



## England & Wales mortality monitor – COVID-19 update – week 52 of 2020

**Important note for this week's monitor: results for week 52 of 2020 are not consistent with recent weeks, or with week 52 of 2019, due to the timing of public holidays.**

### Summary

There have been around 75,000 more deaths in the UK from the start of the pandemic to 25 December 2020 than if mortality rates were similar to those experienced in 2019. This estimate uses data from National Records Scotland (NRS) and the Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA) as well as the Office for National Statistics (ONS).

During week 52 of 2020, there were 51% more deaths registered in England & Wales than would have been expected if Standardised Mortality Rates had been the same as in the corresponding weeks of 2019. This figure is inflated due to there being one bank holiday in week 52 of 2020, but two in week 52 of 2019. The corresponding figure for week 51 was +7%.

The cumulative mortality improvement in England & Wales for 2020 is –13.0% as at 25 December 2020, compared to +0.1% as at 20 March 2020, before the coronavirus pandemic had a material impact.

### Background

During the coronavirus pandemic we have been publishing frequent updates to the CMI Mortality Monitor. This update shows the position as at 25 December 2020 (week 52 of 2020), based on provisional deaths data published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) on 6 January 2021. We intend to publish the next monitor, for week 53<sup>1</sup>, on 12 January 2021 alongside our end-2020 quarterly mortality monitor. Results of the mortality monitors for week 53 will also be less comparable than usual, as it too will be affected by differences in timing of bank holidays between 2019 and 2020, and because 2019 did not have a week 53.

All updates are publicly available from the CMI pages of the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries website, together with software that we have made available to Authorised Users to carry out their own ad hoc analyses: <https://www.actuaries.org.uk/learn-and-develop/continuous-mortality-investigation/other-cmi-outputs/mortality-monitor>.

### Notes

Full details of the methods used for results based on the ONS data are included in [Working Paper 111](#). Our analysis is based on Standardised Mortality Rates (SMRs). These adjust the provisional weekly deaths data published by the ONS to control for changes in the size, age and gender distribution of the population over time. We note that mortality rates and mortality improvements vary by age, and the results shown are sensitive to the age distribution of the chosen standard population (the 2013 European Standard Population).

Our calculations rely on data for registered deaths, and we are conscious that in recent months deaths may have been registered earlier or later than in previous years. Consequently, comparisons of mortality between 2020 and earlier years may not be on a like-for-like basis. Also, results for individual weeks may not be consistent between years due to the timing of public holidays.

### Use of this document

The CMI disclaims any liability from use of or reliance on these calculations, including in relation to financial transactions such as longevity swaps; and the CMI does not guarantee that it will continue to publish updates. Please also see the reliances and limitations, disclaimer, and copyright notice on the final page of this document.

### TAS compliance

This paper is intended to analyse recent mortality in England & Wales. It complies with the principles in the Financial Reporting Council's Technical Actuarial Standard "TAS 100: Principles for Technical Actuarial Work". Any person using this paper should exercise judgement over its suitability and relevance for their purpose.

---

<sup>1</sup> The publication of ONS data broadly follows [ISO week numbers](#). Most years have 52 ISO weeks, but because a 52 week year has 364 days, less than a calendar year, some years have "leap weeks" under the ISO system.



### Results – standardised mortality rates

Chart 1 shows cumulative standardised mortality rates compared to the 2010-2019 average. Cumulative mortality to week 52 of 2020 is higher than cumulative mortality to week 52 in any year since 2008, and is 7.3% above the 2010-19 average. It was 1.9% below the 2010-19 average at week 12, before the coronavirus pandemic had a material impact. The highest value was +7.4% at week 23.

**Chart 1: Cumulative standardised mortality rate compared to the 2010-2019 average**





Chart 2 shows the cumulative annual standardised mortality improvement for 2020 and for the previous ten years. Note that Chart 2 shows cumulative improvements, so a higher value represents a higher improvement and lower mortality; whereas in Chart 1 a higher value represents higher mortality.

The cumulative mortality improvement is -13.0% as at 25 December 2020 (week 51 of 2020), a new low, compared to +0.1% as at week 12, before the coronavirus pandemic had a material impact.

**Chart 2: Cumulative annual standardised mortality improvement**





## Results – Excess and COVID-19 deaths

The ONS data shows 2,912 deaths registered during week 52 “where COVID-19 was mentioned on the death certificate”. The overall impact of the coronavirus pandemic on total deaths may be different:

- There may have been some deaths that were wholly or partially due to COVID-19 but where COVID-19 was not mentioned on the death certificate.
- Some deaths where COVID-19 was mentioned on the death certificate may not be “excess” deaths, as the deceased might have died from another cause in the same period, in the absence of coronavirus.
- There may have been “forward mortality displacement”: some deaths that occurred earlier in the pandemic would otherwise have occurred in this period.
- There may have been indirect impacts on deaths due to restrictions on movement and changes in behaviour during the pandemic. For example, access to healthcare, reductions in other infectious diseases, and changes in traffic, pollution and mental health.

To consider the possible impact of the pandemic on total deaths, we have estimated the number of deaths that we would have seen in week 52 of 2020 if the SMRs for each gender and age-group had been the same in those weeks as in the corresponding weeks of 2019. As mortality in the first 12 weeks of 2019 and 2020 was similar, as seen in Charts 1 and 2, this gives a broad indication of “expected” mortality in the absence of the coronavirus pandemic<sup>2</sup>. We can then subtract the expected deaths from actual deaths to estimate the “excess” deaths that, in the absence of other likely causes, may be attributable to the pandemic.

We have not made any adjustment for differences in the timing of public holidays, but we note that there was one bank holiday in week 52 of 2020 but two in week 52 of 2019. This difference leads to inflated excess mortality for week 52. While such differences may affect expected, actual and excess results for individual weeks, positive and negative impacts for different weeks should cancel out over time in cumulative results.

Table 1 shows results for week 52, compared to week 51:

- Actual deaths in week 52 are 51% higher than expected: 63% higher than expected for males and 39% higher than expected for females.
- In week 51, deaths were 12% higher than expected for males and 3% higher than expected for females.
- However, we reiterate that weeks 51 and 52 are not comparable, due to the impact of bank holidays.

**Table 1: Comparison of COVID-19 deaths and “excess” deaths**

Description	Week 52 of 2020			Week 51
	Male	Female	Total	Total
“Expected” registered deaths, if SMRs were the same in 2019 and 2020	3,645	4,007	<b>7,652</b>	12,112
Actual registered deaths, from all causes	5,936	5,584	<b>11,520</b>	13,011
“Excess” registered deaths (actual minus expected)	+2,291	+1,577	<b>+3,868</b>	+899
Registered deaths where COVID-19 was mentioned on the death certificate	1,614	1,298	<b>2,912</b>	2,986
Excess as a proportion of expected	+63%	+39%	<b>+51%</b>	+7%


<sup>2</sup> Our calculation of excess deaths depends on the historical period that we use to estimate expected deaths. If we had used the average standardised mortality rates for 2015-19 rather than only 2019 to calculate expected deaths, without allowing for mortality improvements, then this would have decreased excess deaths by 718 (from +3,868 to +3,150) in week 52, and reduced the cumulative excess to week 52 (shown in Chart 4) from 68,396 to 58,533, a difference of 14%. We reiterate our preference for using 2019 to estimate expected deaths in the absence of a pandemic, as 2019 and 2020 had similar mortality experience for weeks 1 to 12.




Chart 3A compares three measures of COVID-19 mortality during the pandemic: our calculation of “excess” registered deaths, ONS data for registered deaths where COVID-19 was mentioned on the death certificate, and Public Health England (PHE) data for deaths of people within 28 days of a positive test result for COVID-19. While there were some deaths from COVID-19 before week 13, the level of excess deaths in those weeks is small compared to typical weekly volatility in deaths, so cannot be reliably estimated. Chart 3B is similar to Chart 3A, but shows figures since week 38, to allow the detail of the “second wave” to be seen more clearly.

The relationship between the three measures has varied considerably during the pandemic. In weeks 13 to 17, the number of excess deaths was much higher than for the other two measures, but this has not been the case since then. In recent weeks the ONS and PHE measures have shown similar results. The ONS and PHE deaths increased from under 100 deaths in week 37 to over 2,900 in week 52. In the weeks immediately prior to week 52, excess deaths were considerably lower than the ONS and PHE figures, indicating that non-COVID deaths had been lower than would have been expected in the absence of the pandemic. We noted possible reasons for excess deaths being lower than ONS and PHE figures on page 3. The excess and ONS figures are affected by the Christmas bank holiday at the end of week 52.

**Chart 3A: Comparison of weekly measures of COVID-19 deaths (see text for details)**




**Chart 3B: Version of Chart 3A for recent weeks**




Charts 4A and 4B are similar to Charts 3A and 3B, but show cumulative numbers of deaths since week 13. For most of the period shown the cumulative number of excess deaths was higher than for the other two measures. However, the cumulative number of deaths where COVID-19 was mentioned on the death certificate is now higher than cumulative excess deaths. This is a consequence of excess deaths being lower than mentions of COVID-19 on the death certificate in recent weeks.

**Chart 4A: Comparison of cumulative measures of COVID-19 deaths (see text for details)**




**Chart 4B: Version of Chart 4A for recent weeks**






Charts 5A, 5B, 6A and 6B show excess deaths as a proportion of expected deaths by age band for each week. This tended to be higher for older age bands earlier in the pandemic. We do not show results for ages below 45 as the relatively low numbers of deaths at those ages means that estimates of expected deaths would be unreliable.


**Chart 5A: Excess as a proportion of expected in each week – males (see text for details)**




**Chart 5B: Version of Chart 5A for recent weeks**



**Chart 6A: Excess as a proportion of expected in each week – females (see text for details)**



**Chart 6B: Version of Chart 6A for recent weeks**





## Results – Excess deaths for the United Kingdom

The previous sections of this report are based on registered deaths data for England & Wales to 25 December 2020, published by the ONS. In this section we extend our analysis to the United Kingdom as a whole.

We estimate that the numbers of excess deaths from the start of the pandemic to 25 December 2020 are:

- 68,600 for England & Wales<sup>3</sup>; and
- 75,000 for the United Kingdom.

Of these, 13,300 excess deaths for England & Wales and 14,200 for the United Kingdom have occurred since the start of the second wave<sup>4</sup>.

As in earlier sections, excess deaths compare registered deaths in 2020 to those that we would have seen if standardised mortality rates were the same as in the corresponding period in 2019. Our calculations use data for all-cause mortality from National Records Scotland (NRS) and the Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA) in addition to the ONS data. We note that the week 51 and week 52 figures for Northern Ireland and the week 52 figure for Scotland are not yet available. We have therefore assumed that excess deaths in Northern Ireland in weeks 51 and 52 are the same as in week 50, and that excess deaths in Scotland for week 52 are the same as in week 51.

The figures above do not include deaths that occurred after 25 December. We note that Public Health England (PHE) publishes daily data published for deaths of people within 28 days of a positive test result for COVID-19. The PHE data shows 3,919 COVID-19 deaths reported for the UK in week 53 (26 December 2020 to 1 January 2020), compared to 3,708 in week 52.

## Data sources

The provisional weekly deaths are available from:

- ONS (England & Wales)  
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/datasets/weeklyprovisionalfiguresondeathsregisteredinenglandandwales>
- NRS (Scotland)  
<https://data.gov.scot/coronavirus-covid-19/data.html>
- NISRA (Northern Ireland)  
[https://www.nisra.gov.uk/sites/nisra.gov.uk/files/publications/Weekly\\_Deaths.xls](https://www.nisra.gov.uk/sites/nisra.gov.uk/files/publications/Weekly_Deaths.xls)

The daily PHE data for deaths of people within 28 days of a positive test result for COVID-19 are available from <https://coronavirus.data.gov.uk/details/deaths>

<sup>3</sup> This cumulative figure, since the start of the pandemic, is from week 10 onwards. This is slightly different to that in footnote 1, which shows the cumulative figure since week 13.

<sup>4</sup> For this purpose, we treat the second wave as being week 38 onwards, i.e. from 12 September 2020.



## Reliances and limitations

The purpose of the weekly mortality monitor is to provide regular updates on standardised mortality in England & Wales during the coronavirus pandemic, adjusting ONS data to allowing for changes in the size and age of the population.

The CMI aims to produce high-quality outputs and takes considerable care to ensure that the mortality monitor and the accompanying spreadsheet of results are accurate. However:

- We cannot guarantee their accuracy (see the Disclaimer).
- There is a reliance on the data published by third parties, particularly the ONS data which is described as “provisional”.
- We have also applied judgement and assumptions in deciding on the calculation methods and the presentation of results.
- Anyone using the results of the mortality monitor should ensure that it is appropriate for their particular use, and note that care is needed when estimating full year experience from partial year experience. This is particularly true during the coronavirus pandemic.

**Disclaimer:** This document has been prepared by and/or on behalf of Continuous Mortality Investigation Limited (CMI). The CMI does not accept any responsibility and/or liability whatsoever for the content or use of this document. Whilst care has been taken during the development of the document, CMI does not (i) warrant its accuracy; or (ii) guarantee any outcome or result from the application of this document or of any of CMI’s work (whether contained in or arising from the application of this document or otherwise). You assume sole responsibility for your use of this document, and for any and all conclusions drawn from its use. CMI hereby excludes all warranties, representations, conditions and all other terms of any kind whatsoever implied by statute or common law in relation to this document, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law. If you are in any doubt as to using anything produced by CMI, please seek independent advice.

**Copyright:** You may reproduce the contents of this document free of charge in any format or medium provided it is:

1. reproduced accurately and is unaltered;
2. not used in a misleading context; and
3. correctly referenced and includes both CMI’s Disclaimer notice set out above and CMI’s copyright notice, as follows:

© Continuous Mortality Investigation Limited.

Continuous Mortality Investigation Limited (“CMI”) is registered in England & Wales  
Company number: 8373631  
Registered Office: 7<sup>th</sup> floor, Holborn Gate, 326-330 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7PP

Correspondence address: Two London Wall Place, 123 London Wall, London, EC2Y 5AU  
Email: [info@cmilimited.co.uk](mailto:info@cmilimited.co.uk)  
Tel: 020 7776 3820

Website: [www.cmilimited.co.uk](http://www.cmilimited.co.uk) (redirects to [www.actuaries.org.uk](http://www.actuaries.org.uk))

Continuous Mortality Investigation Limited is wholly owned by the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries.