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| The fixed frontier of survival <br> in evolutionary theories of aging |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Peter Medawar | Mutation accumulation |
| George Williams | Antagonistic pleiotropy |
| William Hamilton | Demographic <br> mathematics <br> Thomas Kirkwood |
| Annette Baudisch Inevitable senescence? |  |
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## William Hamilton $(1966,1996)$

. show that no life schedule, even under the most
benign ecology imaginable, could escape my spectrum
of forces of selection.
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## William Hamilton $(1966,1996)$

. show that no life schedule, even under the most benign ecology imaginable, could escape my spectrum of forces of selection.
$\qquad$
of
$\qquad$
.after a few hundred years of draconian eugenic measures...the human lifespan might be stretched out just a little...say [to] 75 instead of... 70. $\qquad$
[Research on] extension of active life seems to me $\qquad$ comparable with the alchemists' search....[and] detracts both from unavoidable truth and from realistic social programs. $\qquad$
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## Determinants of Longevity

$\qquad$

- Average lifespan in a population
- Biomedical knowledge, health care system, standard of living, education, healthy behavior, environment
- Variation in lifespans among individuals
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What do we know about the physiology of human longevity? MAJOR DISCOVERY:
The frontier of survival is advancing:
old-age mortality is not intractable
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERIES:

1. The frontier of survival is advancing - because senescence is being delayed, not decelerated.
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What do we know about the physiology of human longevity? MAJOR DISCOVERY:
The frontier of survival is advancing
old-age mortality is not intractable

## SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERIES:

1. The frontier of survival is advancing - because senescence is being delayed, not decelerated.
2. Life expectancy is rising linearly, with no sign of a looming limit.
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The Revolution in Record Life Expectancy
Oeppen \& Vaupel Science 2002; extended

A. Best-practice national life expectancy (for women) has been rising linearly for the past 175 years at a steady pace of about 2.5 years per decade. The record is now above 87 . Over the next 50 years do you think that this record will increase:

1. Even faster--by more than 3 years per decade, reaching 102 or more;
2. At about the same pace-by 2-3 years per decade, approaching 100 or so;
3. At a slower and slower pace-declining from 2.5 years per decade to roughly 1 year per decade, reaching perhaps 95 or so;
4. At a much slower pace, reaching a plateau, a life expectancy limit, not much higher than the current record of 87-perhaps 90 or so;
5. At a negative rate, falling to a value below 87.
B. What do you think the probability is that record life expectancy will increase at about the same pace as in the past or somewhat faster-by at least 2 years per decade on average over the next 50 years, reaching a level of 97 or more?
6. Likely: at least $50 \%$ chance.
7. Possible but not likely: more than $25 \%$ but less than $50 \%$ chance.
8. Unlikely: more than $5 \%$ but less than $25 \%$ chance.
9. Very unlikely: less than $5 \%$ chance.
C. What do you think the probability is that record life expectancy will increase slowly or maybe even decline over the next 50 years, reaching a level less than 90.
10. Likely: at least $50 \%$ chance.
11. Possible but not likely: more than $25 \%$ but less than $50 \%$ chance.
12. Unlikely: more than $5 \%$ but less than $25 \%$ chance.
13. Very unlikely: less than $5 \%$ chance.
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The Sorry Saga of Looming Limits to
Life Expectancy Oeppen and Vaupel Science 2002

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## The Future will be different from the past

$\qquad$
Since 1840, future progress in extending life expectancy has been different from past progress. $\qquad$

- The country with the longest life expectancy has shifted from Sweden to Japan $\qquad$
- The causes of death against which progress has been made have shifted from infectious diseases to chronic diseases
$\qquad$
- The ages at which mortality has been reduced have $\qquad$ shifted from childhood to old age
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Age-Specific Contributions to the Increase of Record Life Expectancy among Women 1850 to 2009 in \%

| Age <br> group | $1850-$ | $1901-$ | 1925 | 1950 | $1950-$ | $1975-$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1990-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 14 | 32 | 15 | 21 | 10 | 4 |
| $1-14$ | 55 | 8 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 2 |
| $15-49$ | 25 | 38 | 39 | 20 | 7 | 4 |
| $50-64$ | 3 | 13 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 11 |
| $65-79$ | 2 | 8 | 11 | 24 | 41 | 37 |
| $80+$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 41 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
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## The Future Will Be Different from the Past

- In next decade or two, progress against cancer and dementia and in developing $\qquad$ genotype-specific therapies
- Then progress in regenerating and eventually rejuvenating tissues and organs
- Accompanied by progress in replacing deleterious genes
- Aided by nanotechnologies (nanobots)
- Perhaps in a decade or two, probably later, progress in slowing the rate of aging (as opposed to further postponing aging).

29/33

Postponement vs. Deceleration of Senescence:

D. Consider the lifespans of the cohort of infants born in England \& Wales in 2016.
What is the chance the average lifespan for this cohort will exceed 100 ?

1. Likely: $50 \%$ or more.
2. Possible but not likely: more than $25 \%$ but less than $50 \%$.
$\qquad$
3. Unlikely: more than $5 \%$ but less than $25 \%$. $\qquad$
4. Very unlikely: less than $5 \%$. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
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E. Consider the lifespans of the cohort of infants born in England \& Wales in 2016.
What is the chance the average lifespan for this cohort will exceed 120 ?
5. Likely: 50\% or more.
6. Possible but not likely: more than $25 \%$ but less than $50 \%$.
7. Unlikely: more than $5 \%$ but less than $25 \%$.
8. Very unlikely: less than $5 \%$.

Forecasting Cohort Life Expectancy

For birth cohorts, life expectancy may increase by 4 months per year.

If so, most people born in Great Britain since 2000 will celebrate their $100^{\text {th }}$ birthdays.
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Oldest Age at which at least 50\% of a Birth Cohort is
Still Alive Christensen, Doblhammer, Rau \& Vaupel Lancet 2009, extended

| Year of Birth: | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France | 102 | 104 | 105 |
| Germany | 100 | 101 | 103 |
| Great Britain | 102 | 103 | 105 |
| Japan | 105 | 107 | 108 |
| Sweden | 101 | 102 | 104 |
| USA | 101 | 103 | 105 |

Data are ages in years. Baseline data were obtained from the Human Mortality
Database and refer to the total population of the respective countries.
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The Rise in Record Life Expectancy at Age 65 $\qquad$
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Yau (atabase from Roland Rau and James Vaupel(,unpublished) $\qquad$

Consider the remaining life expectancy of people in England and Wales at age 65, currently about 20 years. How much will this value increase over the next 30 years?

1. 5 years or more. $\qquad$
2. More than 2 but less than 5 years.
3. More than 6 months but less than 2 years.
4. Close to zero: less than 6 months and perhaps the value might even decline.

## French female longevity

| Year | Born | e65 | Ave. lifespan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 period |  | 23 | 88 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Born | e65 | Ave. lifespan |
| 2012 period | 23 | 88 |  |
| cohort | 1947 | 27 | 92 |
| cohort* | 28 | 93 |  |
| 2030 cohort | 1965 | 30 | 95 |
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| French female longevity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Born | e65 | Ave. lifespan |
| 2012 period |  | 23 | 88 |
| cohort | 1947 | 27 | 92 |
| cohort* $^{2030}$ cohort | 1965 | 28 | 93 |
| cohort* | 30 | 95 |  |
| 2060 cohort $^{203}$ | 1995 | 38 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



| French female longevity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Year }}$ 2012 period | Born | e65 |  | . lifespan |
|  |  | 23 | 88 |  |
| cohort | 1947 | 27 | 92 |  |
| cohort* |  | 28 | 93 |  |
| 2030 cohort cohort* | 1965 | 30 | 95 |  |
|  |  | 38 | 103 | Risk |
| 2060 cohort cohort* | 1995 | 38 | 103 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Big Risk |
| *Rate of ageing slowed at a rate of 2\%/year after 2030 |  |  |  |  |

The Failure of Expert Imagination

Mortality forecasts based on expert
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ judgment have been less accurate than extrapolation.
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## The Best Forecasting Strategy

At present the best way to forecast $\mathrm{E} \& W$ life expectancy is to extrapolate long-term historical trends from countries with high life expectancy.

And then to ask: why might progress be faster? Why might it be slower?

Q: Will the postponement of senescence continue,
leading to reductions in mortality after age 100?
Q: Will the rate of ageing be slowed down, leading to even greater improvements?

How important is the Human Mortality Database to your work?

1. Very important and I would strongly favor improving it to include more up-to-date statistics, data for other populations, corrections of problematic data, etc.
2. Important but substantial improvements are not needed. $\qquad$
3. Of some value. $\qquad$
4. Of little or no value. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
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