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## A look at the past and the future

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparatory Phase Reporting</th>
<th>Day 1 Reporting</th>
<th>Quarterly Reporting</th>
<th>Annual Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantitative Reporting</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 1 Reporting</strong></td>
<td><strong>Quarterly Reporting</strong></td>
<td><strong>Annual Reporting</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Annual and Quarterly templates</td>
<td>• Balance Sheet, Own Funds and SCR/MCR templates</td>
<td>• Overall 7-10 templates applicable to typical firm</td>
<td>• Full set of Annual QRTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 7-10 templates to typical firm.</td>
<td>• 5-7 templates to typical firm.</td>
<td>• Selection of Day 1 templates + additional templates on Assets; premiums, claims and expenses; NSTs; and ECB add-ons.</td>
<td>• 35-45 templates applicable to typical firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Narrative Report (covering Systems of Governance, Risk Profile and Valuation for Solvency Purposes).</td>
<td>• Day 1 Qualitative Report (CBI Structured Template / Narrative information under Article 314 of Delegated Acts).</td>
<td>• No qualitative report required</td>
<td>• Significant increase in reporting from previous quarters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qualitative Reporting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Reporting of the Solvency and Financial Condition Report (SFCR) on an annual basis and the Regular Supervisory Report (RSR) once every three years.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Solvency II reporting timetable

3 submissions within 83 days

25 / 02 / 2017: Quarterly Q4 2016 Reporting
19 / 05 / 2017: Quarterly Q1 2017 Reporting
20 / 05 / 2017: Annual Q4 2016 Reporting
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template Category</th>
<th>Day 1 Reporting</th>
<th>Regular Reporting</th>
<th>FS Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quarterly Reporting *</td>
<td>Annual Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Information</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Sheet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Provisions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Measures / LTGs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own Funds</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation Analysis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinsurance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group (IGT)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring Fenced Funds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Purpose Vehicles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total EIOPA Templates</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional ECB Templates</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Specific Templates**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical National Specific Templates</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Templates</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>104</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some templates are only needed for Q2 and Q4.
**CBI NST- The PRA has 11 NSTs
What’s new in Quantitative Reporting?

Management Perspective

- 85% of the templates to be prepared for annual reporting are new and were not produced for Day 1 or Quarterly reporting.
- 25% of the templates to be prepared for annual reporting are more detailed variations of the Day 1 / Quarterly templates.
- 15% of the templates to be prepared for annual reporting are the same as Day 1 / Quarterly templates.

Board Perspective

- 95% of the templates to be approved by the Boards for annual reporting will be completely new, or significantly more detailed than what was reported in Day 1 or Quarterly Templates.
- 5% of the templates to be approved for annual reporting are more detailed variations of the Day 1 templates.
- 15% of the templates to be approved for annual reporting were reviewed for Day 1 reporting.
- 80% of the templates to be approved are new and were not reviewed for Day 1 reporting.

85% of the templates to be prepared by the management for annual reporting will be completely new, or significantly more detailed than what was reported in Day 1 or Quarterly Templates.

95% of the templates to be approved by the Boards for annual reporting will be completely new, or significantly more detailed than what was reviewed for Day 1 reporting.
Quantitative Reporting Templates

Bridge from quarterly reporting to annual reporting (solo perspective)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template Category</th>
<th>Templates for Quarterly Reporting</th>
<th>Templates not to be reported</th>
<th>Variation of Quarterly Templates</th>
<th>Existing Templates</th>
<th>New Templates</th>
<th>Templates for Annual Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Information</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Sheet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Provisions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Measures / LTGs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own Funds</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation Analysis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinsurance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group (IGT)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring Fenced Funds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Purpose Vehicles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total EIOPA Templates</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional ECB Templates</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Specific Templates</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical National Specific Templates</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Templates</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>85</strong></td>
<td><strong>104</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some templates are only needed for Q2 and Q4.*
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Data Quality
Most common issues noted by supervisory authorities

- Incorrect values reported (e.g. 0% SCR coverage ratios)
- Collective investments – failure to report look-through for all existing collective investments
- Reported line-by-line derivatives do not reconcile to balance sheet
- Technical provisions on detailed tabs do not reconcile to balance sheet
- Incorrect reporting on long-term guarantee measures (matching adjustment, volatility adjustment etc.)
- Line-by-line investments:
  - Incorrect data formats (e.g. 10000% instead of 100%)
  - Assets reported with no currency
  - Large numbers of assets with negative values
  - Incorrect Complementary Identification Codes used
  - Invalid ISIN codes used.
Asset Templates
List of Assets Template (S.06.02)

- Extreme granularity of reporting. 16 columns for each position held, and 27 columns for each asset
- Certain ECB fields can be derived from Solvency II fields to ease reporting burden
- Links to Balance Sheet (S.06.02); Quarterly Aggregate Balance Sheet Statement (NST.12) and Quarterly Aggregate Reporting of Security Holdings (NST.13).

### Table 1: Information on positions held

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset ID Code</th>
<th>Asset ID Code type</th>
<th>Portfolio</th>
<th>Fund number</th>
<th>Matching portfolio number</th>
<th>Asset held in unit-linked and index-linked contracts</th>
<th>Asset pledged as collateral</th>
<th>Country of execution</th>
<th>Custodian</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Par amount</th>
<th>Write-off/write-downs</th>
<th>Valuation method</th>
<th>Costr’d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C0040</td>
<td>C0040</td>
<td>C0060</td>
<td>C0070</td>
<td>C0080</td>
<td>C0090</td>
<td>C0100</td>
<td>C0110</td>
<td>C0120</td>
<td>C0130</td>
<td>C0140</td>
<td>EC0141</td>
<td>C0150</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Information on assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset ID Code</th>
<th>Asset ID Code type</th>
<th>Issuer Title</th>
<th>Issuer Name</th>
<th>Issuer Code</th>
<th>Type of issuer code</th>
<th>Issuer Sector according to ESA 2010</th>
<th>Issuer Group Code</th>
<th>Type of issuer group code</th>
<th>Issuer Country</th>
<th>Costr’d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C0040</td>
<td>C0040</td>
<td>C0190</td>
<td>C0200</td>
<td>C0210</td>
<td>C0220</td>
<td>C0230</td>
<td>EC0231</td>
<td>C0240</td>
<td>C0250</td>
<td>C0270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EC0271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>A7</td>
<td>A8</td>
<td>A31</td>
<td>A33</td>
<td>A9</td>
<td>ECB add-on</td>
<td>A10</td>
<td>A32</td>
<td>A11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tables:

- Table 1: Information on positions held
- Table 2: Information on assets

**Currency**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>CKC</th>
<th>Instrument classification according to ESA 2010</th>
<th>Infrastructure investment</th>
<th>Holdings in related undertakings, including participations</th>
<th>External rating</th>
<th>Nominated FATI</th>
<th>Credit quality step</th>
<th>Internal rating</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Unit Solvency II price</th>
<th>Unit percentage of par amount Solvency II</th>
<th>Issue date</th>
<th>Maturity date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C0290</td>
<td>C0290</td>
<td>EC0291</td>
<td>C0300</td>
<td>C0310</td>
<td>C0320</td>
<td>C0330</td>
<td>C0340</td>
<td>C0350</td>
<td>C0370</td>
<td>C0380</td>
<td>C0390</td>
<td>EC0381</td>
<td>C0390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13</td>
<td>A15</td>
<td>ECB add-on</td>
<td>A16</td>
<td>A17</td>
<td>A18</td>
<td>A20</td>
<td>A25</td>
<td>ECB add-on</td>
<td>A28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Solvency II Look Through

Three reasons to perform a look through

1. **Pillar 3 Reporting**
   Under Solvency II, companies are required to report their holdings in Collective Investment Units (CIUs) at least annually, or on a quarterly basis if their holdings amount to more than 30% of their total assets. For 2016, the Central Bank exempted companies rated medium-low and low from quarterly reporting of look through.

2. **Prudent Person Principle**
   Solvency II requires that companies shall only invest in assets and instruments whose risks the undertaking concerned can properly identify, measure, monitor, manage, control and report, and appropriately take into account in the assessment of its overall solvency needs.

3. **SCR calculation**
   The SCR calculation needs to be performed on a look through basis. If however companies are unable to obtain a look through of their investments, they may:
   - Use prudent data groupings to calculate their SCR subject to the provisions set out in the Delegated Acts and EIOPA guidelines on look through.
   - Classify CIUs as Type 2 equities that attract the highest capital charge.
Technical Provisions - Non-life
Quantitative Reporting Templates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template Number</th>
<th>Template Name (description)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S.17.01</td>
<td>Non-Life Technical Provisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.17.02</td>
<td>Non-Life Technical Provisions - By country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.18.01</td>
<td>Projection of future cash flows (Best Estimate - Non Life)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.19.01</td>
<td>Non-life insurance claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.20.01</td>
<td>Development of the distribution of the claims incurred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.21.01</td>
<td>Loss distribution risk profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.21.02</td>
<td>Underwriting risks non-life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.21.03</td>
<td>Non-life distribution of underwriting risks - by sum insured</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key**

- Reporting of the non-life technical provisions, including risk margin, gross and net of reinsurance, by line of business and by country
- Three set of triangles are required regarding claims paid, best estimate of claims provisions and RBNS claims, both gross and net of reinsurance
- Need to provide an overview about the run-off/movement of non-life claims portfolios, in terms of both claims paid (split by different type of claims) and RBNS claims. This relates to direct business only
- Need to report on the distribution of incurred claims grouped into 20 appropriate claim size brackets.

- Granular information on the top 20 underwriting risks are required. Need descriptions of the nature of the risk, sum insured, type of underwriting model and more
- The underwriting risk portfolio is the distribution, in (predefined) brackets, of the sum insured of each and every single underwriting risk which have been accepted by the undertaking, by line of business.
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# SCR and MCR

## Quantitative Reporting Templates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template Number</th>
<th>Template Name (description)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S.25.01</td>
<td>SCR for undertakings on Standard Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.25.02</td>
<td>SCR for undertakings using the Standard Formula and Partial Internal Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.25.03</td>
<td>SCR - for undertakings on Full Internal Models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.26.01</td>
<td>SCR - Market risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.26.02</td>
<td>SCR - Counterparty default risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.26.03</td>
<td>SCR - Life underwriting risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.26.04</td>
<td>SCR - Health underwriting risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.26.05</td>
<td>SCR - Non-Life underwriting risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.26.06</td>
<td>SCR - Operational risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.26.07</td>
<td>SCR - Simplifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.27.01</td>
<td>SCR - Non-life and Health catastrophe risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.28.01</td>
<td>MCR - Only life or only non-life insurance or reinsurance activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.28.02</td>
<td>MCR - Both life and non-life insurance activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key

- Same as Quarterly Template
- Variant of Quarterly Template
- New Template

- SCR for each risk module, and by each ring fenced fund and remaining entity
- Separate reporting of impact on assets and liabilities.

[Institute and Faculty of Actuaries logo]
Annual Reporting – critical considerations

- Reporting Volume and Complexity
- Data Validations
- Data Quality
- Modelling Requirements
- Third Party Dependencies
Narrative Reporting – Overview of requirements
Source of requirements

**Solvency and Financial Condition Report ("SFCR")**
- Articles 292 – 297 of the Delegated Acts
- Guidelines 1-13 of the "Guidelines on reporting and public disclosure".

**Regular Supervisory Report ("RSR")**
- Articles 307 – 311 of the Delegated Act
- Guidelines 16-25 of the "Guidelines on reporting and public disclosure".

**Structure of the SFCR/RSR**
## Structure of SFCR and RSR

### A. Business and Performance
- A.1 Business
- A.2 Underwriting Performance
- A.3 Investment Performance
- A.4 Performance of other activities
- A.5 Any other information

### B. System of Governance
- B.1 General information on the system of governance
- B.2 Fit and proper requirements
- B.3 Risk management system including the own risk and solvency assessment
- B.4 Internal control system
- B.5 Internal audit function
- B.6 Actuarial function
- B.7 Outsourcing
- B.8 Any other information

### C. Risk Profile
- C.1 Underwriting risk
- C.2 Market risk
- C.3 Credit risk
- C.4 Liquidity risk
- C.5 Operational risk
- C.6 Other material risks
- C.7 Any other information

### D. Valuation for Solvency Purposes
- D.1 Assets
- D.2 Technical provisions
- D.3 Other liabilities
- D.4 Alternative methods for valuation
- D.5 Any other information

### E. Capital Management
- E.1 Own funds
- E.2 Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement
- E.3 Use of the duration-based equity risk sub-module in the calculation of the Solvency Capital Requirement
- E.4 Differences between the standard formula and any internal model used
- E.5 Non-compliance with the Minimum Capital Requirement and non-compliance with the Solvency Capital Requirement
- E.6 Any other information

### Annex of Public disclosure QRTs (for SFCR only)
## Core content of the SFCR/RSR

- Basic details on the business (name, address, group structure, shareholders, supervisor, auditor etc.)
- Description of material classes of business and geographical areas
- Underwriting, investment and other performance will include statutory accounts results with commentary on the events during the year
- Allocation of underwriting performance by Solvency II classes of business and material geographical area
- Comparisons of performance against previous year

## Additional content in RSR

- Details of objectives and strategic plan
- Expected future trends and market / regulatory developments
- Comparisons of performance against plan
- Projections of performance over the business planning horizon, identifying key assumptions and significant factors that may impact performance.
## B – System of Governance

### Core content of the SFCR/RSR

- Explanation of the undertakings organisation and governance structure and adequacy considering the risk profile
- Information on the remuneration policies and practices for the Board and the management
- Fitness and probity of key function holders
- Information on the key functions; their activities; and how they have been implemented
- Information on ORSA and Risk Management (an overview of the governance processes involved, including committee structures and key function holders as Risk Management detailed extensively in section C)
- Material changes in governance during the reporting period.

### Additional content in RSR

- How remuneration policies and practices promote sound and effective risk management
- Remuneration of board members, and comparison to prior periods
- Details of the ORSA results
- Description of the activities, findings and recommendation of the internal audit function
- Transactions with shareholders and management.
## C – Risk Profile

### Core content of the SFCR/RSR

- The following quantitative and qualitative information, separately for each sub-module of risk:
  - Material risk exposures and concentrations
  - Description of risk mitigation techniques, their effectiveness and their impact on SCR
  - Description of the methods, assumptions and outcome of the sensitivity testing and stress and scenario testing
  - How assets are invested in line with the prudent person principle.

### Additional content in RSR

- Anticipated changes over the business planning period in:
  - Risk exposures and concentrations, and how they will be managed.
  - Risk mitigation techniques
- Information on dependencies between risks covered in the calculation of the SCR
- A complete list of assets and how those assets have been invested in accordance with the ‘prudent person principle’.
D – Valuation for Solvency Purposes

Core content of the SFCR/RSR

- For each material class of assets and liabilities:
  - Description of bases, methods and assumptions in valuation for Solvency purposes
  - Material differences in above used for valuation in Financial Statements.
- Description of key bases, methods and assumptions used in the calculation of technical provisions for each material class of business
- Uncertainty in value of technical provisions
- Impact of reinsurance on technical provisions.

Additional content in RSR

- Explanation of changes in Solvency II technical provisions since last reporting period, and rationale for changes in material assumptions
- Process in place for checking data quality, and details of data deficiencies and adjustments
- Details of simplifications used, including for allocation of risk margin to Solvency II lines of business
- Explanation of contract boundaries applied
- Assumptions about future management actions and policyholder behaviour.
## E – Capital Management

### Core content of the SFCR/RSR

- Quantitative and Qualitative information on Own Funds (policies, structure, quality, analysis of change, eligible own funds to cover SCR/MCR, explanation of key elements of reconciliation reserve).
- Quantitative and Qualitative information on MCR and SCR (split by risk module).
- Differences between the standard model and internal model will be discussed in detail, on a risk sub-module level.
- Information about non-compliance with MCR/SCR during the period (origin, consequences, remedial actions).

### Additional content in RSR

- Forecast level of own funds over business planning horizon.
- Forecast level of MCR and SCR over business planning horizon.
- Allowance for mitigation techniques, and management actions in MCR and SCR.
Narrative Reporting – Challenges
5 areas of focus

Public disclosure

Public disclosure of your SFCR means that it will be available for review by policyholders, shareholders, investors, rating agencies, analysts, distributors etc.

Volume of Reporting

The reports are very detailed. Medium / Large insurance companies have typically drafted over 100 pages individually for each of the SFCR and the RSR.
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5 areas of focus

Resource Pressure

The production of these reports could involve 70 - 100 man-days of resources across various departments.

Roles and responsibilities

There will likely be multiple contributors to each report; timings and dependencies will need to be identified in advance.
Reconciliation Processes

Reconciliation processes for differences in valuation, year-on-year changes, and actual versus expected will need to be set up and tested in advance of year-end reporting.
Final thoughts on narrative reporting

- Don’t underestimate the effort required to complete these reports.
- Start drafting early!
- Some companies considering legal / marketing reviews of the SFCR.
- Consideration of disclosure of non-voluntary information.
- Consideration of non-disclosure of sensitive or confidential information.
- Consideration of different valuation dates of projections (RSR vs. ORSA).
- Granularity required for reporting of performance and comparisons to plan could be onerous.
- Be cognisant of timelines and project plan!
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## External Audit requirements

### PRA’s proposed audit requirements for the UK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFCR</th>
<th>QRTs</th>
<th>Narrative report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Sections covered | - **Balance Sheet**  
- Premium claims and expenses  
- Technical provisions  
- Own funds  
- SCR and MCR  
- Variation analysis  
- Assets | - Business and performance  
- System of governance  
- Risk profile  
- Valuation for solvency purposes  
- Capital management |
| Timing | - This is an annual audit, no quarterly audit required  
- Applies to years ending on or after 15 November 2016. | |
| Additional info relevant to audit | - SCR is exempt if calculated using approved partial or full internal model  
- Information produced for group SFCR using sectoral rules are exempt from audit  
- External auditor should consider the use of specialists (e.g. actuaries)  
- All other information in SFCR not subject to the reasonable assurance opinion should be checked for consistency. | |
| Difference with CBI proposal | - CBI has included elements of the PM calculated using standard formula in scope  
- CBI timing is for years ending on or after 31 December 2016  
- CBI has included S.19.01.21 Non Life Claims Development information – the PRA has not  
- PRA has included the QRT on impact of long term guarantees and transitional measures – the CBI has not. | |
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Key messages for annual reporting

**Quantitative Reporting**
- Scoping templates
- Contributions from business functions
- Gap analysis of information available versus requirements
- Dry runs

**Narrative Reporting**
- Public disclosure
- Contributions from business functions
- Synergies with existing reporting
- Reconciliations
- Dry runs