its dependence in any one market and its panel of partners is diversified for this reason

Friday, 4 March 2016


5

Introduction: What has been happening these last few years?




Interest rates close to historic lows, pressure on investors

Long-term (10-year) govt bond yield in advanced markets ⁽¹⁾




Bilateral education between reinsurance and capital market, increasing convergence

New technology improves management of capital and reduces asymmetry of information



Alternative capital has been growing rapidly

ILS outstanding capacity (in USD billions) ⁽²⁾



- Alternative capital: approx. USD 68bn of capacity, while 10 years ago it was < USD 10bn
- But capacity ≠ premiums. Cat bonds often correspond to high layers with low rates on line (typically less than 10%)

Friday, 4 March 2016

1) Sources: Bloomberg; Global Financial Analysis;
2) Sources: Aon Securities Inc

6



Institute
and Faculty
of Actuaries

Alternative Sources of Capital

1	Introduction
2	The reinsurance universe and the influx of alternative capital
3	Catastrophe bonds
4	Sidecars
5	Development in Asia Pacific
6	Impact on the reinsurance market
7	Conclusion

artise
onsorship
The p...
art leadership
Community
Education
Sessional Meetings
Working parties
Volunteering
Research
Shaping the future
Networking
Professional support
Enterprise and risk
Learned society
Opportunity
International profile
Journals
Support

Friday, 4 March 2016


7

The reinsurance universe: Status Quo




- Global non-life reinsurance premium in 2013 is about USD 190 bn, with about 10% coming from catastrophe reinsurance (of which about 50% emanating from the US)
 - But the 10% does generate a large part of the profit and over time it does drive earnings
- Global Catastrophe reinsurance capacity is about USD 312 bn as at end of 2013
 - Alternative capacity is estimated to be around USD 45 bn at the same time, which is about 15% of total Cat Capacity.
 - Growth in the alternative capital markets space likely to be USD 75 bn by 2016. Expectations are that this capacity will only plateau at around USD 100 bn.

2013 Global Reinsurance Premium: USD 190 bn




2013 Global Catastrophe Capacity: USD 312 bn




The inflow of alternative capital: Rapid growth of catastrophe bonds, in particular for US perils




Cat Bonds have been growing quickly, and majority covering US perils



Cat bonds have a compelling track record for investors



Growth has been fuelled by low interest rate environment




Friday, 4 March 2016
1) Source: Aon Benfield
2) Source: GC Analytics, Bloomberg
3) Source: Swiss Re capital Markets & Bloomberg. Spreads for US Wind new-issue cat bonds with 2% expected loss

The reinsurance universe and the inflow of alternative capital



Different forms of Alternative Capital

- The reinsurance universe encompasses long term partners (the traditional reinsurers), as well as the shorter term partners in many forms such as collateralized reinsurers, Cat bond investors, permanent sidecars, special vehicles for asset managers and periodic partners (the opportunistic sidecars which have recently re-emerged)





Institute
and Faculty
of Actuaries

Alternative Sources of Capital

1	Introduction
2	The reinsurance universe and the influx of alternative capital
3	Catastrophe bonds
4	Sidecars
5	Development in Asia Pacific
6	Impact on the reinsurance market
7	Conclusion



Friday, 4 March 2016



What is catastrophe bond?

- ❑ Catastrophe Bonds date back to the early 1990s following Hurricane Andrew and the Northridge earthquake in Los Angeles and were developed to alleviate the extreme tail risk posed by a major event.

How it works

- ❑ It is an insurance related debt-securities issued by an insurer or reinsurer (Sponsor) and are set up using special purpose vehicles (SPVs), which are typically rated by the rating agencies
- ❑ Multi-year coverage, mainly peaks but can cover non-peak spots. 99.9% catastrophe, tiny single risk coverage (such as mortgage insurance risks, lottery winning risk)
- ❑ Loss probabilities are normally modelled by RMS' RiskLink, EQECAT or AIR's CATRADER
- ❑ The premise of a catastrophe bond is that if a pre-determined type of event occurs, the bond defaults and investor cash is passed to the sponsor
- ❑ The fund is held within a trust, which generates interests (coupon) to investors

Why it has become more popular

- ❑ Independent correlation to financial markets so they provide excellent diversification
- ❑ They allow access to reinsurance market
- ❑ Generally pitched 'out-of-the-money' say 1% expected loss (1:100 year return period), thus offering cover with high capital intensive nature
- ❑ The coupon rate is attractive in a low-interest environment.
- ❑ Investor proceeds invested in high-quality, liquid securities (such as Money Market Funds), held in trust and which is available to the sponsor in the event of loss


Friday, 4 March 2016

12



Catastrophe bond mechanics

- An SPV allows investors to access the reinsurance market without the headache of barriers to entry which are common-place




Friday, 4 March 2016

13



Catastrophe bonds split by type of placement

- Indemnity is becoming more available, proving investors are now comfortable with this type of product
- Increased pressure on reinsurers as indemnity *used to be a monopolised product*



Friday, 4 March 2016

14



Catastrophe bond recent losses

- ❑ Cat Bond market has been tested by losses in recent years:
 - ✓ most of these losses are triggered by specific events linked,
 - ✓ some are due to the failure of the financial institution that served as the swap counterparty:
 - ✓ Lehman failure in 2008 led losses of collateral funds protection about USD 116 mn on various bonds
- ❑ Losses are so far about 2% of total sum at risk (no more than 20% loss ratio)

Catastrophe Bond Losses in recent years ⁽¹⁾					
Transaction	Date of Issue	Sponsor	Size (USD mn)	% of loss	Reason
Kelvin Ltd	Nov 1999	Koch Energy Trading	50	Complex	Temperature
Avalon Re	Jul 2005	Oil Casualty Insurance	405	9% of class C	Explosions (casualty losses)
Kamp Re 2005	Aug 2005	Zurich American	190	75%	Hurricane
Four bonds	2008	Munich Re, Aspen, Catlin, Allstate	About \$585M	About USD 116 mn for various transactions	Ineffective collateral protection on four bonds with total limit of \$585 million when Lehman collapses
Muteki Ltd	May 2008	Zenkyoren	300	100%	JP Tokoru EQ
Mariah Re (series 1)	Nov 2010	American Family	100	100%	Joplin, Mo. Tornado ⁽²⁾
Mariah Re (series 2)	Dec 2010	American Family	100	100%	Joplin, Mo. Tornado ⁽²⁾

Friday, 4 March 2016

1) National Association of Insurance Commissioners, AM Best research
2) The Joplin Mo. Tornado losses are in litigation.

15



Why investors like Catastrophe bonds?

Cat bonds have a compelling track record for investors

Historical Performance of ILS ⁽¹⁾

Investors like Cat bonds:

- ✓ Significant and long-lasting returns, even tested in last 10 years across a series of major events
- ✓ Independence with financial market
- ✓ Diversification improving efficient frontier of an investment portfolio

Cat bonds provide independent correlation with financial market

Cat Bond vs. Broad Bond vs. S&P 500 return index ⁽²⁾

The diversification improves the efficient frontier by adding Cat Bond to a portfolio

Efficient frontier – impact of adding a Cat Bond

Friday, 4 March 2016

1) Aon Benfield Securities
2) Bloomberg Broad Bond Index – Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index

16



Beyond catastrophe bond?

Criteria for a successful placement in capital market:

- ✓ Un-Correlation to financial market risks.
- ✓ Big size.
- ✓ **The risk must be (relatively) easily and accurately modelled, i.e. information asymmetry must be minimal.**
- ✓ Business needs to be capital intensive

Suitability of various area of insurance for ILS ⁽¹⁾							
Type of insurance risk	% industry capital	Suitability for ILS	Capital intensity	Market correlation	Duration	Modelling capabilities	Notes
Property Catastrophe	30%	✓✓✓	High	Low	Short	Strong	ILS established for this product
Motor/Property - Proportional	25%	x	Low	Low	Short	Strong	Margins unlikely sufficient for ILS
Motor/Property - Non-proportional	15%	✓✓	Medium	Low	Short	Strong	Margins on lower risk tranches may support fully collateralised structure
Casualty non-proportional	15%	✓	Medium/High	Medium	Medium/Long	Medium	Longer duration poses difficulties for ILS
Marine/Energy	5%	✓✓	High	Low	Short	Medium	Bespoke programmes required
Terrorism	<1%	✓✓	High	Medium	Short	Weak	Low frequency, but some correlation to financial markets
Aviation	1-2%	✓	High	Low	Short	Medium	Small market, suitable characteristics for ILS
Adverse claim reserve protection (primary insurance capital)	20-25%	✓✓✓	Medium	Low/Medium	One year or multi year	Medium/Strong	Huge market, some correlation to financial markets

Examples

AXA's transfer of motor risks to capital market

- Put in place in 2005, the debt is collateralized aggregate stop-loss reinsurance contract.

Reserving risks

- Swiss Re (during crisis), Catlin and RSA choosing Capital market to protect against adverse loss development

Liability risk less likely to be securitised

- Correlation with macro risks such as inflation, GDP
- Longer duration
- Limited third party model providers

Friday, 4 March 2016

1) Redburn

17

Private sector Cat Bond innovation: Property & Casualty



□ MetroCat Re (sponsor: First Mutual Transportation Assurance Co - FMTAC)

- FMTAC is the captive insurer of NY Metropolitan Transport Authority
- After NY subway flooding experience from **Tropical Storm ("Hurricane") Sandy 2012**
- Sponsored by First Mutual Transportation Assurance Co. to cover surge damage to NY subway & related infrastructure
- Covers **Storm Surge damage** (only) from named US storms (tropical cyclones)
- **First of its kind** – and a way of writing only storm surge risk (not hurricane wind, flood)
- **Obviously correlated with US east coast tropical cyclone activity** hence not as useful a diversifier from the peak US peril (hurricane) as Life & Health Cat Bonds, for example
- **1st issue of USD 200 MN coverage**
- Triggered only once FMTAC's existing underlying USD 600 MN Cat XL coverage is exhausted

□ VenTerra Re (sponsor: QBE's Equator Re)

- Covers **tsunami, flooding caused by dam or levee break; and volcanic activity**
- **First time such perils have been explicitly included in the scope of a Cat Bond**
- Main perils covered by this bond are US EQ, Australian EQ & Cyclone – hence these are ones to consider when assessing the overall diversification benefit, rather than the "extra" perils above
- Sponsored by QBE's captive reinsurer Equator Re – **USD 250 MN** of coverage

Friday, 4 March 2016

18



Institute
and Faculty
of Actuaries

Alternative Sources of Capital

1	Introduction
2	The reinsurance universe and the influx of alternative capital
3	Catastrophe bonds
4	Sidecars
5	Development in Asia Pacific
6	Impact on the reinsurance market
7	Conclusion




Friday, 4 March 2016



What is sidecar?

- ❑ A sidecar is a special purpose reinsurance vehicle (SPRV) usually formed by a reinsurer to provide additional capacity for specific perils within a defined geographical scope
- ❑ The sponsor (buyer) acts as manager for the sidecar in return for management/performance fees
- ❑ Capacity is provided by investors in the sidecar, generally from the capital markets
- ❑ SPRVs have a limited life of around 24 months but can be renewed



- ✓ Primary motivation to set up a sidecar is to increase market share in target markets without the sponsor having to increase its own balance sheet
- ✓ Opportunistic sidecars typically attract private equity and hedge fund investors, whilst strategic sidecars attract more longer-term capital in the form of ILS fund managers


Friday, 4 March 2016

20




Evolution of the side cars in the capital markets

- Sidecar evolution is more cyclical/opportunistic than catastrophe bonds (post KRW¹ and Tohoku)



Sidecar evolution 1999 to 2013

- Sixty-six sidecars have been launched since 1999, majority of them targeting cat-exposed portfolios, while some targeting specialized classes such as marine, energy and aviation
- They do not write longer tail risk such as casualty or life
- Capacity of 'live' sidecars totals around \$2½B, **not large enough to singularly alter the reinsurance pricing landscape**



Company	Vehicles Launched	First Launch	Latest Launch
Renaissance Re	10	1999	2013
Validus / Flagstone Re	6	2006	2013
Alterra / Harbor Point	5	2006	2013
Hannover Re	4	2005	2009
Hiscox	4	2006	2009
XL Capital	4	2005	2008
Ark Underwriting	3	2007	2009
MAP	3	2007	2009
Lancashire	3	2006	2012
White Mountains Re	3	2001	2006
Catlin	3	2012	2012
Swiss Re	2	2007	2008
Brit Insurance	2	2006	2007
ACE	2	2006	2007
Montpelier Re	2	2005	2005
Amlin	1	2009	2009
Bridge Re	1	2007	2007
Partner / Paris Re	1	2006	2006
ICAT	1	2006	2006
Lexington Insurance Co	1	2006	2006
Tower Group	1	2006	2006
Arch Re	1	2005	2005
Montpelier	1	2012	2012
Argo	1	2013	2013
Everest Re	1	2013	2013



Sidecars: investor appeal?

Advantages

- Immediate access to “payback” pricing
- Avoids cost/complications of creating start-up infrastructure
- Exploit experienced management/underwriting team and franchise
- Clean exit (in theory)

Disadvantages

- Giving pen to third-party management (with costs attached)
- Possibility of adverse selection/moral hazard
- Exit route could be complicated by losses
- Inherent volatility (sufficiently capitalised?)
- No multiple gain from possible IPO (model of Class of 1993/2001 and probably 2005 start-ups (Bermuda classes – private equity)

Friday, 4 March 2016

23



Institute
and Faculty
of Actuaries

Alternative Sources of Capital

1	Introduction
2	The reinsurance universe and the influx of alternative capital
3	Catastrophe bonds
4	Sidecars
5	Development in Asia Pacific
6	Impact on the reinsurance market
7	Conclusion



Friday, 4 March 2016


24

Emerging Asia Insured Cat losses still remaining low



So far, most insured cat losses coming from developed markets

Insured Cat losses & Annual Averages by Region ⁽¹⁾




Cat bond capacity correlated to Insured Cat losses. US, EU and JP contribute most insured Cat Loss in latest 10 years:


- ✓ Developed economy
- ✓ Higher insurance penetration
- ✓ Advanced financial market

Emerging Asia is however heavily exposed to Nat Cat risks.

Insurance penetration in emerging markets is still low



The elasticity of insurance growth is significantly above 1 in emerging markets



Friday, 4 March 2016

1) Aon Benfield Analytics
2) IMF world economic outlook April 2013
3) Sigma report, May 2011
4) Sigma from 5/2012 – Insuring ever-evolving commercial risk (note: Estimates for direct non-life premiums written in 2010 (excluding health).

25

Japan is the largest market for Catastrophe Bond in Asia Pacific



List of Catastrophe Bond covering JP perils since 2005 ⁽¹⁾

Issuance Year	Security Name	Sponsor	Size (USD M)	Regional Peril	Spread	Expected Loss	Trigger
2006	Fhu-jin Ltd	Tokio Marine & Fire	200	JP Typhoon	5.20%	???	parametric
2007	Midori Ltd	East Japan Railway Co	260	JP Earthquake	4.00%	???	parametric
2008	Muteki Ltd	Zenkyoren	300	JP Earthquake	4.40%	0.80%	parametric
2011	Kizuna Re Ltd	Tokio Marine	160	JP Typhoon	5.50%	???	indemnity
2012	Kibou Ltd Series 2012	Zenkyoren	300	JP Earthquake	5.10%	0.80%	parametric
2012	Akibare II Ltd Series 2012	Mitsui Sumitomo	130	JP Typhoon	3.75%	1.10%	modelled loss
2013	Nakama Re Ltd	Zenkyoren	300	JP Earthquake	2.75%	0.90%	indemnity
2014	Kizuna Re II Ltd 2014 - 1A	Tokio Marine	200	JP Earthquake	2.25%	0.21%	indemnity
2014	Kizuna Re II Ltd 2014 - 1B	Tokio Marine	45	JP Earthquake	2.50%	0.57%	indemnity
2014	Nakama Re Ltd 2014 1 - 1	Zenkyoren	150	JP Earthquake	2.25%	0.75%	indemnity
2014	Nakama Re Ltd 2014 1 - 2	Zenkyoren	150	JP Earthquake	2.50%	0.75%	indemnity
2014	Aozora Re Ltd	Sompo Japan Nipponkoa	100	JP Typhoon	2.00%	0.52%	indemnity

- So far there is none for Malaysia, as is not considered a key cat peril prone region and the reinsurance rates remain too attractively low for many loss relatively low years.
- In July 2015, China Re has sponsored Panda Re covering China Earthquake excluding Hong Kong and Macao, on indemnity trigger basis, providing further diversification option for investor even though spread is relatively low.

Friday, 4 March 2016

1) Artemis and Swiss Re capital Markets

26



Challenges in developing Cat Bonds for Asia

- ❑ **Poor Cat model quality & exposure data (ex. Japan)** make it often difficult to reliably estimate burn statistics (probability of attachment, exhaustion and expected loss) required for credibility / investor buy-in
- ❑ **Cat Bond trigger types likely to be limited** – even given current sponsor leverage with investors
 - ✓ Indemnity triggers only for developed Asian markets – Taiwan, Korea, Japan – but even then investors **do not like open-ended tie-up of capital in the event of a loss**
 - ✓ Industry loss index – cannot use as no **reputable independent industry organization (equivalent to PCS or PERILS) in any Asian territory**
 - ✓ This leaves only **Pure Parametric, Parametric Index or Modelled Loss** triggers
 - ✓ These are not heavily in sponsors' interest due to basis risk – although this could be offset using a **transformer to provide basis risk cover**
- ❑ **Lack of Cat XL reinstatements**
 - ✓ Asian reinsureds are accustomed to being able to access Cat XL reinstatements – not available in Cat Bonds – **unless a transformer is involved**

Friday, 4 March 2016

27



Future diversifying coverages anticipated on the market

- ❑ **New Cat coverages for Japan**
 - After USD 500-600 mil market insured winter storm loss in early 2014
 - **A wider set of perils may include: winter storm / TY flooding / non-TY flooding**
 - All likely to have parametric triggers
 - Contingent on adequate CAT modelling for these perils becoming available
 - May be issued by major Japanese insurers and/ or their reinsurers
 - Need to eliminate possibility of prediction of 1st season payout by sponsor – since Cat models not yet able to reflect meteorological activity forecasts
- ❑ **Gap-financing Capacity for Rapidly developing Asian Insurance Peak Zones (ex. Japan)**
 - Traditional RI capacity has expanded by 28% since 2007 – question is whether in future this pace will be rapid enough to keep pace with rapidly developing peak zones of China (and India)
 - Capacity shortfalls may result – could be filled with alternative risk transfer solutions, including Ca Bonds
 - Likely to be sponsored by Transformers rather than directly by cedants themselves
 - Obvious initial peak zones in China potentially include
 - Beijing-Hebei-Tianjin
 - Shanghai + defined adjacent areas of Zhejiang/ Jiangsu
 - Pearl River delta (Guangdong)
 - **These 3 areas currently generate ~60% of China's GDP**


Friday, 4 March 2016

28



Example:
Pearl river delta (Guangdong, China)

- 4th largest economy in Asia, after Japan, Korea and India, ahead of Taiwan (excluding CHINA)
- World's most densely populated delta, >7,500 people/km² (Syvitski & Saito, 2007)
- Covers <1% of China's land area but contributes up to 20% of its GDP (up from 9% in 2000)
- Called the "world's factory" by some economic commentators (Yeung, 2010)
- (Ex-SAR) Population 48 MN in 2009, projected to reach 65 MN by 2020
 - Compare with
 - Taiwan 23 MN (2012)
 - South Korea 50 MN (2012)
- Total population (including 2 SARs) may reach 120 MN by 2050 (UN-HABITAT, 2008) – although some are sceptical of this projection
- Will there always be sufficient capacity in the traditional global reinsurance market for all of China's three main economic peak zones as they develop towards 2050?



Economic concentration in the Pearl River delta – based on night-time luminosity. Source: <http://ngdc.noaa.gov/eog/>

- Historic record from AD 700-1883 shows 161 typhoons with damage – 4 were the most disastrous (Huang & Yim, 2007)
 - AD 957 - 5 counties flooded
 - AD 1245 – 9 counties flooded, >17000 km² inundated by seawater with death toll c. 10,000
 - AD 1862 – 11 counties flooded, 25000 km² area, 80000 dead.
 - AD 1874 – 10 counties flooded, 20000 km², 10000 dead, sea-level rise of 5m

Friday, 4 March 2016

1) The traditional reinsurance market will grow to accommodate increased insured risk – question is whether this growth will match that allocated to new global peak zones? (incl. China and India).

29



Institute
and Faculty
of Actuaries

Alternative Sources of Capital

1	Introduction
2	The reinsurance universe and the influx of alternative capital
3	Catastrophe bonds
4	Sidecars
5	Development in Asia Pacific
6	Impact on the reinsurance market
7	Conclusion

erlise
nsorship
The right leadership
The right people
The right process
The right technology
The right culture
The right environment
The right resources
The right time
The right place
The right way
The right result

SCOR

Friday, 4 March 2016


Sessional Meetings
Education
Working parties
Volunteering
Research
Shaping the future
Networking
Professional support
Enterprise and risk
Learned society
Opportunity
International profile
Journals
Support

30




Alternative capital puts pressure on reinsurance pricing

With the increasing search for yield, the inflows into ILS have been accepting lower yields



CAT bonds are competing directly with traditional reinsurance, on product and now on price




Friday, 4 March 2016

31



The soft market puts pressure on reserving

Soft pricing puts pressure on reserves to meet targets



- Despite continuing soft pricing combined ratios are still below 100%, with continued favourable loss development
- Willis Re estimated that the aggregate RoE at half-year 2015 was improved by approximately 2.8 percentage points through contribution from releases from prior year reserves (2.5 percentage points at half-year 2014)
- The ability to compensate lower pricing through continued reserve release diminishes through an extended soft cycle as reinsurers must begin to recognize the price reduction through higher loss ratios on the most recent years, with increased pressure on reserve strengthening for the longer tail lines
- Early adequate reserving is key to ensure sustainable results and to avoid negative reserve run-off in the future

Friday, 4 March 2016


1) Willis Re – Reinsurance market Report, September 2015

32



The reserving cycle

Reserve Development by statement year (in billions) ⁽¹⁾



- ❑ The key risk on reserving of a soft market are the potential for under-reserving on current and future years, as companies do not allow for:
 - Weaker pricing
 - Broader terms and conditions – changes in limits and retention, fewer exclusions, inclusion of additional coverage
 - Inflation risk
- ❑ During a soft market IEULRs based on historical ratios can grossly underestimate reserve needs
- ❑ Need to be aware of the existence of a reserving cycle, not the same but linked to the underwriting cycle



Will falling pricing continue for ever?



Growth has been fuelled by low interest rate environment

Cat bond spreads vs. risk-free rates¹⁾

- ✓ Tightening ILS spreads may put pressure on future returns
- ✓ Tapering in the US and higher interest rates should reduce ILS attractiveness
- ✓ Cat model risk is greatest threat to long-term success of alternative capital (ex. Thai Flood, 9/11 attack etc)

Alternative capital is complementary to the offer from well-prepared Reinsurers

Alternative Capital	Well-prepared reinsurers
<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Low Cost Model✓ Collateralized Capital	<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Competitive pricing AND value added services for cedants (knowledge sharing, etc.)✓ Efficient use of capital (diversification) whilst low counterparty risk✓ Indemnity covers are the rule, not the exception: no basis risk✓ Reinstatements / Long-term partnerships




Potential future Cat Bond volatility



- ❑ **CAT Bond market as a whole is yet to be tested by “the big one”**
 - AIR Worldwide¹ recently estimated that at the ~50 year return period, about **20% of outstanding CAT Bond market principal would be lost from US hurricane** landfalls in Florida or North Carolina *
 - This figure rises to **38% of outstanding Cat Bond market principal** at the 250 year return period, this time triggered by a New York landfall

Figure 2: Global Index and Constituents² Historical Cat Bond secondary market volatility



10 years from Jan 2002 to Jan 2012, as measured by the Swiss Re Cat Bond Global Index. Source: Swiss Re Cat Bond Indices, Year in Review 2012.

- ❑ **Nat Cat events produced greater volatility than the 2008 Global Financial Crisis**
- ❑ **Low correlation with major episodes of conventional financial market trauma**

Source: Swiss Re Capital Markets

Friday, 4 March 2016

1) Source: <http://www.air-worldwide.com/Publications/AIR-Currents/2013/Uncovering-Florida-Hurricane-Risk-with-the-Catastrophe-Bond-Database/>

35

SCOR Perspective: Properly viewed, “alternative capital” is an opportunity



SCOR is positioned to benefit from the convergence of insurance and capital markets

SCOR minimizes the cost of its capital shield thanks to alternative solutions

- ❑ **Atlas** series of ILS protecting the Group against natural catastrophes
- ❑ Recent issuance of **extreme mortality risk transfer** contract
- ❑ **Contingent capital**

SCOR increases its client offering

- ❑ Over the Optimal Dynamics plan, SGPC intends to help clients to **access capital market capacity** through ILS
- ❑ This will provide **fee income**, and allow SGPC to better leverage **existing relationship**

SCOR benefits from its expertise to open ILS funds to 3rd parties

- ❑ SCOR's ILS team manages 4 funds
- ❑ Each fund targets a specific **risk/return profile**

	Atropos	Atropos Catbond	Atropos Catbond SELECT	GFS Map Trust SCOR ILS
launch	31-Aug-11	19-Jul-13	12-Jul-13	01-Jan-14
AuM ¹⁾	187	24	41.5	40
target return	6-8%	4-5%	4-5%	10-12%
2013 perf	8.75%	2.84%*	3.48%*	n/a

* fund open for 45% of 2013

Friday, 4 March 2016

1) As of 31 December 2013, in USD

36

Perspectives and incentives: sponsor versus investors



	Advantages	Disadvantages
Sponsor	(Currently low) pricing - capital influx and low conventional investment returns have driven the price of both CAT Bonds and traditional reinsurance to historic lows	No reinstatements (unless transformer is involved)
	Can fit comfortably within an existing CAT XL reinsurance program	Basis risk exists for all triggers (unless a transformer is involved) – although significantly less for Indemnity triggers
	More efficient access to capital than untimely liquidation and repatriation of overseas investments at a time of need – e.g. after 2011 Tohoku EQ and tsunami in Japan	Long term corporate relationship with investors not very important (although important with transformer)
	Reduced capital charges in internal Capital Model reflecting both immediate capital access via collateralization ("cash in bank" versus a promise of indemnification)	
	Greater bargaining power arising from investor oversubscription -> now easier to sponsor indemnity CAT bonds	
Investors	Diversifying asset class compared with conventional investment types (equities, fixed income) (and hence markets are less susceptible to systemic failure)	Oversubscription reduces spread over benchmark, EL multiple and bargaining power on types of trigger
	Relatively high yields (historic) compared with current conventional risk-free fixed income universe	Potentially delayed return of capital ; litigation may result whenever a partial or total loss to a CAT bond
	Low volatility (historic)	Market has yet to be tested by "the big one"

Friday, 4 March 2016

37

Perspectives and incentives: traditional reinsurance versus securitisations



	Advantages	Disadvantages
Traditional Reinsurance	Very responsive – deals can be agreed swiftly with a longer term client retention drive (relationship emphasis)	Credit risk of reinsurance failure
	Indemnity based – avoids basis risk and has a proven track record in indemnity-based covers	High frictional costs – brokerage etc
	Broad range of coverage available – a diversified book, covering all lines of business, regions & perils and an ability to cover long-tail business and natural events with long development pattern	Volatility in pricing and capacity
	Dependent on cycle but pricing can be more competitive than capital markets – open to multi-year covers and reinstatements, flexibility with terms and conditions (inc cyber/terror covers in nat cat treaties)	Disputes – emergence of "can pay, won't pay" culture?
Securitisations	No credit risk – fully collateralised security	Slow development time – bespoke transactions typically take months to construct
	Greater stability in pricing – lower, more stable prices	Basis risk from parametric and index triggers
	Avoids reinsurance disputes	Capacity still restricted for cat risk (US Property Cat) & retrocession
	Potential for far greater capacity	Secondary market needed to increase liquidity/reduce costs etc
		High frictional costs – advisory fees etc

Friday, 4 March 2016

38



Institute
and Faculty
of Actuaries

Alternative Sources of Capital

1	Introduction
2	The reinsurance universe and the influx of alternative capital
3	Catastrophe bonds
4	Sidecars
5	Development in Asia Pacific
6	Impact on the reinsurance market
7	Conclusion

artise
onsorship
The p...
art leadership
Community
Sessional Meetings
Education
Working parties
Volunteering
Research
Shaping the future
Networking
Professional support
Enterprise and risk
Learned society
Opportunity
International profile
Journals
Support

SCOR

Friday, 4 March 2016

39

Concluding remarks



- ❑ It is expected that a flurry of new companies or funds will enter the ILS market in the next six months, further intensifying the competitive pressures that have led to a series of warnings from rating agencies and analysts about the near-term prospects of the reinsurance sector as a whole.
- ❑ The full potential of ILS has not yet been tapped, with alternative capacity now set to move into wider geographic zones and extend its reach into a broader set of exposures such as flood, terrorism or pandemic risk. In APAC, except for Japan and China, it is still largely muted (probably due to modelling risk (lack of and low quality of data) and low appetite (from large institutional investors)).
- ❑ One of the challenges for traditional reinsurers is high distribution costs, which is not sustainable in the long term. Just as a lot of corporations will decide it is cheaper to miss out brokers and insurers by transferring risk directly into the capital markets, many cedants will opt to bypass reinsurers or brokers in the same way.
- ❑ The relevance of the reinsurance sector is increasingly under threat and is in need to adapt business models to defend their competitive positions in the market and generate earnings that can meet cost of capital without taking excessive risk.
- ❑ S&P has recently outlined the six dominant reinsurance business models of the future: Superior Scale; Nimble Innovators; Lloyd's; Float Accumulators; Risk Transformers; & Go Direct.
- ❑ It is expected that more medium-sized reinsurers (40% of the market) especially those regionally operated (such as some in APAC) are at risk of cost cutting and consolidation amid the challenges posed by the influx of ILS capital, low investment returns and soft market conditions.



Thank you for your attention!



Disclaimer

Any views and opinions expressed in this presentation or any material distributed in conjunction with it solely reflect the views of the author and nothing herein is intended to, or should be deemed, to reflect the views or opinions of the employer of the presenter.

The information, statements, opinions, documents or any other material which is made available to you during this presentation are without any warranty, express or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of correctness, of completeness, of fitness for any particular purpose.



Definitions

ASoC segment	Products	High level definition
'Self' risk management solutions	Captives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide insurance to non-insurance related parents and other group companies Long been used by prominent companies with c.5k now in existence
	Pools	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collective pool of assets from multiple insurers to provide coverage for high risk exposures Claims are paid from this pool instead of being funded by individual insurer assets
	Risk Retention Groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Owner-controlled insurance companies authorized by the Federal Liability Risk Retention Act of 1986 Similar to Captives as they provide members with opportunities to control their own programmes
	Self-Insurance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risks are managed by setting aside company assets to pay for future losses Typically suited to risks where insurance is uneconomical i.e. highly predictable, low severity losses
Structured (Re)insurance solutions	Residual Value	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Guarantees owner of leased property a value at a specified future date Covers the difference between the realised value of property and the policy specified value
	Structured Captive RI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-Traditional (re)insurance solutions for Captive entities e.g. Agg Stop Loss, Capped Quota Share Risk is transferred outside the corporate group
	Transaction Liability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides cover for the specific risks that participants in M&A deals are exposed to e.g. tax covenants An insurance solution used to facilitate the closing of a deal which otherwise might collapse
	Adverse Development Cover/ Loss Portfolio Transfer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ADC: Reinsurance coverage that protects the cedant from a deterioration of its loss reserves LPT: Loss obligations that are already incurred and will ultimately be paid are ceded to a reinsurer
	Aggregate Stop Loss	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Designed to limit (re)insurer losses across the entire book to a specified amount Typically high expected loss, hard to find and seldom unlimited
	Capped Quota Share	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quota share reinsurance coverage up to a specified premium volume Enables cedants to retain more upside potential of the book through exposure to higher layers
	Finite Risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multi-year deals where a limited amount of risk is transferred through profit share mechanisms Historically open to controversy due to inherently complex nature and favourable accounting treatment
	Multi-Line / Multi-Year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multi-Line: Coverage provided over multiple LoBs to reduce volatility and improve transaction efficiency Multi-Year: Coverage provided over multiple years to lock-in prices and improve transaction efficiency
	Multi-Trigger	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An insurance policy that only pays out if more than one trigger occurs Designed to respond to both physical hazard-type events and financial indexes

Friday, 4 March 2016

Source: Aon, International Risk Management Institute, Investopedia, The Actuarial Professions' Glossaries, The Law Dictionary, Guy Carpenter – Glossary of Reinsurance Terms, Artemis

43



Definitions

ASoC segment	Products	High level definition
Hybrid reinsurance products	Collateralised RI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collateralised reinsurance refers to a reinsurance contract backed by assets that are fully pledged to the cedant in the event of a trigger Collateral is provided by third-party investors in the form of liquid, low risk securities e.g. Treasuries
	Industry Loss Warranties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coverage based on total losses to an industry rather than individual company or insurer losses Specified limit denotes the amount of compensation received if the industry loss warranty is triggered
	Sidecars	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quota Share contracts between (re)insurers and investors covering a book of business Collateral is provided by third-party investors through a special purpose vehicle
Securitised solutions	Cat Bonds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A collateralised loan, through an SPV, from a third-party investor to an insurance company sponsor If a pre-defined Cat loss occurs, the obligation to pay interest/principal is either deferred or forgiven
	Cat Options / Futures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Derivative contract providing insurers a means to transfer Cat risks to third-party investors Settlements are based on specified Cat indexes
	Cat Swaps	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two parties, an insurer and an investor, exchange streams of periodic payments Insurer payments are based on investor securities and investor payments are based on Cat losses
	Contingent Capital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Debt securities which are converted to equity if insurance and/or financial triggers are met Recent innovations include solvency triggers to carry high risk-adjusted weighting under Solvency II
	Credit Default Swaps	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectively an insurance product that protects against the non-payment of bond coupon payments A buyer of a CDS might be speculating on the possibility that the third party will indeed default
	Weather Derivatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Instrument used by companies to hedge against the risk of weather-related losses In contrast to traditional insurance products, these cover high-probability events e.g. a dry summer

Friday, 4 March 2016

Source: Aon, International Risk Management Institute, Investopedia, The Actuarial Professions' Glossaries, The Law Dictionary, Guy Carpenter – Glossary of Reinsurance Terms, Artemis

44