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AI and new technology

• AI / Data Science / Machine Learning have become buzz words.
• Many of the AI techniques used today are enhancements of concepts that have been around since the 1960’s.
• There are a lot of machine learning and AI algorithms that have not yet made their way into actuarial practice and probably never will.
• Insurance has used structured data for decades and it is one of the industries that has been data driven since its inception.
• Unstructured data does not go un-analysed. Just not systematically or in a way that can be objectively measured.
Case-Base Reasoning and expert judgment

CBR

• Paradigm of Artificial Intelligence
• Solve problems by retrieving similar stored cases
• Adapt stored case to fit new need – what is different?
• Support human decision making, aid learning, facilitate access to information

Actuarial Expert Judgment – Reserving example

• We observe mix of business changing
• CL development pattern may need to shift for recent cohort of policies
• Retrieve cases of what is similar to current mix
• Apply appropriate pattern for recent cohorts
Pools, tools and mind-set

• Let \( \{x\}_{i=1}^{n} \) be a set of \( n \) independent and identically distributed r.v.

• Groups of similar risks that are managed together

• Homogeneous Cohorts

• Covariates in a GLM

• etc
The Policy/Claim metric space

Policy 1

Policy 2
Text Analysis

• The methodologies have become more powerful in the last few years

• One approach, for example, is to look for a pre-determined set of words/phrases in a text
  – For example, in economics, the Economic Policy Uncertainty Index has gained traction, and this is (in part) based on mentions of the word “uncertainty” in various newspapers

• Increasingly, the process is to assign a fairly small number of texts into the categories of interest based on the overall impression given by the entire text

• Algorithms learn the words/phrases which classify the texts into the categories successfully
The Vector Space Model

• First mathematical model of text for machine manipulation
• The VSM model first used in the SMART (System for the Mechanical Analysis and Retrieval of Text) Information Retrieval System developed at Cornell in the 1960s
• Represent a document $d$ by an index vector $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ of dimension $m$
• A common document representation is known as the n-gram model (segment $d$ into $n$-tuples of sequences of tokens)
• Typically the order of n-grams is ignored (‘bag of words’ model)
Encoding Schemes

• x can be encoded in a number of ways, common encoding schemes:

• For a vocabulary of all n-grams $V = (v_1, \ldots, v_N)$ define $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ to be 1 if the n-gram is in $d$ and 0 otherwise (Boolean). So $N = m$

• For vocabulary $V = (v_1, \ldots, v_N)$ define $x_i \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the frequency of the n-gram in $d$, $tf(v_t)$
Encoding Schemes

• Let $K$ be the number of documents in the database $D$. The inverse document frequency of an n-gram $v_t$ is defined as:

$$idf(v_t, D) = \log K/|\{d \in D : v_t \in d\}|$$

• Term frequency inverse document frequency representation is defined as: $tf(v_t) \ast idf(v_t, D)$. A balanced measure of frequency of an n-gram in a document weighted by its ability to ‘distinguish’ between documents in general in the database $D$

• Similarity between any two vectors is typically measured by the angle between them, or equivalently $\cos(\text{angle})$
Word embeddings: GloVe

• The approach (known as GloVe) described by Pennington et al. (2014) is widely used to derive word-vector embeddings (the paper already has 6000 citations)

• The training objective of GloVe is to learn word vectors such that their dot product equals the logarithm of the words’ probability of co-occurrence. Owing to the fact that the logarithm of a ratio equals the difference of logarithms, this objective associates (the logarithm of) ratios of co-occurrence probabilities with vector differences in the word vector space

• It is built up from around 2 billion words in English from Twitter, Wikipedia, web crawls and can be downloaded from https://nlp.stanford.edu/projects/glove/
Word embeddings: Word2Vec

- A highly influential recent development using neural networks (Mikolov et al. 2013)
- There are two distinct approaches
- Both rely on training neural networks to predict properties of word sequences
- CBOW: Suppose we have a sequence of n words, where n is an odd number. We leave out the middle word and train a neural net to predict what it is from the surrounding ones. Use the weights of the hidden layer as feature vectors for the target word
Word embeddings: Word2Vec

• Skip-Gram: Suppose we have a sequence of \( n \) words, where \( n \) is an odd number. We leave out the surrounding words and train a neural net to predict what they are from the centre word. Use the weights of the hidden layer as feature vectors for the centre word

• Mikolov et al. show that the relatively simple neural network architectures they propose achieve high quality word-vector representations comparable to more complex networks structures such as Recurrent Neural Nets while being much faster to train on larger data sets
Text analysis – tech difficulties

• A purely technical point is that much of the text held by companies may not be in formats which are ideal for reading into text analysis algorithms

• But this is a solved problem

• The quality of the data is important e.g. a large amount of very bland text is of little use

• Resistance because of a worry that jobs will be displaced
The policy and claim search engine
Important aspects

• The method complements actuarial methods and judgment— it does not replace them.

• Uses AI and other non supervised approaches
  – But the results can be validated by inspecting the policies deemed similar
  – The search results can be adjusted to reflect what the human intelligence deems relevant for similarity in the specific context
  – Company specific expert knowledge can be factored into the specification of inter-word relationships
    • Latent features not mentioned explicitly in text can be factored in via a separate classification exercise.
    • This not an off the shelf product.
Benchmark pricing Application

- Upload a few documents – type in the required numerical/categorical inputs
- Talk to the pc about your notes – or upload telephone conversations
- Report could include
  - Expected loss cost – by peril
  - Sensitivity – increasing diameter of similarity
  - Market price of recently quoted/signed policies

Seek similar policies in DL
Script actuarial methods on pool
Report
Bring claim history of similar Policies
Tweak actuarial assumptions or similarity criteria

*DL = data lake

Machine
Human
Expert Judgment Validation

- Underwriter: we have re-underwritten the book and now the loss ratio is lower. I’d say it is about 15% lower than what you say.

- Actuary: How do you know?

- Underwriter: I’m the expert, I’ve been in this business far longer than you.

- Actuary: can you prove it? How do you identify from the policy documentation these ‘low frequency’ risks?

Actuary then designs a search for a pool with the described key identification factors and check whether the frequency of such policies is lower than the overall frequency.
Claim triage

- Multinomial GLMs have been used for triaging claims
- Predictive strength could be improved with text analysing claim narratives by
  - Finding sets of related words that could lead to higher costs or delayed settlements
- Help in claims adjusting by suggesting interrogation points based on the narrative so far and with the aim of minimising the range of potential outcomes
  - E.g.

```
Ok, you have a valid claim
injured
  - Ambulance
    - What about this: 70k to 500k
    - What about that: 500k to 1.5m
  - No ambulance: 200 to 1,000
  - windscreen: 1,500 to 7,000
No injured
  - bumper
```
Why this matters

• Low interest rate environment – intelligent pricing, finding profitable niches and reducing adjustment expenses will become more important

• Could sound futuristic but
  – Electronic placement will make the necessary information available and easier to e-analyse
  – Most paperwork is already electronic
  – The search and text-crunching technology is out there
  – We already operate with this mind-set outside of our work place!
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