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Older people are higher contributors to mortality excess and most sensitive to environmental influences e.g. temperature. As the population ages, variability in temperature is liable to impact a large proportion of life insurance or pension policies in a portfolio. Climate change is projected to significantly affect future mean temperatures. Moreover, future changes in mean temperature are estimated to vary across different regions of the United Kingdom. Accordingly, the present paper investigates the potential impact of future mean temperature changes on older-age mortality in England & Wales and Scotland. The corresponding effect on older-age mortality differences between England & Wales and Scotland is also investigated.
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Introduction

Mortality is an unambiguous measure of health. Rapid improvement in mortality has led to a burgeoning older population in the United Kingdom, with over 65s representing, 17.8% of the total population as of mid-2015. The ONS\(^1\) reports that since mid-2005 this age-group has grown by 21%. However, mortality improvements vary substantially across regions. Relative to England & Wales, mortality rates in Scotland\(^\text{[13]}\) were 12% higher in 1981, increasing to 15% higher in 2001, and socio-economic disparity\(^\text{[13]}\)\(^\text{[24]}\) is increasingly less able to account for regional mortality differences.

Another determinant of regional health and mortality differences relate to environmental influences e.g. temperature (a thermal measurement of climate change). There is a sizeable body of evidence that cites clear seasonal patterns in incidence of major causes of death e.g. coronary heart disease\(^\text{[6]}\); often attributing a temperature association, although the detailed biological mechanisms of this influence are not fully understood. Many studies\(^\text{[4]}\)\(^\text{[1]}\) comment on a parabolic U-shaped relationship between temperature and mortality; mortality being lowest between \([15\,^\circ\text{C}, 20\,^\circ\text{C}]\) and higher on either side of this optimal range. Patterns of increasing mortality effects with age have been observed under both acute heat and cold events\(^\text{[19]}\)\(^\text{[3]}\). Unsurprisingly, with climate change has come increasing awareness of potential health and mortality risks associated with temperature variability in the general population, particularly at older ages. Thus, interest for this paper lies in the impact of mean temperature on older-age mortality and on regional mortality differentials in older-age mortality.

UK Climate Projections\(^\text{[15]}\) forecast temperature to change, relative to standard normals, by between \([0.9\,^\circ\text{C}, 5.0\,^\circ\text{C}]\) in England & Wales and between \([0.7\,^\circ\text{C}, 4.3\,^\circ\text{C}]\) in Scotland by 2050. Regional temperature disparities are set to widen and it is important to understand how this may impact on relative differences in mortality by region in the future. A common assumption is that warming temperatures auger well for reductions in mortality. However, projections for England & Wales present a scenario whereunder mean temperatures will exceed the optimal range by 2050. Additionally, recent research\(^\text{[16]}\) suggests that global warming may lead to an intensification of the effects of the jet stream, causing extreme cold weather in the UK and US. Consequently, climate change is a long-term challenge and potential risks presented by climatological hazards is an important consideration, given a transitioning climate with an elevated propensity for acute weather events.

Furthermore, the contract period for a typical life insurance or pension policy is sufficiently long for climate change to have a potential impact. Empirical evidence\(^\text{[9]}\)\(^\text{[11]}\)\(^\text{[19]}\) shows that older people are most severely impacted by acute weather hazards and are higher contributors to mortality excess. Therefore, temperature variability is a risk factor liable to impact a large number of policies in a portfolio. Such considerations can inform product design and the level of risk management undertaken by insurers. Accordingly, the objective of the present analysis is to model the impact of mean temperature on mortality at older ages in England & Wales and Scotland, and to investigate the impact of possible future temperatures on regional mortality differences.

The present paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 describes the data sources and reports summary information. Section 3 provides the modelling framework for present analysis and describes model results. Section 4 presents impact to regional mortality differences under future temperature scenarios. Section 5 reviews the main conclusions and important caveats to the present analysis.

---

\(^{1}\)https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/bulletins/annualmidyearpopulationestimates/previousReleases
Methodology

Data

Mortality data

Population estimates of England & Wales and Scotland are sourced from The Human Mortality Database[25] and refer to (civilian) population size, by single year of age, on 1st January each year. Monthly population death data for the period 1974-2014 was made available by the National Records of Scotland (NRS). The Office of National Statistics (ONS) supplied corresponding data for the period 1993-2014 in respect of England & Wales. Death data are classified according to year, month, sex, and single year of age (from 60 to 95 years). This paper models older-age mortality variation over decadal time intervals, that is, 1995-2004 and 2005-2014 respectively. ‘Over 75s’ represent the group that tends to be jointly and severely impacted by acute weather developments[9]. On this basis, older-age mortality is investigated with respect to ages 75-90yrs.

In this age range, female population estimates in England & Wales and Scotland are very consistent over the whole period of investigation, growing by 242,000 approx. (or 0.5% per annum) and 31,000 approx. (or 0.7% per annum) respectively. Correspondingly, in this age range, male population estimates in England & Wales and Scotland exhibit growth in population size of 611,000 approx. (or 2.0% per annum) and 60,000 approx. (or 2.3% per annum) respectively.

Temperature data

The central England temperature (CET) data series[17] is produced and maintained by the Met Office Hadley centre. It constitutes the longest monthly series in the world, with records beginning from 1659; it is widely used as a measure of thermal conditions representative of a roughly triangular area of the United Kingdom enclosed by Lancashire, London and Bristol. Here, monthly mean temperature data from CET dataset is used to analyse the contribution of temperature on older-age mortality trends in England & Wales.

Based on paper by Jones & Lister[10], for ‘mainland’ Scotland (SMT), monthly data recorded by selection of long-running historic weather stations is used to construct a corresponding monthly mean temperature time series; data from island stations (Lerwick and Stornaway) are ignored for purposes of variance reduction and comparability with neighbouring site (i.e.CET). The resulting temperature data are used to analyse its role in older-age mortality trends of Scotland. Climatological conditions in Scotland do not differ drastically from other regions in the United Kingdom. However, Scotland tends to be cloudier, wetter, windier and average temperatures are lower relative to CET.

The United Kingdom Climate Impacts Program’s UK Climate Projections (so-called UKCP09[15]), developed in 2009, is the leading source of climate change information for the whole of the United Kingdom. Using sophisticated global climate models, UKCP09 projections provide probabilistic representations of monthly, seasonal or annual changes in a number of climate variables relative to latest standard normals2. The present analysis uses UKCP09 scenarios of (summer and winter) mean temperature change, up to 2050, to investigate possible impact of future temperature change on relative mortality between England & Wales and Scotland.

Subsequent sections model the temperature-mortality relationship in England & Wales and Scotland, respectively. Relative impact of possible future temperatures on this relationship in each region is assessed. In this context, it is notable that all regions of the United Kingdom have experienced an increase in mean temperatures between 1961-2006 annually and for all seasons[9]. Increases in

2 Climatological standard normals are defined by the World Meteorological Organisation(WMO) as “averages of climatological data computed for consecutive periods of 30 years...”. The present global standard normals period is 1961-1990.
annual average temperature, relative to standard normals, are typically between 1.0-1.7 °C, tending to be largest in the south and east of England and smallest in Scotland[8].

Figure 1a highlights rising temperatures, on average, in both CET and SMT, relative to corresponding standard normals. It can also be seen that historical temperature disparity between regions is subject to change. Figure 1b compares disparity in mean monthly temperatures between England & Wales and Scotland over time. Generally, regional disparity in mean monthly temperature is higher in 1995-2014 relative to standard normal period, due to CET experiencing, on average, larger temperature increases than SMT. Table 1 evidences an increase in incidence of acute heat and cold events in CET relative to expected, based on standard normals period. The timing of acute events supports evidence of warming and of some alteration to traditional seasonal timings.

Figure 1. Comparative changes in mean temperature between CET and SMT, decomposed by month

---

3 An acute heat event is so defined when the daily maximum temperature of more than five consecutive days exceeds the standard normals average maximum temperature by 5 °C and of those runs, periods where maximum daily temperatures > 24 °C (assuming relative humidity 82%) each day.

4 An acute cold event is so defined when the daily maximum temperature of more than three consecutive days is under the standard normals average maximum temperature and of those runs, periods where maximum daily temperatures < 3 °C (assuming relative humidity 82%) each day.
Table 1. Incidence of acute heat and cold events in CET by quinquennial periods 1995-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Heat

(b) Cold

Modelling impact of temperature on current mortality

In this section, generalized additive models (GAMs) [7][26] are used to model the impact of temperature on mortality in England & Wales and Scotland over the periods 1995-2004, 2005-2014 respectively. GAMs are widely used in exploratory data analysis as an effective means of capturing more subtle non-linear patterns in data. GAMs extend the power of conventional regression techniques, by fitting non-parametric functions to estimate relationships between the response variable and explanatory variables, as necessary. In particular, the response variable is assumed to be affected by the explanatory variables through additive unspecified smooth functions and, the underlying probability distribution for the data can be any distribution from the exponential family. Therefore, in time-series studies of pollution/temperature-related mortality, GAMs are a widely applied method [18][27][2][21][22]. When the response variable represents counts (e.g. number of deaths), as is the case here, Possion GAMs (with log link) are well suited to examining the effects of various explanatory variables on mortality rates. With R[20] statistical software and implementation in R package mgcv, the present analysis is conducted using an over-dispersed Poisson GAM model.

The model is fit separately by gender (i.e. male|female), region (i.e. England & Wales|Scotland) and decadal period (i.e. 1995-2004|2005-2014), as follows:

$$
\log(m_{ijkl}) = \beta_0 + s_1(Age_{ij}) + s_2(MeanMonthlyTemperature_{j}) + s_3(Month_{i}) + s_4(Year_{k}) + \log(Population_{il})
$$

(1)

where Deaths_{ijkl} ~ Possion(E_{ijkl}^C m_{ijkl}) , population estimates on 1st January are used to approximate central exposed to risk and $s_i$ are smooth functions implemented using cubic regression splines.

As previously noted, the model is fit to ages 75-90yrs. The inclusion of explanatory factors, apart from mean temperature, serves to ensure that deviance in mortality is not confounded by other factors/proxies. Explanatory variable Month is a marker for seasonality i.e. presence of common characteristics in months that permit grouping into distinguishable seasons. To date, mortality has
been higher in winter\(^5\) months due mainly to prevalence of circulatory and respiratory diseases[24]. Explanatory variable Year captures general improvements in mortality over time.

For the limited age range and time periods considered, interactions between explanatory variables are not included in the model. This results in a simple, easily interpretable model suitable for an initial investigation into the potential temperature-related effects on older-age mortality. Further, cubic regression splines are used in the primary model because they are computationally simplest and have sufficient flexibility for most purposes. Results are presented in proceeding (sub)sections as follows:

- Model results outlining effects of explanatory variables on male mortality, compared on a regional basis
- Model results outlining effects of explanatory variables on female mortality, compared on a regional basis
- Expected impact on regional mortality variation under projected temperature scenarios, by gender

**Model Results - Males**

Model results confirm that explanatory variables included in the model are highly significant (see Tables 8 and 9) in respect of both regions and both decadal periods (that is, 1995-2004, 2005-2014 respectively). Table 2 summarizes fit of models for England & Wales and Scotland respectively.

### Table 2. Summary of GAM models, by region and period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
<th>Deviance Explained</th>
<th>Deviance Explained by Temp</th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
<th>Deviance Explained</th>
<th>Deviance Explained by Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995-2004</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.001678018</td>
<td>1995-2004</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2014</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.00164315</td>
<td>2005-2014</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 2 and 3 present the partial effects of explanatory variables on mortality for the periods 1995-2004 and 2005-2014. In both regions, the partial effect of Age on mortality increases linearly and the partial effect of Year on mortality is decreasing. The downward trajectory of Year partial effect is more pronounced in England & Wales than Scotland (especially in decade ending 2004) and then appears to level off from 2010. In both regions, the partial effect of Month on mortality (i.e. seasonal mortality) is curved for period 1995-2004; this curve tends to flattens in period 2005-2014.

In Figure 3a, the partial effect of mean temperature on mortality for England & Wales exhibits an upwardly tending curve at higher mean temperatures. This tendency has been recorded in other studies[4][1] that suggest a U-shaped temperature-mortality relationship. That is, at marginal temperatures (e.g. over 20 °C for the United Kingdom) mortality can re-accelerate.

\(^5\) According to the Met Office, winter is defined as months December, January, February
Model Results - Females

Model results confirm that explanatory variables included in the model are highly significant (see Tables 10 and 14) in respect of both regions and in both periods. Table 3 summarizes fit of models for England & Wales and Scotland respectively.
Table 3. Summary of GAM models, by region and period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Deviance Explained</th>
<th>Deviance Explained by Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995-2004</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.001522296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2014</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.001701912</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) England & Wales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Deviance Explained</th>
<th>Deviance Explained by Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995-2004</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.0008856989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2014</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.0003346171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Scotland

Figures 4 and 5 present the partial effects of explanatory variables on mortality for the periods 1995-2004 and 2005-2014. As seen for males, in both regions, the partial effect of Age on mortality increases linearly. Relative to males, differences in partial effects emerge as follows:

- partial effect of Month on mortality does not flatten to the same extent in period 2005-2014
- partial effect of Year on mortality is not as well-defined in each decadal period

In concordance with males, Figure 5a exhibits an upwardly curving mean temperature partial effect, for England & Wales, at higher mean temperatures. Mean temperatures in England & Wales appear, over time, to increasingly approach threshold levels at which older-age mortality increases. Mean temperatures in SMT are lower relative to CET, hence this type of curvature is not evident in Figure 5b.

(a) 1995-2004: England & Wales
(b) 1995-2004: Scotland

Figure 4. GAM derived partial effects of explanatory variables on female mortality, by region 1995-2004
Future temperature scenarios

Changes in mean temperature are expected to vary by region, with expanding regional climatological disparities. Using models fitted to the most recent decadal period, 2005-2014, the potential impact of possible future mean temperature change on mortality differences between England & Wales and Scotland is assessed.

With January and July being used as seasonal proxies for winter and summer respectively, current seasonal mean temperatures are calculated as the average of monthly mean temperatures pertaining to proxy months, in the period 2005-2014 inclusive. Further assuming no change in contribution of Age, Month, Year to older-age mortality, relative mortality differences between regions are predicted at current6 and projected seasonal mean temperatures (assuming a medium emissions scenario), as shown in Table 4. Predictions assume an individual aged 80yrs, Month is set to January or July, as appropriate, and Year is fixed at 2014.

---

6 refers to average of monthly mean temperatures for January or July in period 2005-2014 inclusive
Table 4. Summary of UKCP09 seasonal mean temperature projection (under a medium emissions scenario) by 2050 according to region7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current mean Temperature</th>
<th>Standard Normals Mean Temperature</th>
<th>Projected Change Range</th>
<th>2050 Projected Mean Temperature Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>4.7 °C</td>
<td>3.8 °C</td>
<td>[0.9 °C, 3.7 °C]</td>
<td>[4.7 °C, 7.5 °C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>16.8 °C</td>
<td>16.1 °C</td>
<td>[1.1 °C, 5.0 °C]</td>
<td>[17.2 °C, 21.1 °C]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) England & Wales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Mean Temperature</th>
<th>Standard Normals Mean Temperature</th>
<th>Projected Change Range</th>
<th>2050 Projected Mean Temperature Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>3.4 °C</td>
<td>2.5 °C</td>
<td>[0.7 °C, 3.1 °C]</td>
<td>[3.2 °C, 5.6 °C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>14.4 °C</td>
<td>13.6 °C</td>
<td>[1.0 °C, 4.3 °C]</td>
<td>[14.6 °C, 17.9 °C]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Scotland

That is, expected relative difference is calculated using death probabilities as follows:

\[
100 \times \left( \frac{m_{80}^{Scotland;Yr=2014;Sex;Month;Temp}}{m_{80}^{England\&Wales;Yr=2014;Sex;Month;Temp}} - 1 \right)
\]  

(2)

Tables 5 and 6 present expected relative differences in mortality for males and females at mean seasonal temperature scenarios, drawn from UKCP09 climate change projections for 2050s. Scenario 1 represents the minimum mean temperature in the range projected by 2050 (as shown in Table 4), for England & Wales and Scotland respectively. Scenario 2 represents the maximum mean temperature in the range projected by 2050, for England & Wales and Scotland respectively.

These simple results (based on selected proxies for ‘winter’, ‘summer’, and ‘old-age’) indicate that, in winter, increasing regional temperatures and increasing regional mean temperature difference is expected to result in a wider mortality gap between England & Wales and Scotland. However, in summer, the mortality gap is expected to improve, precipitated by potential re-acceleration of mortality at marginal mean temperature levels (i.e. over 20 °C) in England & Wales. The degree of improvement in regional mortality differences varies by gender.

7 Standard normal mean temperature refers to average of monthly mean temperature for January or July in global standard normals period 1961-1990. Projected change range refers to average change relative to standard normal with respect to following areas: East Midlands, London, South East England, South West England, West Midlands [England & Wales] and Scotland East, Scotland North, Scotland West [Scotland]
### Table 5. Regional male mortality differences(%) at age 80yrs - seasonal mean temperature scenario results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>England &amp; Wales</th>
<th>Scotland</th>
<th>$\Delta_{\text{Temp}}$</th>
<th>Relative Difference in Mortality (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td>4.7 °C</td>
<td>3.4 °C</td>
<td>1.3 °C</td>
<td>15.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scenario 1</strong></td>
<td>4.7 °C</td>
<td>3.2 °C</td>
<td>1.5 °C</td>
<td>15.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scenario 2</strong></td>
<td>7.5 °C</td>
<td>5.6 °C</td>
<td>1.9 °C</td>
<td>18.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(a) Winter (January)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>England &amp; Wales</th>
<th>Scotland</th>
<th>$\Delta_{\text{Temp}}$</th>
<th>Relative Difference in Mortality (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td>16.8 °C</td>
<td>14.4 °C</td>
<td>2.4 °C</td>
<td>17.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scenario 1</strong></td>
<td>17.2 °C</td>
<td>14.6 °C</td>
<td>2.6 °C</td>
<td>17.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scenario 2</strong></td>
<td>21.1 °C</td>
<td>17.9 °C</td>
<td>3.2 °C</td>
<td>11.66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(b) Summer (July)**
Table 6. Regional female mortality differences(%) at age 80yrs - seasonal mean temperature scenario results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>England &amp; Wales</th>
<th>Scotland</th>
<th>Δ_temp</th>
<th>Relative Difference in Mortality (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>4.7 °C</td>
<td>3.4 °C</td>
<td>1.3 °C</td>
<td>21.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 1</td>
<td>4.7 °C</td>
<td>3.2 °C</td>
<td>1.5 °C</td>
<td>21.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 2</td>
<td>7.5 °C</td>
<td>5.6 °C</td>
<td>1.9 °C</td>
<td>25.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Winter (January)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>England &amp; Wales</th>
<th>Scotland</th>
<th>Δ_temp</th>
<th>Relative Difference in Mortality (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>16.8 °C</td>
<td>14.4 °C</td>
<td>2.4 °C</td>
<td>24.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 1</td>
<td>17.2 °C</td>
<td>14.6 °C</td>
<td>2.6 °C</td>
<td>24.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 2</td>
<td>21.1 °C</td>
<td>17.9 °C</td>
<td>3.2 °C</td>
<td>21.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Summer (July)

**Acute temperatures**

One limitation of the UKCP09 projections is its focus on central probabilistic estimates of potential future climate change. This results in low probability but high impact climatological hazards e.g. acute heat and cold events, often being overlooked. Acute climatological events represent hazards that may occur at some point in the future and may or may not, be tied to a specific time frame (e.g. 2020s, 2050s or 2080s). Table 1 indicates that incidence of such acute weather events, as defined, has increased in recent years and prospective warming does not exclude the possibility of acute cold events [16].

In 2015, the Adaptation Sub-Committee of the Committee for Climate Change commissioned a project to investigate the development of plausible 'high-end' climate change scenarios, including heatwaves and coldwaves[23]. Table 7 summarises hypothetical temperature scenarios created on an evidential basis for this report that are typically extreme, that is, on the margins or outside of the 10th to 90th percentile range presented in UKCP09 projections.
Future research should consider the impact on older-age mortality of acute temperature scenarios, to fully appreciate the development of relative differences in mortality between regions within the United Kingdom.

**Results and analysis**

**Conclusions**

Additive models applied in the present analysis find mean temperature to be a significant factor in older-age mortality. Generally, increasing temperatures imply decreasing mortality rates. However, results also suggest that a U-shaped temperature-mortality relationship operates at older ages i.e. there is a temperature range such that mortality is higher on either side of this optimal range; thus having implications for insurers in the medium term.

Relative to England & Wales, Scotland currently has a more unfavourable climate and mortality rates in Scotland are substantially higher. With warming temperatures, regional temperature (and climate) disparities are anticipated to change. England & Wales is expected to warm faster than Scotland. Generally, this means

- in summer, mortality differences between England & Wales and Scotland are expected to improve
- in winter, mortality differences between England & Wales and Scotland are not expected to improve

However, overall climate is also expected to become more unpredictable; acute weather events more likely. During these periods, the present analysis suggests, in presence of U-shaped temperature-mortality relationship, the direction of change in regional morality differences depends strongly on which region is subject to more unfavourable conditions.

Importantly, this is a simple analysis to highlight the potential impact that climate change, as measured by mean temperature, may have on older-age mortality differences between England & Wales and Scotland. However, the relationship between temperature and mortality is not a static one, but is subject to change over time and across regions e.g. evidence\[14\]\[12\] indicates that ‘adaptation’ moderates temperature effects, and persistent exposure\[5\] to a particular climate can mitigate or accentuate the impact of unusual climatological hazards, when they occur. That is, the relationship has many interdependencies Thus, further work is required to fully develop the temperature-mortality relationship in the United Kingdom in order to fully quantify the implications for future mortality development and regional mortality disparity at older ages e.g. inclusion of higher order terms in models.
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## Appendix 1 Poisson GAM Model Results

### Males

#### Table 8. England & Wales GAM male model results, by decadal period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>–4.697***</td>
<td>–4.972***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Age2)</td>
<td>4.638***</td>
<td>5.552***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.000)</td>
<td>(9.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(tmean)</td>
<td>4.637***</td>
<td>4.615***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Month_Num)</td>
<td>4.493***</td>
<td>3.874***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Year)</td>
<td>4.931***</td>
<td>4.786***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance</td>
<td>11056.670</td>
<td>6758.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance explained</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersion</td>
<td>6.057</td>
<td>3.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCV score</td>
<td>5.879</td>
<td>3.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. obs.</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. smooth terms</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 9. Scotland GAM male model results, by decadal period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>–4.606***</td>
<td>–4.839***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Age2)</td>
<td>2.610***</td>
<td>3.507***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.000)</td>
<td>(9.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(tmean)</td>
<td>3.227***</td>
<td>1.334***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Month_Num)</td>
<td>3.728***</td>
<td>2.226***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Year)</td>
<td>4.846***</td>
<td>2.880***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance</td>
<td>2532.136</td>
<td>2224.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance explained</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersion</td>
<td>1.340</td>
<td>1.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCV score</td>
<td>1.340</td>
<td>1.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. obs.</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. smooth terms</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05*
### Females

**Table 10. England & Wales GAM female model results, by decadal period**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>-5.105***</td>
<td>-5.319***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Age2)</td>
<td>4.605***</td>
<td>3.525***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.000)</td>
<td>(9.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(tmean)</td>
<td>4.761***</td>
<td>3.847***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Month_Num)</td>
<td>4.575***</td>
<td>4.014***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Year)</td>
<td>4.951***</td>
<td>4.906***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance</td>
<td>13327.797</td>
<td>9762.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance explained</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersion</td>
<td>7.308</td>
<td>5.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCV score</td>
<td>7.088</td>
<td>5.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. obs.</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. smooth terms</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05

**Table 11. Scotland GAM female model results, by decadal period**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>-4.992***</td>
<td>-5.151***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Age2)</td>
<td>3.279***</td>
<td>3.083***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.000)</td>
<td>(9.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(tmean)</td>
<td>4.735***</td>
<td>0.860***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Month_Num)</td>
<td>4.136***</td>
<td>3.239***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF: s(Year)</td>
<td>4.862***</td>
<td>4.817***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
<td>(5.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance</td>
<td>3055.257</td>
<td>2568.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance explained</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersion</td>
<td>1.620</td>
<td>1.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCV score</td>
<td>1.622</td>
<td>1.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. obs.</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. smooth terms</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05