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## Working Party Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>• YE 2014 report published. YE 2015 presented here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Paper</td>
<td>• Published awaiting BAJ review, Sessional meeting held</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>• No longer able to access data – trying to use Big data projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI Classification</td>
<td>• Please continue to use it – for PPO and non PPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI Almanac</td>
<td>• Presented here - website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinsurance</td>
<td>• Work ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Solutions</td>
<td>• Presented earlier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>• Work ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index Paper</td>
<td>• Nearly complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PPOs – we’ve got a graph for that

Peter Saunders, Chair IFoA PPO WP
Lydia Rhodes, Lloyd’s

GIRO 2016, Dublin
Agenda

Introduction
- What data are we looking at? How is the current analysis progressing?

Propensity
- Most recent PPO propensity analysis

Motor/Liability
- The headlines from the most recent Motor and Liability analysis

Reserves
- The headlines from the most recent analysis of PPO reserves

Going Forward
- What’s next? How are we updating our analysis?

Questions

Comments
Introduction
Survey Introduction

• Thank you to all our contributors!

• By a larger proportion of you using our injury and care regime categorisations we intend to produce more in-depth results
Survey Introduction

• Data taken as at 31 December 2015
  – The current batch of data covers more insurers than ever before
  – >90% of PRA-regulated market

• Hope to make the 2016 analysis the most comprehensive to date

• Quickening the analysis of the data to enable us to produce report within a shorter time frame

• Looking to expand on our current analysis and produce a more succinct offering through the IFoA

• None of the following analyses contain MIB PPOs or Large Claims
We’ve got graphs for propensity...
PPO Propensity

• Please note, all of the following propensity graphs involve Motor large claims from 2008 onwards ONLY, unless otherwise stated

Large claim size

• Large claims are defined as losses >£1m in 2011, using a 7% inflation assumption and with PPO’s valued using a 2.5% Discount Rate

Caveat

• All graphs and results presented are draft and therefore subject to change
Number of PPOs has fallen third year in a row

Number of PPOs by Settlement Year

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Standardised Propensity is down for 2015

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Non standardised PPO Propensity is down also

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
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The proportion of claims between £1 million and £3 million actually fell by ~7% from 2014 to 2015

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Why are the Number of PPOs Dropping?

• Reasons that have been highlighted in previous presentations which may still be applicable are:
  – Insurers’ claims management behaviour
  – Claimant appetite
  – Old stock clearance

• Other reasons may include:
  – Discount rate consultation: As consultation asked whether risk free rate was appropriate for a claimant taking lump sum and whether more should be done to prevent PPOs, are individuals taking a lump sum now rather than a risking a higher discount rate?
  – Late data: previously some of the most recent PPOs haven’t been included in data collections. However we believe this not to be an issue for this review
  – Note: 2014 has not increased as a result of the 2015 year-end update
Propensity by Large Claim Threshold

PPO Propensity at Different Large Claim Thresholds - Incremental Thresholds

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Propensity by Large Claim Threshold

- 2015 sits at the bottom of the recent years

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Distribution of Propensity

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
And we’ve got all these Motor graphs too..
Breakdown of Motor PPOs – Comp and Non Comp

- Third party only claimants make up a disproportionate number of the PPOs in our analysis
  - Despite only accounting for 10% of the premium and 8% of the earned vehicle years, Non-Comp claimants account for 20% of PPOs

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Breakdown of Motor PPOs – Commercial and Private

Split Of Motor PPOs by Cover Type

- 73% Private
- 18% Commercial
- 9% Fleet

Private/Commercial Propensity by Settlement Year

Commercial claimants have a significantly higher chance of their claim settling as a PPO

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
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Future Life Expectancy by Age - Motor

Average Life Expectancy from Settlement by Age of Claimant at Settlement

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Propensity decreases as age increases

PPO Propensity of Large Claims - Motor

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
High Level Injury Split - Motor

Nature Of Primary Injury - Motor

Proportion Of Motor PPOs By Settlement Year And Injury Type

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
High Level Injury Split - Motor

- Brain injuries are more prevalent for younger aged claimants
- ~40% of PPOs awarded to claimants over 60 years old are as a results of Spinal injuries

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Injury Categorisation - Motor

Distribution of Motor PPOs by Injury Categorisation

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
And finally these graphs for reserves
Reserves

• All reserves presented in this section are as at 31\textsuperscript{st} December 2015
  – That is to say, only cash flows after 2015 year end have been considered, and these have been discounted to the date stated above
Reserves as a Proportion of Outstanding

PPO Reserves as a Proportion of Outstanding Reserves

• Suggests that PPOs already in payment may currently make up somewhere between 10% and 28% of UK Motor case estimates, depending on the real discount rate assumed.

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Going Forward
What’s next?

• GIRO 2016 Survey Report (based on data as at 31st December 2015)
  – To be completed by the end of this year (hopefully!)
  – Structure is likely to be different to previous reports with more emphasis on the main results and an appendix containing all other information
  – New analyses to be added
  – Potentially an Excel workbook with numbers underlying key charts and tables to be published

• Any ideas for new graphs or content please let us know!
  – Email
  – Patrick.Tingay@WillisTowersWatson.com
  – Peter_Saunders@swissre.com
Introduction

As part of the working party and in conjunction with the profession, we have been looking into the equality in society within the UK of treatment of bodily injury claims. We have collated our understanding of the current situation in the UK where the needs of the claimant are identical however the cover and security of that cover may be very different depending on the cause. We intend to use the rest of this session to communicate what we have collated and discuss some of the key questions which arise from it.

We are also collating information from various other countries to understand how bodily injury claims in society are treated outside the UK. We are hoping to use this information to leverage what is done in other jurisdictions in conjunction with the profession in order to potentially facilitate improving the current system within the UK.

The information we have gathered to date is collated at: www.bodilyinjuryclaimfacts.com. We would very much appreciate if you are able to provide any further insight into any jurisdiction or spot an errors to contact the following email address: bodilyinjuryclaimfacts@gmail.com and we will be able to update accordingly.

History behind current status of compulsory insurance in the UK

- Initially expected to be sharing a road dominated by horses.
- Road Traffic Act 1930 introduced most of the structure that exists today, including compulsory third party insurance without expectation of limits on liability, and the removal of the speed limits that applied to locomotives.
- Only other compulsory insurance covered by Employer Liability (Compulsory Insurance) Act 1969.
- 1988 Act consolidated and updated, but the insurance requirement was left broadly unchanged.
- The Government stated that it would only expect to change the unlimited liability requirement following failure of the vehicle insurance market.
## Where is there significant cost of care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Bodily Injury</th>
<th>Significant Possibility cost &gt; £1m</th>
<th>Employer Liability</th>
<th>Public Liability</th>
<th>Medical Malpractice (state / private care)</th>
<th>Motor / Vehicle</th>
<th>Travel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brain Damage</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para / Quadriplegia</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken Bones</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of Limb</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage or loss of sense</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Attack</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiplash</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic Disorder</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Tissue Injury</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Significant likelihood of a claim**

**Possible likelihood of a claim**

**Insignificant likelihood of a claim**

**Institute and Faculty of Actuaries**
# Paraplegia / Quadraplegia and Brain Damage in the UK

In each case below, the potential claim and need of the individual may be the same, however the pay out, security and response under each type of insurance will be different. We have considered in particular the limit on the liability and whether or not a PPO would be granted under each.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Liability Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Travel</strong></td>
<td>Limited Liability</td>
<td>Typically insurer will repatriate with cost of medical and future care then falling to NHS or PMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Malpractice Private cover</strong></td>
<td>Limited Liability</td>
<td>Discretionary cover generally along with some limited liability in Lloyds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employers Liability</strong></td>
<td>Limited Liability</td>
<td>PPO is a possibility but can be granted by the courts but is impacted by the limited liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Liability</strong></td>
<td>Limited Liability</td>
<td>PPO is a possibility but can be granted by the courts and is impacted by the limited liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Malpractice State cover</strong></td>
<td>Unlimited Liability</td>
<td>Generally cover is provided through a PPO (style) arrangement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motor</strong></td>
<td>Unlimited Liability</td>
<td>PPO can be granted by the courts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is society equitable?

Consider a brain damaged child...

- **Accident on Holiday**
  - Some cover potentially provided by travel insurance along with repatriation
  - Limited Liability
  - Additional care provided through the state without a PPO settlement

- **Operation in private**
  - Covered by doctors personal insurance
  - Can be unlimited / limited or discretionary liability covered by a DMO
  - PPO settlements possible, but not enforced by the courts

- **Accident in public play area**
  - Cover provided by public liability cover in place
  - Limited liability
  - PPO can be enforced by the courts

- **Car Accident**
  - Covered by drivers motor insurance
  - Unlimited liability
  - PPOs can be enforced by the courts

- **Operation in the NHS**
  - Covered by NHS funded by the UK Tax payer
  - Unlimited Liability
  - PPOs always used to settle claims with long term cost of care
Possible Frameworks to deal with Severe Bodily Injury in Society

- **Welfare State**
  - Levies on Private Companies
  - Direct Tax Payer

- **Individual**
  - Personal Wealth of Claimant
  - Friends and Family of Claimant

- **Insurance Sector**
  - Premiums paid by Individuals
  - Premiums paid by Tax Payer

- **Needs Driven System**
- **Wealth Driven System**
- **Profit Driven System**
Discussion

1. Should the availability and quality of care vary if it's your own fault or not?

2. Should cover ever be limited for incidents involving minors?

3. Is it the role of the insurance market or the state to provide unlimited cover?

4. If other classes are not unlimited, should 3rd party motor be?

5. What should we do about it?
Questions  Comments

The views expressed in this [publication/presentation] are those of invited contributors and not necessarily those of the IFoA. The IFoA do not endorse any of the views stated, nor any claims or representations made in this [publication/presentation] and accept no responsibility or liability to any person for loss or damage suffered as a consequence of their placing reliance upon any view, claim or representation made in this [publication/presentation].

The information and expressions of opinion contained in this publication are not intended to be a comprehensive study, nor to provide actuarial advice or advice of any nature and should not be treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations. On no account may any part of this [publication/presentation] be reproduced without the written permission of the IFoA [or authors, in the case of non-IFoA research].
Appendices
Lump Sum as a Proportion of Total Cost

Distribution of Lump Sum as a Proportion of Total PPO Cost at 0% Real Discount Rate

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Injury Type - Motor vs Liability

Distribution by Injury Type

% of Motor/Liability PPOs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury Type</th>
<th>Motor</th>
<th>Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Injury Type - Motor vs Liability

Distribution Of Claimant Age At Accident

% of Liability/Motor PPOs

- Liability
- Motor

Claimant Age At Accident Date
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Liability Propensity

PPO Propensity of Large Claims - Liability

- Exposure
- PPO Propensity
Standardised Propensity

• By taking the propensities by settlement year from the graph on the previous slide, it is possible to create a standardised propensity graph
  – We will ignore 2008 as the PPO propensity is very different to other years

• The data in the graph below is used to come up with a “standard” split of PPOs by amount across the settlement years since 2009
Propensity by Large Claim Threshold

- PPO propensity increases parabolically to 71% for all those claims > £5.5 million
  - 2008 year propensities excluded
Propensity by Large Claim Threshold

- The PPO propensity is lowest for 2015
  - 2014 is rather anomalous in its behavior
Propensity per GEP

- When observing PPO propensity by Gross Earned Premium, we see that a decreasing trend is also prevalent
Propensity per EVY

- When observing PPO propensity by Earned Vehicle Years we get the same picture for propensity.
Propensity by Injury

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
Reduction in Future Life Expectancy by Age - Motor

In line with the previous graph, the greatest reduction in life expectancy is seen for infants with the lowest reduction for slightly older children.

From 30 onwards, the reduction in future life expectancy varies around an average of ~20%

Delay to settlement should be taken into account
Introduction

Propensity

Motor/Liability

Reserves

Going Forward

Delay to Settlement - Motor

- The delay to settlement for large claims settling as PPOs in 2015 seems to have reduced slightly when compared to previous settlement years
  - The average delay to settlement is less than 6 years for the first time since 2009

- Large claims relating to younger claimants take far longer to settle
• On a nominal basis it would appear that initial PPO payments and lump sums have been increasing over time

• When rebased to 2011 using a 7% inflation rate, there has actually been fairly little change
  – Perhaps even a decrease, particularly for 2014

Caveat: All graphs are draft and subject to change
• The estimate of reserves using a 0% real discount rate appears to be larger for PPOs with stepped payments compared to PPOs with similar initial annual payment amounts that do not involve steps.
Age of Claimant vs Estimated Reserve

• Not much correlation can be seen between age of claimant at settlement and the reserve being placed on the PPO

• There is far wider range in the reserve for young claimants due to there being a large range of future life expectancies
  – Difficult to tell the extent of this uncertainty from this plot
Age of Claimant vs Estimated Reserve

Age of Claimant at Settlement vs Estimate of Reserve (0%)

- By looking at a contour plot, it is evident that, although there is a wide spread in reserves for younger aged claimants, the majority of reserves are less than £3 million.