

Solvency II Metrics – Limited Use in Insurer Ratings

Prism Factor-Based Model Still Paramount in Fitch's Capital Analysis

Special Report

Solvency II (S2) Metrics Not Comparable: S2 is now in force but Fitch Ratings does not consider S2 metrics to be comparable between insurers, given the different calculation approaches being used. Many insurers are applying various transitional measures, which will strongly affect their metrics; some are using internal models rather than the standard formula; and some regulators are taking a tougher stance than others in how they interpret and apply S2. Given these inconsistencies, we are not using S2 metrics directly in our ratings.

Prism FBM Still Paramount: We will continue to assess insurers' capital based primarily on our Prism Factor-Based Capital Model (Prism FBM; see www.fitchratings.com/prismfbm), as we believe Prism scores are more comparable than S2 metrics. Continued focus on Prism in our capital analysis will ensure that our ratings remain consistent and transparent throughout the change in regulatory regime. We see S2 disclosures as supplementary information, which we will evaluate particularly for insurers with unexpectedly weak or sensitive S2 metrics.

Transitional Measures Distort Comparisons: Widespread use of transitional measures to phase in the effects of S2 over several years will distort comparisons between insurers, as they boost S2 metrics to varying degrees, often significantly. They also mean that S2 is initially not a fully risk-based approach. In contrast, Prism FBM is risk-based. Typically we would expect a lower Prism score for an insurer achieving a particular solvency ratio with transitional measures than for one achieving the same ratio without such measures (i.e. on a "fully loaded" S2 basis).

Internal Models Add Complexity: Many insurers calculate their S2 positions using internal models based on their own risk calibrations. These models are complex, lack public visibility and differ from each other and from the standard formula, often resulting in lower capital requirements, notably for annuity and catastrophe business. With Prism FBM, we assess all insurers, whether internal model users or standard formula users, with a single model.

S2 Sovereign Treatment Unclear: Many insurers hold large amounts of sovereign debt. Internal models must reflect any material sovereign-related risks but standard formula users may escape sovereign charges unless regulators impose an add-on, as eurozone sovereign debt is still considered risk-free in the standard formula. Prism FBM applies capital charges to sovereign debt according to rating level and duration.

Uneconomic Basis: There are significant uneconomic influences on S2 ratios, e.g. the 4.2% ultimate forward rate (UFR) to extrapolate the forward curve for valuing long-term liabilities. This looks high relative to current long-term yields, potentially leading to overstatement of the economic capital position. In recognition of this, the Dutch regulator has said that insurers should take into account the effect of the UFR on their capital when setting dividends.

S2 Likely to Change: There are already plans for S2 to be reviewed in 2018, so there may be important changes still to come. In the meantime, many insurers will be busy refining their existing internal models or preparing new models for regulatory approval in 2016. Reported S2 metrics will therefore be subject to potentially significant restatements that reflect methodology/modelling changes rather than genuine changes in risk profile, at least until the new regime has bedded in for a few years.

No S2-Ratings Mapping: Given the factors above, we are not using S2 metrics directly in our ratings. In the longer term, if S2 calculation methods stabilise and converge or become more comparable, we may indicate solvency ranges we consider commensurate with rating levels.

Related Research

[Prism FBM and related documentation](#)

[UK Insurer Model Assent Signals Strong S2 Ratios \(December 2015\)](#)

[Delta Lloyd a S2 Outlier, But Highlights Risks \(December 2015\)](#)

[Italian Insurers Most Exposed to Sovereign Capital Charge \(November 2015\)](#)

Analysts

David Prowse
+44 20 3530 1250
david.prowse@fitchratings.com

Harish Gohil
+44 20 3530 1257
harish.gohil@fitchratings.com

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2016 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.