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C-ROSS Phase II

- China Insurance Regulatory Commission (CIRC) launched China Risk-Oriented Solvency System (C-ROSS) in March 2012.
  - In February 2015, CIRC released 17 regulatory rules covering the major technical standards of C-ROSS and meanwhile the insurance industry entered the transitional period from the first-generation solvency system to C-ROSS.
  - On January 1st, 2016, C-ROSS was officially enacted, greatly enhancing the risk management ability of insurance companies.
- In order to further improve the framework of the new-generation solvency system, CIRC decided to start the project of C-ROSS Phase II and issued the Plan of C-ROSS Phase II Construction in September 2017.
C-ROSS Phase II

• Three major tasks are identified.

01 Improvement of regulatory rules

02 Perfection of enforcement mechanisms

03 Strengthening of regulatory cooperation
C-ROSS Phase II

- Fifteen points are addressed under the three major tasks. Some key points include:

1. New rules for Insurance groups, mutual insurers and captive insurers

2. Improve the valuation of actual capital

3. Adjust the standards of market risk, credit risk and insurance risk
C-ROSS Phase II

- The Plan of C-ROSS Phase II Construction issued by CIRC also refers to the principles of implementation of C-ROSS Phase II. The regulator will form several different working groups studying those points, and will release findings within around three years.

- In December 2017, CIRC established the Advisory Experts Committee of Solvency Regulation.

- With the completion of those preparatory works, C-ROSS Phase II construction has been running since July 2018, ushering the insurance industry of China into a new era.
VAT Taxation Reform

• The taxation system of China insurance market was changed to VAT system from premium income system on May 1st, 2016.
• This change affects reinsurance field more than primary insurance field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Premium Income Taxation</th>
<th>Value-Added Taxation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Primary insurers pay taxes and its surcharge.</td>
<td>• Both primary insurers and reinsurers in China pay taxes and its surcharge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reinsurers do not care about taxes.</td>
<td>• Overseas reinsurers do not pay.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAT Taxation Reform

- Under premium income taxation, a primary insurance policy and its reinsurance policy (fronting) are shown as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premium</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes and Surcharge</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses (excluding tax)</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reins Premium</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reins Commission</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reins Claims</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reins Profit</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAT Taxation Reform

- Under VAT taxation, a primary insurance policy and its reinsurance policy (fronting) are shown as below.

### Primary policy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Premium</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premium</td>
<td>94.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>11+17.84=28.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT Surcharge</td>
<td>5.66*10%=$0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>94.34-28.77-63-0.566=2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### For Domestic Reinsurer:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reins Premium</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reins Premium</td>
<td>94.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reins Commission</td>
<td>28.77+0.566=29.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reins Claims</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT Surcharge</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reins Profit</td>
<td>94.34-29.34-63=2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### For Overseas Reinsurer:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reins Premium</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reins Premium</td>
<td>94.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reins Commission</td>
<td>28.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reins Claims</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT Surcharge</td>
<td>5.66*10%=$0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reins Profit</td>
<td>94.34-28.77-63-0.566=2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAT Taxation Reform

• Besides proportional reinsurance businesses, non-proportional reinsurance businesses are affected, too.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domestic Reinsurers</th>
<th>Overseas Rinsurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Quotation: 100</td>
<td>• Quotation: 99.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Surcharge: 0.72</td>
<td>• Surcharge: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Net Benefit: 99.28</td>
<td>• Net Benefit: 99.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Solvency Requirements in Hong Kong

• Since the 1980s, Hong Kong has followed a rule-based capital adequacy regime for insurers, which sets a predefined formula to determine the solvency margin requirement. The formula is based on a Solvency I framework. The factors are stipulated by the regulator and do not reflect the underlying risks of the insurance business.

• For general insurance companies, the solvency margin is determined by the greater of 1) and 2) below and is subject to a minimum of HKD 10m, or HKD 20m for certain statutory classes:

\[
\text{Solvency margin}=\max(20\% \cdot \text{Prem within 200m} + 10\% \cdot \text{Prem in excess of 200m}, \ 20\% \cdot \text{Claims O/S within 200m} + 10\% \cdot \text{Claims O/S in excess of 200m})
\]
Solvency Requirements in Hong Kong

- The Insurance Authority in Hong Kong is developing its capital framework toward a risk-based capital regime that is tailored for the Hong Kong insurance industry (HK RBC).
Solvency Requirements in India

• At present, India follows a factor-based solvency model for insurers. There is a set formula to arrive at the solvency, with the factors determined by the regulator. The method for establishing capital requirements is easy, with no consideration of the underlying risk.

• For general insurance companies, the capital required has been set as below:

\[
\text{Required Capital} = \max(\text{INR0.5 billion}, 20\% \times \text{net premium income}, 30\% \times \text{net incurred claims})
\]
Solvency Requirements in India

• The challenge with the current solvency framework is that it does not recognize portfolio size, type of business, quality of management or underlying risk, and it provides little incentive for companies to practice better risk management.

• Considering these deficiencies, the current factor-based solvency system will move toward Solvency II and an RBC regime in the future.

• The regulator has introduced an economic capital framework. The new model would require an insurance company to establish a risk management framework and shift to risk-based pricing.
Solvency Requirements in Singapore

• The RBC framework for insurers was first introduced in Singapore in 2004. It adopts a risk-focused approach to assessing capital adequacy and seeks to reflect most of the relevant risks that insurers face.

• While the RBC framework has served the Singapore insurance industry well, MAS has embarked on a review of the framework (coined as “RBC 2 review”) in light of evolving market practices and global regulatory developments.

• Two industry consultations were conducted so far in which the MAS proposed a number of changes and an RBC 2 roadmap for implementation.
Solvency Requirements in South Korea

• The regulatory authority for the Korean financial services industry, the Financial Supervisory Service (FSS), introduced RBC in April 2009, replacing the Solvency I requirement.

• In the rapidly changing insurance market, FSS has to review the RBC regime continuously to ensure that it serves the intended purpose.

• The capital for each risk was defined as VaR 95% and will be updated to 99% in the future.

\[
\text{Required Capital} = \sqrt{\text{Insurance}^2 + (\text{Interest} + \text{Credit})^2 + \text{Market}^2 + \text{Operation}}
\]
Timeline of Motor Insurance Reform in China

**Phase I**
- CIRC issued <Guidance on further deepen the reform of car insurance>, which formally kicks off the rate reform starting on 1 May, 2015

**Phase II**
- CIRC issued <Notification relevant to adjustment and management of motor insurance rates>

**Phase III**
- CIRC has issued <Notification relevant to adjustment of motor insurance own discount rating factor to partial area>

**CBIRC**
- Has recently issued <Notification relevant to the pilot of independent pricing reform of commercial auto insurance>
Previous Motor Insurance Rate Structure

Rate Structure

Base Premium

Premium Adjustment Factor

Premium Component

Car Purchase price

Base Rate Factor

Basic Premium

NCD Factor

Other Factors

Tele-sale Discount Factor
Current Motor Insurance Rate Structure

Rate Structure

- Base Premium
- Premium Adjustment Factor

Premium Component

- Base Pure Premium
  - Transition from the previous base rates
  - Introduce car symbol/year factor
- 1-Expense Load Factor
  - Based on industry average expense ratio or company’s own experience
- NCD Factor
  - Enhancement to No Claim Discount (NCD)
- Own U/W Factor
  - Delete the thirteen rating factors (other than NCD) from the old rate structure, introduce the Own Underwriting Factor set by companies
- Own Dist. Channel Factor
  - Introduce the Own Distribution Channel Factor set by companies
Three Phases of Motor Insurance Reform

- The path of the rate change reform:

  - **Phase I**: May 2015
    - NCD: Before 2015: 0.7-1.3, Phase I: 0.6-2.0
    - Own U/W Factor: None, Phase I: 0.85-1.15
    - Own Dist. Channel Factor: None, Phase I: 0.85-1.15
    - Min adj. factor: 0.7, Phase I: 0.43
    - Max adj. factor: 1.86, Phase I: 2.65

  - **Phase II**: July 2017
    - Range of Factors: SC 0.65, Min U/W Factors 0.75, Min Dist. Channel 0.75
    - 6 provinces: SC 0.7, Min U/W Factors 0.75, Min Dist. Channel 0.75
    - XJ: SC 0.75, Min U/W Factors 0.75, Min Dist. Channel 0.75

  - **Phase III**: March 2018
    - Range of Factors: SZ 0.7, Min U/W Factors 0.75, Min Dist. Channel 0.75
    - 8 provinces: SZ 0.75, Min U/W Factors 0.75, Min Dist. Channel 0.75
    - HN: SZ 0.8, Min U/W Factors 0.75, Min Dist. Channel 0.75
    - Others: SZ 0.85, Min U/W Factors 0.75, Min Dist. Channel 0.75

- CBIRC: April 2018
  - Pilot: Free rate making in SX/QH/GX
Impact on the market

• The overall performance of motor insurance:

Before

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good Risk</th>
<th>Bad risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illegal operation</td>
<td>Underwriting loss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good Risk</th>
<th>Bad risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No more expense compensation</td>
<td>U/W loss is reduced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Impact on the market

- Impact to rate and premium volume:
  - Average rate discount: NCD vs own discount
  - Average premium per policy: MPD vs TPL
  - Premium distribution by sales channel

Motor Insurance Premium Income (in 100M CNY)
Impact on the market

Impact to claim loss:

- Avg. Claim Cost: increase a lot
- NCD Bonus: claim frequency decrease sharply

Impact to overall u/w performance:

- Loss ratio
- Expense ratio
- Avg. Claim Cost: increase a lot
- NCD Bonus: claim frequency decrease sharply
Motor Insurance Reforms in Malaysia

• In July 2016, reforms of liberalisation got started in Malaysia’s insurance market and insurers were given authorisation to introduce new products, as well as add-on covers at market-driven prices.

• On 1st July 2017, the second phase of reforms to gradually ease tariffs in the motor and fire insurance segments came into effect as part of a larger effort to liberalise Malaysia’s insurance market.

• The second round of reforms rids the segments of the rigid tariff structure, allowing for insurers to charge premiums aligning with the risk profile of clients.

• This is particularly applicable in the motor segment, in which underwriters can reward drivers with clean records and protect themselves against clients with a history of accidents or misdemeanours.
Exposure Curves for Property Lines in China

• China Re released the first set of exposure curves for property lines in September 2013.

• In September 2015, the exposure curves were updated by China Re using the latest data.
Exposure Curves for Marine Lines in China

• The insurers/reinsurers in China utilised marine exposure curves from global market for pricing marine risk for long.

01 Data source is unknown.

02 Data range is unknown.

03 Risk features are different.
China Marine Insurance Market

- Judging from the data of IUMI, China was the largest marine market in the world in UY 2015.
China Re Marine Exposure Curves

- China Re P&C released the first group of marine exposure curves in September 2017, based on the insurance data its IDEA Center collected from the industry.
Assessing Reinsurance Adequacy

• Setting Your Risk Appetite
  – Able to withstand vertical losses (EQ, Flood) up to 200 years payback
  – Sufficient reinstatements for number of frequency losses

• Setting Your Retention
  – Rules of Thumb
    – DFA / Economic Capital Modelling approach

• Managing Likelihood of Reinsurer Default
  – Expected loss = RI Recovery x LGD% x PD%
  – Concentration limits per reinsurer
  – Stress & Scenario Testing
Assessing Reinsurance Adequacy
Setting Your Retention

• Common “Rules of Thumb”
  – Applying factors based on financial structure and in line with management view, for each risk and event
  – x% of Net Income
  – y% of Current Assets or Equity
  – z% of Gross Written Premium

• Economic Capital Modelling approach
  – Stochastic analysis to find the optimal retention level
  – Maximising risk-return, via an efficient frontier
  – Reflect risk appetite and metrics (VaR, solvency)
Assessing Reinsurance Adequacy
Factors which Influence the Retention

- Assets, Solvency, Capital and free reserves
- Size of portfolio and premium
- Type of Risks
- Frequency and severity of Risk
- Reinsurance type and cost
- Corporate Strategy
- Market Environment
- Exposure to accumulations/natural perils
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Changes of Regulatory Environment in Asia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reform of Some Key Line of Business in Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Exposure Curves Used in Asian Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Assessing Your Reinsurance Adequacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reinsurance Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reinsurance Management
Actuarial Function Under Solvency II

• Nature of the Opinion
  – Explain context, analysis and concerns in reviewing reinsurance; in how performance of the RI would enable firm to achieve target risk profile.

  – Risk Profile
    – Consider how consistent is the risk profile with the reinsurance

  – Credit Profile
    – Consider credit worthiness of the reinsurer, in particular “dispute risk”
    – Potential losses due to inability of unwillingness to pay

  – Stress Test
    – Consider performance under expected plan and stress scenarios
    – Potential impact of risk aggregation, and exhaustion of cover (vertically from CAT, horizontally from frequency losses).
## Typical Report Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Summary and Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underwriting Risk Profile, Existing Reinsurance Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profitability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion
Difference Between Direct and Reinsurer During the Reinsurance Renewal

Direct Insurer
• Renewal is a major exercise.
• Assessment in the form of reinsurance optimization at entity level.
• Economic capital approach / solvency based view normally used.
• Reinsurer counterparty risk is important.

Reinsurer
• Account / contract specific pricing and underwriting.
• Technical pricing process, data adjustments, loss assumptions.
• Price needs to meet internal requirements.
• Portfolio level overview and steering.
Conclusion

• Understand the reinsurance pricing process so that the renewal structure reflects your portfolio and risk appetite.

• Importance of data is crucial for a robust assessment, otherwise it could lead to pricing inefficiencies and higher reinsurance cost.

• More information on this subject can be found in the paper “Analyzing the Disconnect Between the Reinsurance Submission and Global Underwriter’s Needs” by the IFoA-CAS International Pricing Research Working Party

• Assess reinsurance adequacy holistically in line with the risk appetite of your firm, using a DFA approach if possible.

• Flood pricing: Consider how to develop and price this risk to differentiate your firm in transitioning from the tariffed market.
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