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AT the end of my paper on this subject, read before the Institute on the 27 April 1903 (J.I.A., vol. xxxviii, p. 101), I referred to the question of fines on re-marriage, and the possibility of including the risk of second and subsequent marriages in the original contributions. I had previously explained that if the value of the risk of the first marriage only had been accurately calculated, the fine on the second and every subsequent marriage must be $a_{y}-a_{x y}$, or, to be more accurate, $\bar{a}_{y}-\bar{a}_{x y}$. This, I stated, was not likely to be tolerated in the Rules of any of these Funds.

It might be possible to substitute a fine on the death of the wife, in which case the calculations would have to be based on tables constructed similarly to those given by Dr. Sprague at the end of his paper "On the Rates of Re-marriage among Widowers" (J.I.A., vol. xxii, p. 77). The fine would be much less than the value of a survivorship annuity on re-marriage, but I do not think that such a scheme would commend itself to the
members of these Funds. They would be asked to pay a fine at a time when expenses were heavy, and no doubt all would declare that they had no intention of re-marrying.

There are left only two courses, either (i) to include the value of the risks of second and subsequent marriages in the original contribution, or (ii) to fix beforehand what the fine is to be on re-marriage and to calculate the contribution accordingly. Either of these methods involves the construction of a table showing the numbers who marry and re-marry at each age, as well as the numbers who die as husbands and widowers after the first, second, third, and subsequent marriages.

If we were going to estimate the exact value of the risk in respect of each person entering the Fund, irrespective of fines, we should require three sets of elaborate tables for each possible age at entry to the Fund. Thus we should start at age 15 with 10,000 bachelors, and trace them for every year of life, recording how many would die as bachelors, how many as husbands, and how many as widowers of the first, second, and subsequent marriage at every age until all were dead. As the earliest age at marriage is 20 , we should next start at that age, and at every subsequent age, with 10,000 bachelors, and trace them to the end of life. For bachelors we should want 45 select tables. For the husbands we should have to start at age 20, and at every subsequent age, with 10,000 husbands, and trace each set separately to the end of life, recording at each age in each table the deaths of husbands and widowers after the first, second, and subsequent marriages. For these 44 select tables would be required. Then for widowers we should have to start at age 21, and at every subsequent age, with 10,000 widowers, tracing them to the end of life, and recording at each age in each table the number who die as widowers of the first marriage, the numbers who die as husbands and widowers of the second marriage, and so on. But if extreme accuracy be required, the tables for widowers at each age at entry should be divided into separate tables for 1st year of widowerhood, 2nd year of widowerhood, 3rd year of widowerhood, and so on, because the probability of re-marriage of a widower varies with the length of widowerhood, so that for widowers about 400 select tables would be necessary. Then if the element of withdrawals be introduced, it will be seen that the work would assume gigantic proportions. One set of tables could be made to help the others: thus, by calculating the tables for widowers first, the tables for husbands would be
easier of construction; and when the tables for husbands were completed, the tables for bachelors would be easier to calculate.

Such a series of tables would be extremely useful for making a valuation, but the labour of calculating them would be out of all proportion to their value. These Funds are of the nature of Co-operative Mutual Benefit Associations, and not Societies for the insurance of individual risks. Each person contributes either a fixed annual sum or a percentage of his salary towards providing for all the widows and orphans in the whole body; and, if the bachelor and widower do happen to pay more than the true mathematical value of their risks, I think there are some members of the opposite sex who would be inclined to say " And quite right, too."

It would seem, therefore, that the table best suited for our purpose is an aggregate one, showing the number living and remaining on the staff at each age, and the numbers who die at each age as bachelors, husbands and widowers. If all those on the staff entered at about the same age, then the calculation, by such a table, of the contributions required would be fairly accurate, and the reserve by such a table would probably be greater than a reserve by Select Tables, because the contributions to be valued are not net premiums.

In the first paper in this section, "Widows' and Children's Pensions" (J.I.A., vol. xxxviii, p. 105), I described how my Table 45 was constructed. My Table No. 3 (J.I.A., vol. xxxvi, p. 261), showing the numbers remaining, withdrawing and dying each year out of a certain number entering at a given age, was extended to the end of life, and taken as a basis. The numbers dying were then divided into "bachelors" and " married" by means of one of Mr. A. Hewat's tables, and the married were subdivided up to age 65 into husbands and widowers by means of Mr. G. King's Table C, in his paper "On Family Annuities." The numbers of husbands and widowers dying after 65 were obtained by ascertaining how many couples out of husbands and wives surviving at age 65 would survive every year to the end of life. This was facilitated by assuming that no marriage after 65 was recognized by these Funds. A table constructed with such various materials cannot be considered as very satisfactory, and it would be better, if possible, to build up one on scientific principles.

I shall now describe how Table No. 60, on pp. 30-1, was constructed scientifically. The rates of mortality and with-
drawal were to be the same as used in my Table No. 3, but, as it was necessary to have a larger radix, the table starts with 200,000 living at age 15 instead of 20,000 , so that the number living at each age is 10 times greater than in Table 3.

We started with the construction of a table for husbands alone, showing, out of 100,000 husbands at the age of 20 , the number of husbands which would be left at each age; the number of husbands becoming widowers and the total widowers at each age; the husbands who die as husbands and the widowers who die at each age; and the numbers of husbands and widowers who withdraw at each age. A check table based on my ${ }^{v} q_{x}$ and modified $q_{x}$ was formed showing the total numbers dying and withdrawing at each age. For the death-rate amongst wives, I used Mr. Hewat's "Probability of dying in a year" amongst Scottish bankers' wives. (Page 44 of his work). For the age of the wife, I used the same age for $y$, corresponding to the age $x$ as in Table K. The table therefore will not represent the probable number who will become widowers throughout the remainder of life, out of the husbands living at any given age, by the deaths of their own wives; but, at any given age $x$, will give the number of husbands becoming widowers between the ages of $x$ and $x+1$, out of the husbands living at age $x$ married at all ages up to age $x$.

The Table required most careful and delicate construction.
Column 2 contains the number of husbands existing as husbands at each age.
Column 3 contains the number of husbands of the age $x$ becoming widowers between the ages $x$ and $x+1$. The number who become widowers and survive to age $x+1$ is evidently $\mathrm{H} l_{x} \times p_{x} q_{y}$; but then there are a certain number of cases where both husband and wife die in the year, and in half those cases we may assume that the wife dies first, so that $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H} l_{x} \times q_{x} q_{y}$ will be husbands who become widowers in the year and die in the year ; consequently, $\mathrm{H} k l_{x}=\mathrm{H} l_{x}$ $\left(p_{x} q_{y}+\frac{1}{2} q_{x} q_{y}\right)$.
Column 5 contains the number of husbands who die as husbands in the year. This will be $\mathrm{H} l_{x} q_{x}$, less those cases where both husband and wife die in the year and the wife dies first $=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H} l_{x} \times q_{x} q_{y}$; consequently, $\mathrm{H} d_{x}=\mathrm{H} l_{x}\left(q_{x}-\frac{1}{2} q_{x} q_{y}\right)$.

Column 6 contains the husbands becoming widowers in the year and dying before the end of the year. These are the cases where both husband and wife die in the year and the wife dies first $=\frac{1}{2} H l_{x} \times q_{x} q_{y}$.
Column 8 contains the number of husbands withdrawing as husbands during the year. The number of husbands living at age $x$ is $\mathrm{H} l_{x}$, and the number who withdraw during the year will be $\mathrm{H} l_{x} \times{ }^{w} q_{x}$; but then $\mathrm{H} k l_{x}$ will become widowers during the year; and on the principle that they will be exposed to risk on the average, half a year, $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H} / l_{x} \times{ }^{w} q_{x}$ will become widowers before they withdraw, hence those who withdraw as husbands will be $\left(\mathrm{H} l_{x}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H} k l_{x}\right)^{w} q_{x}$.
Column 9 contains the number of husbands who will become widowers and withdraw as widowers during the year $=\frac{1}{2} H k l_{x} \times{ }^{w} q_{x}$.
Column 4 contains the number of widowers at age $x$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K} l_{x+1} & =\mathrm{K} l_{x}+\mathrm{H} k l_{x}-\mathrm{K} d_{x}-\mathrm{H} k d_{x}-\mathrm{H} k w_{x}-\mathrm{K} w_{x} \\
& =\mathrm{K} l_{x}-\mathrm{K} d_{x}-\mathrm{K} w_{x}+\mathrm{H} k l_{x}-\mathrm{H} k d_{x}-\mathrm{H} k w_{x},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is to say the widowers living at age $x+1$ will be the widowers living at age $x$ less those who die and withdraw, and the husbands who become widowers between the ages of $x$ and $x+1$ less those who die and withdraw before the end of the year.
Column 7 contains the number of widowers who die between $x$ and $x+1$ out of those who enter as widowers at age $x=\mathrm{K} l_{x} \times q_{x}$.
Column 10 contains the number of widowers who withdraw between $x$ and $x+1$ out of those who enter as widowers at age $x=\mathrm{K} l_{x} \times{ }^{w} q_{x}$.
There should be another column showing the number of widowers living at age $x+1$ out of those who become widowers between ages $x$ and $x+1$; that is $\mathrm{H} k l_{x}-\mathrm{H} k d_{x}-\mathrm{H} k w_{x}$, which for distinction I would call $H l_{x_{+1}}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{x} l_{x+1}$.

Column 2 contains the numbers surviving as husbands at age $x$, so that $\mathrm{H} l_{x+1}=\mathrm{H} l_{x}-\mathrm{H} k l_{x}-\mathrm{H} d_{x}-\mathrm{H} w_{x}$, that is to say, the number of husbands existing at age $x+1$ will be the number living at age $x$ less those who become widowers during the year less the numbers who die and withdraw as husbands during the year.

The total deaths during the year, namely, $\mathrm{H} d_{x}+\mathrm{H} k d_{x}+\mathrm{K} d_{x}$ must equal the $d_{x}$ in the check table, and the total withdrawals, namely, $\mathrm{H} w_{x}+\mathrm{H} k w_{x}+\mathrm{K} w_{x}$ must equal the $w_{x}$ in the check table.

Column 5 shows the number of widows to be provided for, and the sum of columns 6 and 7 the number of widowers dying.
Next it was necessary to have a table showing the number of widowers who re-marry at each age and the number who die as widowers without re-marrying; but in order to do this we must know the rate of re-marriage of widowers at each age amongst the class with which we are supposed to be dealing.

After examining all the various data and tables of re-marriage, I came to the conclusion that Mr. Hewat's table of the "Probability of a Bachelor marrying in a Year", on page 21 of his work on "An Investigation of the Marriage and Mortality Experience of a Scottish Ministers' Widows' and Orphans' Fund", would represent fairly well the probability of a widower marrying in a year, amongst the staff in a commercial or banking institution. The following is the table of rates adopted.

Table P.
Probability of a Widower marrying in a year $=m_{x}^{2}$.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & (x) \end{aligned}$ | Probability of Re-marrying in a Year $m_{x}^{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Age }}{(x)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Probability } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Re-marying } \\ \text { in a Year } \\ m_{x}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ (x) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Probability } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Re-marying } \\ \text { in a Year } \\ m_{x}^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | $\cdot 10$ | 36 | $\cdot 12$ | 51 | $\cdot 04$ |
| 22 | -10 | 37 | -11 | 52 | $\cdot 04$ |
| 23 | -12 | 38 | -10 | 53 | $\cdot 04$ |
| 24 | $\cdot 15$ | 39 | -09 | 54 | $\cdot 04$ |
| 25 | $\cdot 18$ | 40 | -08 | 55 | -04 |
| 26 | -20 | 41 | $\cdot 07$ | 56 | . 04 |
| 27 | $\cdot 21$ | 42 | $\cdot 06$ | 57 | $\cdot 04$ |
| 28 | -20 | 43 | .05 | 58 | -04 |
| 29 | $\cdot 19$ | 44 | . 05 | 59 | $\cdot 04$ |
| 30 | -18 | 45 | -05 | 60 | -04 |
| 31 | $\cdot 17$ | 46 | $\cdot 04$ | 61 | . 03 |
| 32 | $\cdot 16$ | 47 | $\cdot 04$ | 62 | . 03 |
| 33 | $\cdot 15$ | 48 | -04 | 63 | .03 |
| 34 | $\cdot 14$ | 49 | -04 | 64 | -03 |
| 35 | $\cdot 13$ | 50 | $\cdot 04$ | 65 | $\cdot 00$ |

In making a table for widowers, we trace them in a similar way as we did the husbands for one complete year, but I have omitted the probability of a widower becoming a husband and
dying as a widower before the end of the year, as the figures would be too small to be of any account.

The Table for widowers will be found on pages 28-9.
Column (2) shows the number of widowers existing at each age $x=\mathrm{K} l_{x}$.
Column (3) shows the number of widowers who marry between the ages $x$ and $x+1=\mathrm{K} h l_{x}$.
Column (6) shows the number of widowers who die as widowers after first marriage only $=\left(K l_{x}-\frac{1}{2} K h l_{x}\right) q_{x}$.
Column (7) shows the number of widowers for the first time who withdraw $=\left(\mathrm{K} l_{x}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K} h l_{x}\right)^{w} q_{x}$.
Column (8) shows the number of widowers who marry and die as husbands between the ages $x$ and $x+1$ $=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K} h l_{x} \times{ }^{w} q_{x}$.
and Column (9) shows the number who marry and withdraw between the ages $x$ and $x+1=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K} h l_{x} \times{ }^{w} q_{x}$.
Column 10 shows the number of husbands for the second time existing at the next higher age-

$$
H^{2} l_{x+1}=\mathrm{K} h l_{x}-\left(\mathrm{K} h d_{x}+\mathrm{K} h w_{x}\right) .
$$

A check table was made from the formula

$$
\mathrm{K} l_{x+1}=\mathrm{K} l_{x}\left\{1-\left[m_{x}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{2} m_{x}^{2}\right)\left(q_{x}+{ }^{w} q_{x}\right)\right]\right\}
$$

We next started with the table for Bachelors, which was constructed in a similar way to the table for Widowers, as the probability of a bachelor marrying and dying a widower in the same year was omitted, the figures being insignificant. The probability of a bachelor marrying in a year was that given by Mr. A. Hewat for "Scottish Bankers" in his Table C. We started with 200,000 bachelors at the age of 15 , in order to compare easily the results with Table No. 45.

The Table is printed on pages $24-5$, and it will be seen that the headings are the same as in the table for Widowers, with the substitution of B for K .

Column (10) shows the number of husbands existing at age $x+1$ out of those who married between the ages $x$ and $x+1$.
By means of our table for Husbands, it is possible to trace the fate of these husbands to their first widowerhood.

I will ask you first to look at the Table for husbands. There you will find that out of 91,418 husbands living at age 21, so many will become widowers, so many die as husbands for the first time, so many die as widowers for the first time, and so many survive as widowers, at each age to the end of the table, as
set out in the respective columns. Now, if you look at the table for Bachelors, you will see that there are 306 husbands starting at the age of 21, who can be traced to their first widowerhood by multiplying the columns in the Husbands' table, commencing at age 21, by $\frac{306}{91,418}$. Similarly, the 952 husbands in the Bachelors' table, starting at age 22, can be traced to their first widowerhood by multiplying the columns in the Husbands' table, commencing at age 22 , by $\frac{952}{84,190}$, and so on.

We have, therefore, to find the ratio of $H l_{x}$ in the Bachelors' table to the $\mathrm{H} l_{x}$ in the Husbands' table for each age, which, for the moment, we will call $r_{x}$. Now if we set out the details of one of the columns in the Husbands' table, say column $\mathbf{H} d_{x}$, and place the ratios underneath, thus-

| $\mathrm{H} d_{x}$ | $\mathrm{H} d_{x+1}$ | $\mathrm{H} d_{x+2}$ | $\mathrm{H} d_{x+3}$ | $\mathrm{H} d_{x+4} \cdots \cdots$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $r_{x}$ | $r_{x}$ | $r_{x}$ | $r_{x}$ | $r_{x} \cdots \cdots$ |
|  | $r_{x+1}$ | $r_{x+1}$ | $r_{x+1}$ | $r_{x+1} \cdots \cdots$ |
|  |  | $r_{x+2}$ | $r_{x+2}$ | $r_{x+2} \cdots \cdots$ |
|  |  |  | $r_{x+3}$ | $r_{x+3} \cdots \cdots$ |
|  |  |  |  | $r_{x+4} \cdots \cdots$ |

it will be seen that, by making a constant addition of the ratios, we shall obtain a series of multipliers which, when multiplied into the figures in the column in the Husbands' table opposite the same age, will give us the fate of all the husbands existing at each age $x+1$ in the Bachelors' table up to their first widowerhood. We thus obtain the columns $\mathrm{H} d_{x}, H k d_{x}$, and $K l_{x+1}$.

By applying the Widowers' Table in the same way to the newly obtained $K l_{x+1}$ column, we shall obtain the columns $K d_{x}$, $K h d_{x}$, and $H^{2} l_{x+1}$. Then following the same process as before with the figures in the column $H^{2} l_{x+1}$ as we did with the $H l_{x+1}$ column, we obtain $\mathrm{H}^{2} d_{x}, \mathrm{H}^{2} k d_{x}$, and $K^{2} l_{x+1}$; and the process can be repeated until there are no widowers under the age of 65 to get married.

It might be advisable to explain that the numbers recorded as dying as husbands and widowers at age $x$ are not the deaths among those who contracted marriages at age $x$ alone, but also among the members who had been previously married and were existing at age $x$.

Considering the conglomerate way in which my Table No. 45 was constructed from materials obtained from various sources, it would be extremely interesting to compare the two tables, and, incidentally, to ascertain how far second and third marriages were
included in the first table. This can be easily done by dividing all the figures in Table 60 by 10 . I have prepared a diagram showing the number who die as husbands in Table 45, the number who die as husbands of the first marriage, and the total who die as husbands after any marriage in Table 60; also the number who die as widowers in Table 45, the number who die as widowers of the first marriage, and the number who die as widowers after any marriage in Table 60.

It would appear from this diagram that more than first marriages were included in Table 45 up to age 70, but that after that age the widowers increased rapidly. The actuarial calculation after age 65, based on the English Life Table No. 3, evidently had the result of increasing the mortality among wives.

This diagram is not open to the objection to diagrams showing the number of deaths at each age in two different Life Tables, because the number living at each age and the number of deaths and withdrawals at each age are the same in both tables.

Table No. 60 will only afford the means of ascertaining the values of the liabilities arising from the death of a husband or widower. I have not thought it necessary to make a table for ascertaining the value of a fine on remarriage, but, if any Member of the Institute would like to undertake the task, I am sure we should all be delighted. He would start with the Bachelors' Table, recording $\mathrm{B} l_{x}$ and $H l_{x_{+1}}$ for each age. Then by tracing the number of $H l_{x}$ at each age separately to their first widowerhood by means of the Husbands' Table and in the way explained on p. 8, he would record $H l_{x}, K l_{x+1}$. By use of the Widowers' Table he would obtain $\mathrm{K} l_{x}, K h l_{x}$, and $H^{2} l_{x+1}$, and using the Husbands' Table again for $H^{2} l_{x}$ for each age separately, he would obtain $\mathrm{H}^{2} l_{x}$ and $K^{2} l_{x+1}$, and so on. The total of all the Bachelors, Husbands, and Widowers living at each age, that is $\mathrm{B} l_{x}+\mathrm{H} l_{x}+\mathrm{K}_{x}+\mathrm{H}^{2} l_{x}+\mathrm{K}^{2} l_{x}+\& \mathrm{c} .$, would be equal to $l_{x}$ in Table 60, and the fines would be levied on $K h l_{x}, \mathrm{~K}^{2} h l_{x}+\mathrm{K}^{3} h l_{x}+\& c$.

A great number of Monetary Tables have been calculated on the Bachelors', Husbands' and Widowers' Tables, which might prove useful in certain cases, but I shall not publish them unless it is the wish of the Council that they should appear in the Journal.

The numbers of Husbands and Widowers dying and the values of

$$
\frac{{ }^{\mathrm{w} \alpha} \mathbf{M}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}, \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{E}(6)} \mathrm{M}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}, \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{K} \cdot{ }^{\mathrm{c} c a} \mathbf{M}_{x}}}{\mathrm{D}_{x}} \text { and } \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{ou}(16)} \mathrm{M}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}
$$

for first marriage only and for all marriages at 4 per-cent

interest are given on pages 32-5. The number of married men dying at each age being the same whether first marriages only are taken, or all marriages, the value of $\frac{{ }^{\circ}(16) \mathrm{M}_{x}}{\mathrm{D}_{x}}$ will be the same for both. By these tables comparison can be made of the value of the benefits when only one marriage is allowed for, and when all marriages are included in the contribution. The difference will of course be the value, at entry, of the benefit of including all marriages after the first.

I should like here to acknowledge my great indebtedness to Mr. W. A. Workman, F.I.A., for his careful construction of these tables, over which he has expended a great amount of labour, and exercised the most exemplary patience. If it had not been for his perseverance, sometimes under the most trying circumstances, when the work seemed to go wrong, I do not think these tables would have seen the light.

## The Importance of a Correct Scale of Salaries.

In the first part of this work I stated that the amount of the salary was not very important so long as we had a table representing the relative yearly increase; and I showed on page 232 (vol. xxxvi) that the formulas were independent of the amount of salary. The reason was that all the benefits there investigated were functions of the salary, and could only vary in relation to the salary according to the ratio of increase in such salary. The case is very different with the benefits we are now investigating, for they are in no sense a function of the salary, yet the contributions are dependent on the amount of salary and its probable increase; consequently, it is very important that the scale of salaries used in the calculations should represent the actual salaries and their average increase as accurately as possible. In the illustrations to this second part of the work, I have used the scale adopted in the first part, because the tables were already calculated; but I have another scale representing the average salary in an old but progressive Bank, and I will place it side by side with the other scale representing the salaries in a Railway Company, together with the quinquenmial ratio of increase in each. When the ratios of increase alone form the basis of the calculations, the difference in the results would probably not be very great; but when the amounts of the salaries as well as the ratio of increase have to be taken into consideration the difference would be large.

Table S.
Showing two different scales of Average Annual Salaries, representing those of a great Railway Company, and a large Bank, and the ratio of increase in every 5 years.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & (x) \end{aligned}$ | Railway Company | $\begin{gathered} \text { Quinquunnial } \\ \text { Ration of } \\ \text { Increase } \end{gathered}$ | Bank | $\mathrm{Quinquennial}_{\text {Ratio of }}^{\text {Qut }}$ Increase | $\stackrel{\text { Age }}{(x)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 20 | $\ldots$ | 20 | $\ldots$ | 15 |
| 16 | 25 | ... | 25 | ... | 16 |
| 17 | 30 | ... | 30 | $\ldots$ | 17 |
| 18 | 35 | $\ldots$ | 40 | $\ldots$ | 18 |
| 19 | 40 | ... | 50 | . | 19 |
| 20 | 45 | 2.250 | 60 | 3.000 | 20 |
| 21 | 50 | ... | 70 | ... | 21 |
| 22 | 55 | ... | 80 | ... | 22 |
| 23 | 60 | $\ldots$ | 90 | $\ldots$ | 23 |
| 24 | 65 | $\ldots$ | 100 | ... | 24 |
| 25 | 70 | 1.555 | 110 | 1.833 | 25 |
| 26 | 74 |  | 120 | $\ldots$ | 26 |
| 27 | 78 | $\ldots$ | 130 | ... | 27 |
| 28 | 82 | $\ldots$ | 140 | ... | 28 |
| 29 | 86 | ... | 150 | ... | 29 |
| 30 | 90 | $1 \cdot 286$ | 160 | 1-455 | 30 |
| 31 | 94 | ... | 170 | ... | 31 |
| 32 | 98 | $\ldots$ | 180 | ... | 32 |
| 33 | 102 | ... | 190 | $\ldots$ | 33 |
| 34 | 106 | ... | 200 | ... | 34 |
| 35 | 110 | 1-222 | 210 | 1.313 | 35 |
| 36 | 114 | $\ldots$ | 220 | ... | 36 |
| 37 | 118 | ... | 230 | .. | 37 |
| 38 | 122 | $\ldots$ | 240 | $\ldots$ | 38 |
| 39 | 126 | $\ldots$ | 250 | $\ldots$ | 39 |
| 40 | 130 | 1•182 | 260 | $1 \cdot 238$ | 40 |
| 41 | 134 | ... | 270 | ... | 41 |
| 42 | 138 | ... | 280 | $\ldots$ | 42 |
| 43 | 142 | $\ldots$ | 290 | $\cdots$ | 43 |
| 44 | 146 | ... | 300 | ... | 44 |
| 45 | 150 | 1-154 | 310 | 1-192 | 45 |
| 46 | 154 | ... | 320 | ... | 46 |
| 47 | 158 | ... | 330 | $\ldots$ | 47 |
| 48 | 162 | $\ldots$ | 340 | $\ldots$ | 48 |
| 49 | 166 | $\ldots$ | 350 | ... | 49 |
| 50 | 170 | 1-133 | 360 | $1 \cdot 161$ | 50 |
| 51 | 174 | ... | 370 | ... | 51 |
| 52 | 178 | ... | 380 | ... | 52 |
| 53 | 182 | $\ldots$ | 390 | ... | 53 |
| 54 | 186 | $\ldots$ | 400 | $\ldots$ | 54 |
| 55 | 190 | $1 \cdot 118$ | 405 | $1 \cdot 125$ | 55 |
| 56 | 194 | ... | 410 | ... | 56 |
| 57 | 198 | $\ldots$ | 415 | ... | 57 |
| 58 | 202 | . | 420 | $\ldots$ | 58 |
| 59 | 206 | ... | 425 | $\ldots$ | 59 |
| 60 | 210 | $1 \cdot 105$ | 430 | 1.062 | 60 |
| 61 | 214 | ... | 435 | ... | 61 |
| 62 | 218 | $\ldots$ | 440 | ... | 62 |
| 63 | 222 | $\ldots$ | 445 |  | 63 |
| 64 | 226 | ... | 450 | $\ldots$ | 64 |

## Conclusion.

In takng farewell of this work, permit me to say that I greatly appreciate the good opinions which have been expressed upon it by all members of the profession. I have been encouraged to go much further than I ever intended; but the work has had a fascination which I could not resist.

The formulas I have deduced are universal in their application, but the material on which we have to base our calculations is often of very inferior quality. It would be impossible to make a standard Table of Experience to apply to all Funds alike, for rates of mortality, marriage, withdrawal, retirement and salary will vary, not only in different trades and institutions, but in different districts.

When we have to value a Staff Pension Fund, it is often possible to obtain enough material to deduce a fairly good experience; but for the valuation of a Widows' and Orphans' Fund, there is no institution large enough to afford all the material necessary to make such a table as we require. Our only hope is to induce every Fund to keep its records on cards of one common form, and at some future time for the Institute or some Committee to undertake the task of collecting these cards and extracting therefrom the experience for each class of risk. The following is the form of card which I would suggest. Strict injunctions should be given that no card is ever to be destroyed.

Front of Card.


Back of Card.


Annuity of £.. $\qquad$ commenced

Widow died Aged.

Continued to Children till $\qquad$
£. $\qquad$ paid to ..... .
on death of Member as a (Bachelor) (Widower without children under 16).

## REMARKS :-

N.B.-This for fines on re-marriage, \&c.

POSTSCRIPT.
In his paper "On Staff Pension Funds" (J.I.A., xxxix, p. 129), Mr. George King says in a postscript (p. 179) that he fortunately showed a proof of his Addendum to Mr. E. C. Thomas, who brought to his notice a shorter and more elegant way, due to Mr. Manly, of finding the value of ${ }_{y}^{f} \mathrm{~F}_{x}^{\mathrm{Fa}}$.

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
{ }_{y}^{s-1} \mathbf{M}_{x}^{r a}=s_{x-1} \times{ }_{y} \mathbf{M}_{x}^{r a} \\
{ }_{y}^{s-1} \mathbf{R}_{x}^{r a}=\Sigma^{s-1}{ }_{y}^{s} \mathbf{M}_{x}^{r a} \\
{ }_{y}^{f} \mathbf{F}_{x}^{r a}=\frac{{ }_{y}^{r a}{ }_{y}^{s} \mathbf{R}_{x+t}^{r x}}{{ }^{s} \mathbf{D}_{x}}
\end{gathered}
$$

This puzzled me terribly. I naturally felt flattered by Mr. King's description of my work, but could not recognize my own child in the garb in which he had dressed it. I asked Mr. Thomas what it was supposed to represent, and he said it was what we called the $\psi$ formula which we used in a heavy piece of work in which he ably assisted me in 1903-4. Whenever I have had occasion to refer to Mr. King's paper, I have always been attracted to that page, but I could never understand the demonstration, and could never recognize in it anything which I had done. This is so unlike Mr. King, because as a rule his demonstrations are very clear.

Recently I had occasion to open out the pile of papers connected with that piece of work, and I found the formula. My demonstration of it took more than three lines, it, in fact, took ten. I did not think anything particular of it at the time, but Mr. King has made the problem famous. There will be, therefore, no harm in my giving a full demonstration of the Problem in my own way.

Problem XVIb. To find the value of a pension based on a varying proportion of average salary according to the number of years of service, no pension allowed if retirement takes place within $t$ years.

This is a variation of my Problem Хв (J.I.A., xxxvi, p. 238); and as the simplest way of dealing with problems in average salary is to alter the form and deal with total salary, it will be desirable to change "proportion of average salary" into " proportion of total salary."

Let us call the completed years of membership $t$, and the proportion of total salary corresponding to $t, \psi_{t}$.

To make the problem clearer, I give two Specimen Pension Scales. The first is taken from Mr. King's paper (J.I.A., xxxix, p. 162), and the other is one-fiftieth of average salary for each completed year, up to a maximum proportion of two-thirds of average salary.

Specimen Pension Scale. No. 1.

| Completed Years of Membership $t$ | Pension in percentage of average Salary | Equivalent proportion of total Salary $\left(\psi_{t}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Completed } \\ \text { Years of } \\ \text { Membership } \\ t \end{gathered}$ | Pension in percentage of average Salary | Equivalent proportion of total Salary $\left(\psi_{t}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 25 | - 02500 | 30 | 48 | $\cdot 01600$ |
| 11 | 26 | -02364 | 31 | 50 | $\cdot 01613$ |
| 12 | 27 | -02250 | 32 | 52 | $\cdot 01625$ |
| : | : | : | : | : | - |
| 19 | 36 | -01895 | 39 | 66 | .01692 |
| 20 | 37 | -01850 | 40 | $66 \frac{2}{3}$ | $\cdot 01667$ |
| 21 | 38 | $\cdot 01810$ | 41 | $66 \frac{2}{3}$ | -01626 |
| 22 | 39 | .01773 | 42 | 66\% ${ }^{2}$ | -01587 |
| : | . | : | ! | . | : |

Specimen Pension Scale. No. 2.

| Completed Years of Membership ( $t$ ) | Pension in percentage of average Salary | Equivalent proportion of total Salary $\left(\psi_{t}\right)$ | Completed Years of Membership ( $t$ ) | Pension in percentage of average Salary | Equivalent proportion of total Salary $\left(\psi_{t}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 20 | . 02 | 33 | 66 | -02 |
| 11 | 22 | -02 | 34 | $66 \frac{2}{3}$ | $\cdot 01961$ |
| 12 | 24 | .02 | 35 | $66 \frac{3}{3}$ | -01905 |
|  |  |  | 36 | $66 \frac{3}{3}$ | -01852 |
| : | : | : | 37 | $66 \frac{3}{3}$ | -01802 |
|  |  |  | 38 | $66 \frac{3}{3}$ | -01754 |
| 20 | 40 | . 02 | 39 | $66 \frac{3}{3}$ | -01709 |
| 21 | 42 | -02 | 40 | $66 \frac{2}{3}$ | $\cdot 01667$ |
| 22 | 44 | $\cdot 02$ | 41 | $66_{3}^{2}$ | $\cdot 01626$ |
| : | : | : | : | : | : |

As the scale varies with years of membership, and not according to age, it will be necessary to make a table for each probable age at entry.

I proceed to demonstrate the solution in the same way as I have done in all my problems.

Out of $l_{x}$ persons entering at age $x, r_{x+t}$ will retire between the years $t$ and $t+1$, and the value of the pensions will be (assuming that $s$ is paid for the whole year),

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{x+t+\frac{3}{2}} r_{x+t} \bar{u}_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{t} s_{x}+v^{x+t+\frac{1}{2}} r_{x+t} & \bar{a}_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}} \psi t s_{x+1} \cdots \\
& +r^{x+t+\frac{1}{2}} r_{x+t} \bar{a}_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{t} s_{x+t-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of the pensions to those who retire in the year $t+1$ to $t+2$ will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{x+t+1 \frac{1}{2}} r_{x+t+1} \bar{a}_{x+t+1 \frac{1}{2}} \psi_{t+1} s_{x}+v^{x+t+1 \frac{1}{2} r_{x+t+1}} \bar{a}_{x+t+1} \psi_{t+1} s_{x+1} \cdots \\
& \quad+v^{x+t+{ }_{1}^{1}} r_{x_{+t+1}} \bar{a}_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{t+1} s_{x+t-1}+v^{x+t+1 \frac{1}{2}} r_{x+t+1} \bar{a}_{x+t+1 \frac{1}{2}} \psi_{t+1} s_{x+t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it is quite evident that $v^{x+\frac{1}{2}} r_{x}{\overline{a_{x}}+\frac{1}{2}}$ will be common to all the tables. We shall therefore construct that table and call it ${ }^{r a} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{x}$. Then making $x=16,17,18,19 \ldots 40$ successively, ${ }^{*}$ we multiply ${ }^{r a} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{x+t}$ by $\psi_{t},{ }^{r a} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{x+t+1}$ by $\psi_{t+1}$, and so on. These values we will call ${ }^{\psi} \cdot{ }^{r a} \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{x}$. Summing these values like the M column, we get ${ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x}$. The summation of ${ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{x}$ must be continued to age $x$ as in my Table 9 for ${ }^{r} \mathbf{M}_{x}$ (J.I.A., xxxvi, 267).

The value of the pension, at age $x+n$, in respect of past contributions will be

$$
\text { (total past salary) } \times \frac{\psi^{\psi \cdot r u} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x+n}}{\mathbf{D}_{x+n}} .
$$

If the contribution is $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per-cent of salary, the total past salary will be total contributions multiplied by 40 .

We next proceed to find the value in respect of future salary.
It will be seen from the detailed demonstration of the values that $s_{x}$ is common to the first column, $s_{x+1}$ common to the second column and so on. If now we multiply ${ }^{4 . r a} \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{x}$ by $s_{x}$, we obtain the total of the first column, and if we multiply ${ }^{4 . r x} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x+1}$ by $s_{x+1}$, we get the total of the second column, \&c. But the value of ${ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{x} . s_{x}$ is too large by the value in respect of half the salary for the first year, that is, by $\frac{1}{2} s_{x} .{ }^{\psi \cdot r v} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{x}$, so that we must make a column in each of the tables, of ${ }_{\psi \cdot r a} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x} \cdot s_{x}-\frac{1}{2} s_{x} \cdot{ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{x}$, which we will call ${ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x}^{s}$.

We then proceed to sum this column like the R column, obtaining thereby a column which we will call ${ }^{4 . r a} \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{x}^{s}$; and the

[^0]value of the pension, at age $x+n$, in respect of future salary will be
$$
\text { (salary at age } x+n) \times \frac{\psi \cdot r a \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{x+n}^{s}}{\mathbf{D}_{x+n}^{s}} \text {. }
$$

It does not matter whether $s_{x}$ or $\frac{s_{x}}{100}$ is used in the calculations. By the latter the decimal point is set two places back in both numerator and denominator.

It will, no doubt, be noticed that I have adopted Mr. King's method of making the correction for the excess of half-year's salary in ascertaining the second formula. It is better than mine as it only involves one deduction and one summation, whereas mine involves two summations and one deduction. My formula for future salary was $\frac{\psi \cdot r \mathrm{ra} \mathbf{R}_{x+n}^{s}-\frac{1^{\psi \cdot r u}}{} \mathbf{M}_{x+n}^{l s}}{\mathbf{D}_{x+n}^{s}}$.

The agreement of the two formulas will be seen at once by the following explanation. Mr. King's formula is obtained from

$$
\Sigma\left({ }^{\psi . r u} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x}, s_{x}-\frac{1}{2} s_{x}{ }^{\psi \cdot r u} \mathbf{C}_{x}\right)={ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{x}^{s},
$$

while mine is obtained from

$$
\Sigma^{\psi \cdot r a} \mathbf{M}_{x} \cdot s_{x}-\Sigma \frac{1}{2} s_{x}{ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \mathbf{C}_{x}={ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \mathbf{R}_{x}^{s}-\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \mathbf{M}_{x}^{l_{s}^{s}}
$$

I should like here to be allowed to express in the strongest terms my protest against representing these formulas by a central symbol $\mathbf{F}$ with a lot of little letters round it. The beauty, power, character and identity of the formulas are entirely lost in an attempt to represent them by one insignificant and unrepresentative symbol.

Is it more trouble to write
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\psi \cdot r x}{\mathbf{M}_{x}} \\
& \mathbf{D}_{x} \\
& \text { or } \stackrel{\psi \cdot r u}{ } \mathbf{M}_{x} \text { than }{ }_{y}^{p} \mathrm{~F}_{x}^{r a}, \\
& \frac{\psi \cdot r u \mathrm{R}_{x}^{s}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}^{s}} \text { or } \stackrel{\psi \cdot r a \mathbf{R}_{x}^{s}}{ } \text { than }{ }_{y}^{f} \mathbf{F}_{x}^{r a} ?
\end{aligned}
$$

When you have learnt my notation (J.I.A., xxxvii, 236) you can see at a glance what the formulas represent. $\frac{{ }_{\psi \cdot r x} \mathbf{M}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}$ is the assurance of an annuity at retirement of $\psi$ at the date of retirement, and when multiplied into past salary will give the present value of pension, at date of retirement, of $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ times the past contributions. $\frac{{ }^{\psi \cdot r a} \mathbf{R}_{x}^{s}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}^{s}}$ is
the increasing assurance of an annuity, at retirement, of future salary multiplied by $\psi$ at the date of retirement equated to 1 of salary at $x$, so that $\frac{{ }^{\psi} \cdot \pi a}{\mathbf{R}_{x}^{s}} \mathbf{D}_{x}^{s}$ multiplied by salary at $x$ is the present value of the pension at retirement of $\psi$ times the future salary.

With the knowledge of the characteristic significance of these formulas it is often possible to build up a solution of a difficult problem. Let us take the special form of return on death in Mr. King's paper (J.I.A., xxxix, p. 144), which gave him so much trouble.

Problem XVIIb. Find the present value, at age at entrance $x$, of the return on death of the whole of the member's and the company's contributions if death occurs within ten years of entry, and the return, if death occurs after ten years, of one half of the average salary from date of entry.

Mr. Thomas has pointed out (J.I.A., xxxix, p. 206) that the best way to solve this problem is to follow my invariable practice, when average salary is involved, of altering the form of the question from proportion of average salary to proportion of total salary. The problem will thus present itself in the following form, if we assume that the member's contribution is $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per-cent of salary, and the company's contribution the same.

Special Retwon on Death.

| Completed years of <br> Membership <br> $(t)$ | Return in percentage of <br> averaze salary | Equívalent <br> proportion of total <br> salary <br> $\psi t$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 9 | 5 per-cent of total salary | .05 |
| 10 | 5 | 05 |
| 11 | 5 | 00545 |
| 12 | 5 | 04167 |
| 13 | 5 | 0.03846 |
| 14 | 5 | .03571 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

The value of the benefit in respect of past salary will evidently be the assurance at death of $\psi$ times the total past salary, that is to say (Total past salary) $\times \frac{{ }_{\psi \cdot \sigma} \cdot \bar{M}_{x+n}}{\bar{D}_{x+n}}$; and in respect of future salary it will be an increasing assurance of future salary multiplied by $\psi$ at the date of death equated to 1 of salary at present age,
that is to say (Present salary) $\times \frac{4 \cdot d \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{x+n}^{s}}{\mathbf{D}_{x+n}^{s}}$. The same results could be obtained by substituting $d$ for $r a$ in the demonstration in the previous Problem.

Members may be pleased to have the investigation of another formula which I used on the same occasion.

Problem XVIIIb. To find the value of the return, in the event of death after retirement, and before the payments of pension amount to the total contributions without interest, of the difference between the total contributions and the payments of pension.

Let $c$ be the total contributions to date of retirement, and let $\psi_{t}$ be the proportion of total salary payable as pension on retirement at age $x+t+\frac{1}{2}$.

Then the number of years during which there will be a risk of paying something in excess of the pension will be

$$
\frac{c}{\psi_{t}}=\beta, \text { and the number of months }=12 \beta .
$$

All pensions are payable monthly, so that the risk for the first month will be $c$, for the second month $c-\frac{1}{12} \psi_{t}$, for the third month $c-\frac{2}{12} \psi_{t}$, and so on.

Now, $c=12 \beta \times \frac{1}{12} \psi_{t}$, so that the value of the risk will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+1 .\left(\mathrm{M}_{x+t+\beta+\hat{p}_{\underline{I}}}-\mathrm{M}_{n+t+\beta+\frac{f}{\mathrm{E}}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of M and D would have to be calculated by the Table used in valuing the pension annuities.

Let us call the value of the temporary decreasing assurance, $\gamma_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}}$, then the value of the total risk on retirement at age $x+t+\frac{1}{2}$ will be $\psi_{t} \cdot \bar{u}_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}}+\gamma_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}}$. For our purpose, however, it would be better to express the value in terms of $\psi_{t} \cdot \bar{a}_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}}$, so that we can write it as $\psi_{t} \cdot \bar{a}_{x+t+\frac{2}{2}}\left(1+\kappa_{x+t+\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ where $\kappa_{x+t+\frac{1}{3}}=\frac{\gamma_{x+t+\frac{3}{2}}}{\psi_{t} \cdot \overline{\bar{x}}_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}}}$. We can then subtsitute for $\psi_{t} \overline{\bar{u}}_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}}$ in the demonstration in Problem XVIb above, the term $\psi_{t} \overline{\bar{u}}_{x+t+\frac{1}{\bar{y}}}$
$\left(1+\kappa_{x+t+\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. The value of the pension, together with the return of the excess of total contributions over pension payments in event of death before $\beta$ years, will be, at age $x+n$,
(total past salary) $\times \frac{\psi \cdot r a(1+\kappa)}{\mathbf{D}_{x+n}} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x+n}+$ (salary at age $\left.x+n\right) \times \frac{\psi \cdot r a(1+\kappa) \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{x+n}^{s}}{\mathbf{D}_{x+n}^{s}}$.
It would be extremely laborious and troublesome to calculate these values if $\psi_{t}$ varied according to $t$, as in the specimen pension scale in Problem XVIb.

If $\psi$ were constant, $\kappa$ would still vary slightly; but if $\psi$ and $\kappa$ were both constant, there would be no difficulty, for the value of the risk for both pension and assurance would be
$\left\{(\right.$ total past salary $) \times \frac{{ }^{r x} \bar{M}_{x+n}}{\mathbf{D}_{x+n}}+($ salary atage $\left.x+n) \times \frac{{ }^{r a} \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{x+n}^{s}}{\bar{D}_{n}^{s}}\right\} \times \psi(1+\kappa)$.
This presents a possible solution of the Problem by approximation.

If we go a step further and suppose $\bar{a}_{x+\frac{2}{2}}$ to be constant, then we shall have, as the value of both benefits,

$$
\left\{\text { (total past salary) } \times \frac{{ }^{r} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x+n}}{\mathbf{D}_{x+n}}+(\text { salary at age } x+n) \frac{{ }^{r} \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{x+n}^{s}}{\mathbf{D}_{x+n}^{s}}\right\} \times \bar{u}_{x+\frac{2}{2}} \times \psi(\mathbf{1}+\kappa)
$$

The proposal to treat $\bar{a}$ as a constant is not so very outrageous, for if you will look at my Table 8 (J.I.A., xxxvi, 266 ), you will see that the extreme limits of $a^{\prime}$ are 6.99 at age 20 , and 9.01 at age 55. But if we take the ages from 50 to 64, when the majority of the retirements take place, it will be found that the average of $a^{\prime}$ is 8.75 , which is the value for age 60 , and that the extreme divergence from that is $+\cdot 26$ at age 55 , and -78 at age 64 .

If, then, we had in the valnation schedule the totals of the values of
(i) (total past salary) $\times \frac{{ }^{r} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x}^{s}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}+$ (present salary) $\times \frac{{ }^{r} \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{x}^{s}}{\bar{D}_{x}^{s}}$,
(ii) (total past salary) $\times \frac{{ }^{r a} \overline{\overline{\mathbf{M}}}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}+$ (present salary) $\times \frac{{ }^{r a} \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{x}^{s}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}^{s}}$,
(iii) (total past salary) $\times \frac{{ }^{4 \cdot r \pi} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{x}}{\mathrm{D}_{x}}+$ (present salary) $\times \frac{{ }^{\psi \cdot r \pi} \overline{\bar{R}}_{x}^{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{D}_{x}^{s}}$,
we could find the average $\bar{a}_{x+\frac{7}{2}}$ by dividing (ii) by (i), and the average of $\psi$ by dividing (iii) by (ii), and the average age at retirement could be found by reference to $\bar{a}_{x+\frac{1}{2}}$.

These values are not, as a rule, separately calculated, so we must exercise our best judgment in selecting the average age at retirement and the average $\psi$.

Suppose we take a concrete example. Say total ordinary contributions 5 per-cent of salary; average $\psi=1 \frac{2}{3}$ per-cent of total salary $=\cdot 01667$; average age at retirement 63. It would not be wise to select a much younger age. Rate of interest 4 per-cent guaranteed, and mortality after age 63 the same as $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{BI}}$ Table.

To avoid very small values, let us say $c=5$ and $\psi=1 \frac{2}{3}$, then $\beta=\frac{c}{\psi}=3$, and the number of months will be 36 . $\frac{\psi}{12}=\frac{5}{36}$.

The value, at the moment of retirement, of the risk of having to make a return of excess of contributions over pension payments will be
$\frac{5}{36} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}_{63}}\left\{36\left(\mathrm{M}_{63}-\mathrm{M}_{63_{13}^{2}}\right)+35\left(\mathrm{M}_{63_{1}^{1}}-\mathrm{M}_{63_{12}^{2}}\right)+\ldots+1\left(\mathrm{M}_{654 \frac{1}{2}}-\mathrm{M}_{66}\right)\right\}$.
Now, if we assume

which is most likely to be the case, seeing that for the first two years after retirement the mortality is above the normal, we have

$$
\frac{1}{36}\left(\mathrm{M}_{63}-\mathrm{M}_{66}\right)=\frac{1}{36}(3004 \cdot 80-2509 \cdot 36)=13 \cdot 762
$$

This has to be multiplied by 666 , the sum of 36 terms of an arithmetical series of which the first term is 36 and the last term 1.
$\mathrm{D}_{63}=4771 \cdot 0$, and the value of the assurance will be

$$
\frac{5}{36} \times \frac{1}{4771} \times 666 \times 13 \cdot 762=\cdot 2668
$$

The value of $\bar{u}_{63}$ is $9 \cdot 119$, so that the value of the pension and assurance, that is $\psi \bar{u}_{x}+\gamma_{x}$, will be

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(9 \cdot 119 \times 1 \frac{2}{3}\right)+\cdot 2668=(15 \cdot 1983+\cdot 2668)=15 \cdot 1983(1+\cdot 0176) \\
\kappa=\cdot 0176,
\end{gathered}
$$

and the value of the risk is about $1_{4}^{\frac{3}{4}}$ per-cent of the value of the pensions.

There now remains the question of the value of the risk in respect of those who have been pensioners for less than three years. On the payment of the first monthly pension the value will be
 and on the second monthly payment, it will be

The best way to do this is to make a double summation like the M and R columns, of $13 \cdot 762$, so that $\frac{5}{36} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}_{63}} \cdot \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{~S}(13 \cdot 762)_{1}$ will be the value of the risk at the moment of retirement. $\frac{5}{36} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}_{6311}^{1 / 2}} \cdot \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{S}(13.762)_{2}$ will be the value immediately on the payment of the first monthly pension, $\frac{5}{36} \cdot \frac{1}{D_{63_{31}^{3}}^{2}} \cdot \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{~S}(13 \cdot 762)_{3}$ the value on the second monthly payment, and so on. The values in the column of double summation must be multiplied by $\frac{5}{36}$ and divided by the appropriate $\mathrm{D}_{x} \times \psi \overline{\bar{a}}_{x}$ (i.e., $15 \cdot 1983$ ). The result will be the ratio of the value of the pension to be set aside for the risk. It has been my practice to make additional calculations for those who have retired after age 63.

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans.

Table 57.-The Bachelons' Table.
Showing out of 200,000 Bachelors at age 15, the numbers living and dying at each age as Bachelors, the number who marry before the next age, and the number who pass out of observation at the next age as Husbands, after allowing for withdrawals.

| $\left\|\begin{array}{\|l\|} A^{5 g} \\ x \end{array}\right\|$ <br> (1) | B $\chi_{x}$ (2) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{B} h l_{x} \\ = \\ \mathbf{B} b_{x} \times m_{x} \\ \text { (3) } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~B}$ <br> $1 l_{x}$ <br> (4) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{H} l_{x+1} \\ =\mathbf{B} h l_{x} \\ -\mathbf{B} h d_{x}-\mathbf{B} h v_{x} \\ \text { (10) } \end{gathered}$ | (1) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Age } \\ \text { + } \\ \text { (1) } \\ \text { (1) }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 200,000 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 720 | 15,000 |  |  |  | 16 |
| 16 | 184,280 | ... | ... | ... | 682 | 16,438 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 17 |
| 17 | 167,160 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ${ }^{635}$ | 15,212 |  |  | $\ldots$ | 18 |
| 18 | 151,313 | ... | ... | ... | 590 | 12,680 | ... | ... | ... | 19 |
| 19 | 138,043 | ... | ... | ... | 552 | 10,547 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 20 |
| 20 | 126,944 | 317 | 159 | 126,785 | 520 | 8,850 |  | 11 | 306 | 21 |
| 21 | 117,257 | 985 | 493 | 116,764 | 490 | 7,356 | 2 | 31 | 952 | 22 |
| 22 | 108,426 | 1,605 | 802 | 107,624 | 462 | 6,145 | 4 | 46 | 1,555 | 23 |
| 23 | 100,214 | 2,175 | 1,088 | 99,126 | 436 | 5,134 | 5 | 56 | 2,114 | 24 |
| 24 | 92,469 | 2,728 | 1,364 | 91,105 | 410 | 4,299 | 6 | 64 | 2,658 | 25 |
| 25 | 85,032 | 3,240 | 1,620 | 83,412 | 384 | 3,595 | 8 | 70 | 3,162 | 26 |
| 26 | 77,813 | 3,564 | 1,782 | 76,031 | 365 | 3,011 | 9 | 70 | 3,485 | 27 |
| ${ }^{27}$ | 70,873 | 3,714 | 1,857 | 69,016 | 338 | 2,512 | 9 | 68 | 3,637 | ${ }_{29}^{28}$ |
| 28 | 64,309 | 3,730 | 1,865 | 62,444 | 312 | 2,092 | 9 | 63 | 3,658 | 29 |
| 29 | 58,175 | 3,648 | 1,824 | 56,351 | 293 | 1,740 | 10 | 56 | 3,582 | 30 |
| 30 | 52,494 | 3,491 | 1,745 | 50,749 | 274 | 1,447 | 9 | 50 | 3,432 | 31 |
| 31 | 47,282 | 3,277 | 1,638 | 45,644 | 260 | 1,205 | 9 | ${ }_{37}^{43}$ | 3,225 | ${ }_{32}^{32}$ |
| 32 | 42,540 | 3,025 | 1,512 | 41,028 | 242 | 997 | 9 | ${ }^{37}$ | $\stackrel{2,979}{2,735}$ | ${ }_{3} 3$ |
|  | 38,276 | 2,775 | 1,388 | 36,888 | 225 | 833 | 9 | 31 | 2,735 | 34 |
| 34 | 34,443 | 2,401 | 1,200 | 33,243 | 213 | 695 | 8 | 25 | 2,368 | 35 |
| 35 | 31,134 | 2,080 | 1,040 | 30,094 | 201 | 587 | 7 | 20 | 2,053 | 36 |
| 36 | 28,266 | 1,795 | ${ }^{897}$ | ${ }^{27} 7,369$ | 189 | 493 | ${ }_{6}$ | 16 | 1,773 | ${ }^{37}$ |
| 37 | 25,789 | 1,553 | 776 | 25,013 | 185 | 415 | 6 | 13 | 1,534 | 38 |
|  | 23,636 | 1,344 | 672 | 22,964 | 179 | 354 | 5 | 10 | 1,329 | 39 |
| 39 | 21,759 | 1,168 | 584 | 21,175 | 172 | 303 | 5 | 8 | 1,155 | 40 |
| 40 | 20,116 | 1,016 | 508 | 19,608 | 170 | 259 | 4 | 7 | 1,005 | 41 |
| 41 | 18,671 | 887 | 444 | 18,227 | 164 | 221 | 4 | 5 | ${ }_{768}^{878}$ | 42 |
| 42 | 17,399 | 774 | 387 | 17,012 | 167 | 190 | 4 | 4 | 766 | 43 |
| 43 | 16,268 | 678 | 339 | 15,929 | 163 | 161 | 4 | 3 | 671 | 44 |
| 44 | 15,266 | 594 | 297 | 14,969 | 165 | 137 | 3 | 3 | 588 | 45 |
| 45 | 14,370 | 520 | 260 | 14,110 | 167 | 115 | 3 | 2 | 515 | 46 |
| 46 | 13,568 | 456 | 228 | 13,340 | 168 | 96 | 3 | 2 | ${ }^{451}$ | 47 |
| 47 | 12,848 | 401 | 200 | 12,648 | 168 | 78 | 3 | 1 | 397 | 48 |
| 48 | 12,201 | ${ }^{350}$ | 175 | 12,026 | 173 | 64 | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{346}$ | 49 |
| 49 | 11,614 | 305 | 153 | 11,461 | 179 | 49 | 2 | 1 | 302 | 50 |
| 50 | 11,081 | 267 | 134 | 10,947 | 181 | 36 | 2 |  | 265 | 51 |
| 51 | 10,597 | 233 | 117 | 10,480 | 184 | 25 | 2 | ... | 231 | 52 |
| 52 | 10,155 | 202 | 101 | 10,054 | 188 | 14 | 2 | ... | 200 | 53 |
| 53 | 9,751 | 176 | 88 | 9,663 | 193 | 5 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ... | 174 | 54 |
| 54 | 9,377 | 152 | 76 | 9,301 | 201 | ... | 2 | ... | 150 | 55 |
| 55 | 9,024 | 132 | 66 | 8,958 | 208 |  | 2 |  | 130 | 56 |
| 56 | 8,684 | 116 | 58 | 8,626 | 219 | $\ldots$ | 2 | ... | 114 | 57 |
| 57 <br> 58 | 8,349 | ${ }_{90}^{101}$ | 51 | 8,298 | ${ }_{226}^{226}$ | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\cdots$ | 100 89 | 58 59 59 |
| 5 | 7,696 | ${ }_{82}$ | 41 | 7,655 | ${ }_{243}$ | $\ldots$ | 1 | ... | 81 | ${ }_{60}$ |

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans.

Table 57.-The Bachelors' Table-continued.
Showing out of 200,000 Bachelors at age 15, the numbers living and dying at each age as Bachelors, the number who narry before the next age, and the number who pass out of observation at the next age as husbands, after allowing for withdrawals.

| Age <br> $\sim$ <br> (1) <br>  | B $l_{x}$ (2) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} h l_{x} \\ = \\ \mathrm{B} l_{x} \times m_{x} \end{gathered}$ <br> (3) | $\left\|\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B} h l_{x}\right\|$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} l_{x} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~B} h l_{x} \\ \mathbf{( 5 )} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{B} d_{x} \\ =\left(\mathbf{B} l_{x}\right. \\ \left.-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~B} h l_{x}\right) q_{x} \end{gathered}$ <br> (6) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{B} v_{x} \\ =\left(\mathbf{B} l_{x}\right. \\ \left.-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~B} h l_{x}\right)^{w} q_{x} \end{array}\right\|$ <br> (7) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} h d_{x} \\ = \\ \frac{d_{2}}{} \mathrm{~B} l_{x} \times q_{x} \\ \text { (8) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} h w_{x} \\ = \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~B} h l_{x} \times{ }_{w} q_{x} \end{gathered}$ <br> (9) | $\begin{gathered} H l_{x+1} \\ =\mathrm{B} h l_{x} \\ -\mathrm{B} h d_{x}-\mathrm{B} h v_{x} \end{gathered}$ <br> (10) | (11) $\begin{gathered}\text { Age } \\ x+1 \\ \text { (11) }\end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 7,371 | 75 | 38 | 7,333 | 254 | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | 74 | 61 |
| 61 | 7,042 | 69 | 35 | 7,007 | 260 | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | 68 | 62 |
| 62 | 6,713 | 63 | 32 | 6,681 | 268 | $\ldots$ | 1 | ... | 62 | 63 |
| 63 | 6,382 | 57 | 29 | 6,353 | 274 | ... | 1 | ... | 56 | 64 |
| 64 | 6,051 | 52 | 26 | 6,025 | 273 | ... | 1 | ... | 51 | 65 |
| 65 | 5,726 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 270 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 66 |
| 66 | 5,456 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 270 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 67 |
| 67 | 5,186 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 277 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 68 |
| 68 | 4,909 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 282 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 69 |
| 69 | 4,627 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 289 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 70 |
| 70 | 4,338 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 292 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 71 |
| 71 | 4,046 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 294 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 72 |
| 72 | 3,752 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 295 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 73 |
| 73 | 3,457 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 293 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 74 |
| 74 | 3,164 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 290 | $\ldots$ | ... | .. | ... | 75 |
| 75 | 2,874 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 284 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | 76 |
| 76 | 2,590 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 275 | ..- | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 77 |
| 75 | 2,315 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 265 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 78 |
| 78 | 2,050 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |  | 253 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 79 |
| 79 | 1,797 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 237 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 80 |
| 80 | 1,560 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 222 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 81 |
| 81 | 1,338 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 203 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 82 |
| 82 | 1,135 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 184 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | .. | 83 |
| 83 | 951 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 165 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 84 |
| 84 | 786 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 146 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 85 |
| 85 | 640 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 126 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | 86 |
| 86 | 514 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 108 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 87 |
| 87 | 406 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 90 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 88 |
| 88 | 316 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 75 | ... | $\ldots$ |  | ... | 89 |
| 89 | 241 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 60 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 90 |
| 90 | 181 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 48 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 91 |
| 91 | 133 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 37 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 92 |
| 92 | 96 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 28 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 93 |
| 93 | 68 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 21 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 94 |
| 94 | 47 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 15 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 95 |
| 95 | 32 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 11 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 96 |
| 96 | 21 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 97 |
| 97 | 13 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 5 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 98 |
| 98 | 8 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | ... | 99 |
| 99 | 5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 2 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | 100 |
| 100 | 3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 101 |
| 101 | 2 | ... | ... | ... | 1 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 102 |
| 102 | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 103 |
| 103 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 104 |
| $\underline{104}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | ... | 1105 |

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans.

Table 58.-The Husbands' Table.
Showing, out of 100,000 Husbands at the age of 20 , the numbers living at each age as Husbands and Widowers, and the numbers dying at each age as Husbands and Widowers. Also, out of a certain number of Husbands at each age, the number who become Widowers within a year, after allowing for withdrawals.

| Age $\boldsymbol{x}$ <br> (1) | $H l_{x}$ (2) | $\left.\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} z: l_{x} \\ = \\ \mathrm{H} 7_{x} \times\left(p_{x} q_{y}\right. \\ \left.+\frac{1}{2} q_{x} q_{y}\right) \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ <br> (3) | $\mathrm{K} l_{x}$ (4) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} d_{x} \\ = & \mathrm{H} l_{x}\left(q_{x}\right. \\ - & \left.\frac{1}{2} q_{x} q_{y}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{H} k d_{x} \\ & =\mathbf{H} l_{x} \\ & \times \frac{1}{2} q_{x} q_{y} \end{aligned}$ <br> (6) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} d_{x} \\ &=\mathrm{K} l_{x} \\ & \times q_{x} \end{aligned}$ <br> (7) | $\begin{gathered} H w_{x} \\ =\left(H l_{x}\right. \\ \left.-\frac{1}{2} H / c l_{x}\right) \cdot{ }^{2 v} q_{x} \end{gathered}$ <br> (8) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} k w_{x} \\ = \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H} k l_{x} \times{ }^{v} q_{x} \end{gathered}$ <br> (9) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} w_{x} \\ = \\ \mathbf{K}{l_{x}}^{=}{ }^{\boldsymbol{w}} q_{x} \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | Age $\begin{gathered}\text { A } \\ \boldsymbol{r} \\ \text { (11) }\end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100,000 | 1,237 | .... | 408 | 2 | $\ldots$ | 6,937 | 43 |  | 20 |
| 21 | 91,418 | 1,123 | 1,192 | 381 | 3 | 5 | 5,724 | 35 | 75 | 21. |
| 22 | 84,190 | 1,017 | 2,197 | 360 | 2 | 9 | 4,778 | 29 | 126 | 29 |
| 23 | 78,035 | 927 | 3,048 | 342 | 2 | 13 | 4,018 | 24 | 158 | 23 |
| 24 | 72,748 | 857 | 3,778 | 325 | 2 | 17 | 3,414 | 20 | 178 | 24. |
| 25 | 68,152 | 782 | 4,418 | 312 | 2 | 20 | 2,921 | 17 | 190 | 25 |
| 26 | 64,137 | 710 | 4,971 | 306 | 2 | 24 | 2,525 | 14 | 197 | 26 |
| 27 | 60,596 | 647 | 5,444 | 295 | 2 | 26 | 2,194 | 12 | 198 | 27 |
| 28 | 57,460 | 590 | 5,853 | 286 | 2 | 29 | 1,915 | 10 | 196 | 28 |
| 29 | 54,669 | 545 | 6,206 | 283 | 2 | 32 | 1,681 | 8 | 192 | 29 |
| 30 | 52,160 | 505 | 6,517 | 280 | 1 | 35 | 1,480 | 7 | 186 | 30 |
| 31 | 49,895 | 473 | 6,793 | 283 | 1 | 39 | 1,312 | 6 | 179 | 31 |
| 32 | 47,827 | 444 | 7,041 | 281 | 1 | 42 | 1,157 | 5 | 171 | 32 |
| 33 | 45,945 | 413 | 7,266 | 279 | 1 | 45 | 1,034 | 5 | 164 | 33. |
| 34 | 44,219 | 392 | 7,464 | 282 | 1 | 48 | 920 | 4 | 156 | 34 |
| 35 | 42,625 | 370 | 7,647 | 284 | 1 | 51 | 828 | 4 | 149 | 35 |
| 36 | 41,143 | 349 | 7,812 | 283 | 1 | 54 | 737 | 3 | 141 | 36 |
| 37 | 39,774 | 333 | 7,962 | 293 | 1 | 59 | 657 | 3 | 132 | 37 |
| 38 | 38,491 | 318 | 8,100 | 299 | 1 | 63 | 590 | 3 | 125 | 38 |
| 39 | 37,284 | 305 | 8,226 | 301 | 1 | 67 | 531 | 2 | 117 | 39 |
| 40 | 36,147 | 295 | 8,344 | 313 | 1 | 73 | 475 | 2 | 111 | 40 |
| 41 | 35,064 | 283 | 8,452 | 314 | 1 | 76 | 423 | 2 | 102 | 41 |
| 42 | 34,044 | 271 | 8,554. | 332 | 1 | 84 | 380 | 2 | 96 | 42 |
| 43 | 33,061 | 263 | 8,642 | 336 | 1 | 88 | 333 | 1 | 88 | 43 |
| 44 | 32,129 | 252 | 8,727 | 352 | 1 | 96 | 295 | 1 | 80 | 44 |
| 45 | 31,230 | 245 | 8,801 | 367 | 1 | 104 | 255 | 1 | 72 | 45 |
| 46 | 30,363 | 238 | 8,868 | 381 | 2 | 112 | 217 | 1 | 63 | 46 |
| 47 | 29,527 | 229 | 8,928 | 391 | 2 | 119 | 182 | 1 | 55 | 47 |
| 48 | 28,725 | 222 | 8,980 | 412 | 2 | 129 | 152 | 1 | 47 | 48 |
| 49 | 27,939 | 216 | 9,023 | 434 | 2 | 140 | 120 | 1 | 38 | 49 |
| 50 | 27,169 | 208 | 9,058 | 446 | 2 | 150 | 89 | $\ldots$ | 30 | 50 |
| 51 | 26,426 | 202 | 9,084 | 461 | 2 | 159 | 63 | $\ldots$ | 22 | 51 |
| 52 | 25,700 | 196 | 9,103 | 479 | 2 | 170 | 35 | $\ldots$ | 13 | 52 |
| 53 | 24,990 | 193 | 9,114 | 498 | 2 | 182 | 13 | ... | 5 | 53 |
| 54 | 24,286 | 187 | 9,118 | 522 | 2 | 197 | ... | ... | ... | 54 |
| 55 | 23,577 | 184 | 9,106 | 547 | 2 | 213 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 55 |
| 56 | 22,846 | 183 | 9,075 | 578 | 2 | 230 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 56 |
| 57 | 22,085 | 179 | 9,026 | 601 | 3 | 246 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 57 |
| 58 | 21,305 | 176 | 8,956 | 627 | 3 | 265 | $\ldots$ | ** | $\ldots$ | 58 |
| 59 | 20,502 | 174, | 8,864 | 649 | 3 | 282 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 59 |

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans.

Table 58-(continued).-The Husbands' Table.
Showing, out of 100,000 Husbands at the age of 20, the numbers living at each age as Husbands and Widowers, and the numbers dying at each age as Husbands and Widowers. Also, out of a certain number of Husbands at each age, the mumber who become Widowers within a year, after allowing for withdrawals.

| Age $\boldsymbol{x}$ <br> (1) | $\mathrm{H} l_{x}$ <br> (2) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gather*} \mathrm{H} k l_{x} \\ = \\ H l_{x} \times\left(p_{x} q_{y}\right. \\ \left.+\frac{1}{2} q_{x} q_{y}\right) \\ (3) \end{gather*}\right.$ | $\mathrm{K} l_{x}$ (4) | $\begin{aligned} & H d_{x} \\ = & H l_{x}\left(q_{x}\right. \\ - & \left.\frac{1}{2} q_{x} q_{y}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> (5) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{H} k d_{x} \\ =\mathbf{H} l_{x} \\ \times \frac{1}{2} q_{x} q_{y} \end{gathered}$ <br> (6) | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{K} d_{x} \\ =\mathbf{K} l_{x} \\ \times q_{x} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} H w_{x} \\ =\left(H l_{x}\right. \\ \left.-\frac{1}{2} H R l_{x}\right) \cdot{ }^{w} q_{x} \end{gathered}$ <br> (8) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / k v_{x} \\ = \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H} / l_{x} \times{ }^{w} q_{x} \end{gathered}$ <br> (9) | $\mathbf{K} w_{x}$ $=$ $\mathbf{K} l_{x} \times{ }^{w} q_{x}$ $(10)$ | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Age }}{ }_{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 19,679 | 174 | 8,753 | 677 | 3 | 303 |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 60 |
| 61. | 18,828 | 174 | 8,621 | 697 | 3 | 321 |  | ... |  | 61 |
| 62 | 17,957 | 176 | 8,471 | 717 | 3 | 340 | $\ldots$ | ... |  | 62 |
| 63 | 17,064 | 177 | 8,304 | 731 | 4 | 358 | ... | ... |  | 63 |
| 64 | 16,156 | 178 | 8,119 | 728 | 4 | 367 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 64 |
| 65 | 15,250 | 180 | 7,926 | 714 | 4 | 374 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 65 |
| 66 | 14,356 | 183 | 7,728 | 705 | 5 | 382 | $\ldots$ |  |  | 66 |
| 67 | 13,468 | 186 | 7,524 | 714 | 5 | 402 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 67 |
| 68 | 12,568 | 188 | 7,303 | 718 | 6 | 421 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 68 |
| 69 | 11,662 | 189 | 7,064 | 720 | 6 | 440 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 69 |
| 70 | 10,753 | 187 | 6,807 | 717 | 6 | 458 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 70 |
| 71 | 9,849 | 185 | 6,530 | 709 | 7 | 475 | ... | $\ldots$ |  | 71 |
| 72 | 8,955 | 182 | 6,233 | 696 | 7 | 490 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 72 |
| 73 | 8,077 | 176 | 5,918 | 677 | 8 | 502 | ... | $\cdots$ |  | 73 |
| 74 | 7,224, | 172 | 5,584 | 654 | 8 | 511 | $\cdots$ | ... |  | 74 |
| 75 | 6,398 | 165 | 5,237 | 624 | 8 | 517 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | 75 |
| 76 | 5,607 | 159 | 4,877 | 588 | 9 | 519 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 76 |
| 77 | 4,862 | 152 | 4,508 | 548 | 9 | 516 | ... | $\cdots$ |  | 77 |
| 78 | 4,162 | 143 | 4,135 | 504 | 9 | 509 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 78 |
| 79 | 3,515 | 134 | 3,760 | 455 | 10 | 497 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |  | 79 |
| 80 | 2,926 | 123 | 3,387 | 406 | 10 | 480 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 80 |
| 81 | 2,397 | 112 | 3,020 | 355 | 9 | 459 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 81 |
| 82 | 1,930 | 100 | 2,664 | 305 | 9 | 432 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 82 |
| 83 | 1,525 | 87 | 2,323 | 257 | 8 | 403 | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | 83 |
| 84 | 1,181 | 75 | 1,999 | 211 | 8 | 370 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |  | 84 |
| 85 | 895 | 63 | 1,696 | 169 | 7 | 334 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 85 |
| 86 | 663 | 51 | 1,418 | 133 | 6 | 298 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 86 |
| 87 | 479 | 41 | 1,165 | 102 | 5 | 259 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 87 |
| 88 | 336 | 31 | 942 | 75 | 4 | 222 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 88 |
| 89 | 230 | 23 | 747 | 54 | 3 | 187 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 89 |
| 90 | 153 | 17 | 580 | 38 | 3 | 153 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . | 90 |
| 91 | 98 | 12 | 441 | 25 | 2 | 123 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 91 |
| 92 | 61 | 8 | 328 | 17 | 1 | 97 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 92 |
| 93 | 36 | 5 | 238 | 10 | 1 | 73 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 98 |
| 94. | 21 | 3 | 169 | 6 | 1 | 55 | .. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 94 |
| 95 | 12 | 2 | 116 | 4 | ... | 40 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 95 |
| 96 | 6 | 1 | 78 | 2 | $\ldots$ | 28 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 96 |
| 97 | 3 | ... | 51 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 19 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 97 |
| 98 | 2 | ... | 32 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 12 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 98 |
| 99 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 20 | 1 | +. | 8 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 99 |
| 100 | $\cdots$ | ... | 12 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 100 |
| 101 | ... | $\ldots$ | 7 | ... | ... | 3 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 101 |
| 102 | ... | ... | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2 | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | 102 |
| 103 | ... | ... | 2 | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 | ** | - | $\cdots$ | 103 |
| 104 | *- | ... | 1 | ..* | $\cdots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 104 |
| 105 | ... | $\cdots$ | .. | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 1 ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 105 |

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans.

Table 59.-The Widowers' Table.
Showing, out of 100,000 Widowers at age 20 , the numbers living and dying at each age as Widowers, the number who re-marry before the next age, and the number who pass out of observation at the next age as Second Hushands, after allowing for withdrawals.

| Age $x$ <br> (1) | $K / l_{x}$ (2) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} h l_{x} \\ = \\ \mathrm{K} l_{x} \times \mathrm{m}_{x}^{2} \end{gathered}$ <br> (3) | ${ }_{\frac{1}{2}} K h l_{x}$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} l_{x} \\ -\frac{3}{2} K h l_{x} \\ \text { (5) } \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c\|} \kappa\left(d_{x}\right. \\ =\left(\mathbb{K} l_{x}\right. \\ \left.-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{K} h l_{x}\right) q_{x} \end{array}\right\}$ <br> (6) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{K} w_{x} \\ =\left(\mathbf{K} l_{x}\right. \\ \left.-\frac{1}{2} \kappa h l_{x}\right)^{w_{q}} q_{x} \end{array}\right\|$ <br> (7) | $\begin{array}{c\|} \mathrm{K} h d_{x} \\ =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K} h \bar{l}_{x} \times q_{x} \end{array}$ <br> (8) | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{K} h w_{x} \\ = \\ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{K} h l_{x} \times{ }^{w} \boldsymbol{q}_{x} \\ \boldsymbol{( 9 )} \end{gathered}$ | $H^{2} l_{x+1}$ (10) | Age $\boldsymbol{x + 1}$ (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 | 410 | 6,980 |  |  |  | 21 |
| 21. | 92,610 | 9,261 | 4,631 | 87,979 | 370 | 5,542 | 20 | 292 | 8,949 | 22 |
| 22 | 77,437 | 7,744 | 3,872 | 73,565 | 316 | 4,201 | 17 | 221 | 7,506 | 23 |
| 23 | 65,176 | 7,821 | 3,911 | 61,265 | 270 | 3,174 | 17 | 203 | 7,601 | 24 |
| 24 | 53,911 | 8,087 | 4,044 | 49,867 | 224 | 2,354 | 18 | 191 | 7,878 | 25 |
| 25 | 43,246 | 7,784 | 3,892 | 39,354 | 181 | 1,696 | 18 | 168 | 7,598 | 26 |
| 26 | 33,585 | 6,717 | 3,359 | 30,226 | 145 | 1,197 | 16 | 133 | 6,568 | 27 |
| 27 | 25,526 | 5,361 | 2,680 | 22,846 | 112 | 831 | 13 | 98 | 5,250 | 28 |
| 28 | 19,222 | 3,844 | 1,922 | 17,300 | 87 | 579 | 10 | 64 | 3,770 | 29 |
| 29 | 14,712 | 2,796 | 1,398 | 13,314 | 69 | 411 | 7 | 43 | 2,746 | 30 |
| 30 | 11,436 | 2,059 | 1,029 | 10,407 | 56 | 296 | 6 | 29 | 2,024 | 31 |
| 31 | 9,025 | 1,535 | 767 | 8,258 | 47 | 218 | 4 | 20 | 1,511 | 32 |
| 32 | 7,225 | 1,156 | 578 | 6,647 | 39 | 161 | 3 | 14 | 1,139 | 33 |
| 33 | 5,869 | 880 | 440 | 5,429 | 33 | 123 | 3 | 10 | 867 | 34 |
| 34 | 4,833 | 677 | 338 | 4,495 | 29 | 94 | 2 | 7 | 668 | 35 |
| 35 | 4,033 | 524 | 262 | 3,771 | 25 | 74 | 2 | 5 | 517 | 36 |
| 36 | 3,410 | 409 | 205 | 3,205 | 22 | 58 | 1 | 4 | 404 | 37 |
| 37 | 2,921 | 321 | 161 | 2,760 | 20 | 47 | 1 | 3 | 317 | 38 |
| 38 | 2,533 | 253 | 127 | 2,406 | 19 | 37 | 1 | 2 | 250 | 39 |
| 39 | 2,224 | 200 | 100 | 2,124 | 17 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 198 | 40 |
| 40 | 1,977 | 158 | 79 | 1,898 | 17 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 156 | 41 |
| 41 | 1,777 | 124 | 62 | 1,715 | 16 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 122 | 42 |
| 42 | 1,616 | 97 | 49 | 1,567 | 15 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 95 | 43 |
| 43 | 1,486 | 74 | 37 | 1,449 | 15 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 72 | 44 |
| 44 | 1,383 | 69 | 35 | 1,348 | 15 | 13 | 1 | ... | 68 | 45 |
| 45 | 1,286 | 64 | 32 | 1,254 | 15 | 10 | ... | ... | 64 | 46 |
| 46 | 1,197 | 48 | 24 | 1,173 | 15 | 8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 48 | 47 |
| 47 | 1,126 | 45 | 23 | 1,103 | 15 | 7 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 45 | 48 |
| 48 | 1,059 | 42 | 21 | 1,038 | 15 | 6 | ... | ... | 42 | 49 |
| 49 | 996 | 40 | 20 | 976 | 15 | 4 | ... | ... | 40 | 50 |
| 50 | 937 | 37 | 19 | 918 | 15 | 3 |  | $\ldots$ | 37 | 51 |
| 51 | 882 | 36 | 18 | 864 | 15 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 36 | 52 |
| 52 | 829 | 33 | 17 | 812 | 15 | 1 | $\ldots$ | ... | 33 | 53 |
| 53 | 780 | 31 | 16 | 764 | 15 | 1 |  | ... | 31 | 54 |
| 54 | 733 | 29 | 15 | 718 | 16 | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | 29 | 55 |
| 55 | 688 | 27 | 14 | 674 | 16 |  |  |  | 27 | 56 |
| 56 | 645 | 26 | 13 | 632 | 16 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 26 | 57 |
| 57 | 603 | 24 | 12 | 591 | 16 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 24 | 58 |
| 58 | 563 | 23 | 12 | 551 | 16 | $\ldots$ | .. | $\ldots$ | 23 | 59 |
| 59 | 524 | 21 | 10 | 514 | 16 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 21 | 60 |

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Ophans.

Table 59.-The Widowers' Table-(continued).
Showing, out of 100,000 Widowers at age 20 , the numbers living and dying at each age as Widowers, the number who re-marry before the next age, and the mumber who pass out of observation at the next age as Second Husbands, after allowing for withdsawals.

| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ x \\ (1) \end{gathered}\right.$ | k $l_{x}$ ( (2) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} h l_{x} \\ = \\ \mathbf{k} l_{x} \times m_{x}^{2} \\ \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} l_{x} \\ -\frac{1}{2} K h l_{x} \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} d_{x} \\ =\left(\mathrm{K} l_{x}\right. \\ \left.-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{K} h l_{x}\right) q_{x} \end{gathered}\right.$ <br> (6) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{K} w_{x} \\ =\left(\mathrm{K} l_{x}\right. \\ \left.-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K} \bar{h} l_{x}\right) v^{\prime} q_{x} \\ (\mathrm{f}) \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} h w_{x} \\ = \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K} h l_{x} \times{ }^{*} q_{x} \end{gathered}$ <br> (9) | $H^{2} l_{x+}$ | Age $x+1$ (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 487 | 19 | 10 | 477 | 17 |  | ... | ... | 19 | 61 |
| 61 | 451 | 13 | 7 | 444 | 17 | ... | $\ldots$ |  | 13 | 62 |
| 62 | 421 | 13 | 7 | 414 | 17 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 13 | 63 |
| 63 | 391 | 11 | 6 | 385 | 17 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 11 | 64 |
| 64 | 363 | 11 | 5 | 358 | 16 | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | 11 | 65 |
| 65 | 336 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 16 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 66 |
| 66 | 320 | ... | .. | ... | 16 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 67 |
| 67 | 304 | ... | $\ldots$ | . | 16 | $\ldots$ | ... |  | $\ldots$ | 68 |
| 68 | 288 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 17 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 69 |
| 69 | 271 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 17 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 70 |
| 70 | 254 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 17 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 71 |
| 71 | 237 | ... | ... | ... | 17 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 72 |
| 72 | 220 | ... | ... | ... | 17 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | . | 73 |
| 73 | 203 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 17 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 74 |
| 74. | 186 | ... | ... | ... | 17 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 75 |
| 75 | 169 | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | 17 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . | 76 |
| 76 | 152 | ... | ... | ... | 16 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 77 |
| 77 | 136 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 16 | ... |  |  | $\ldots$ | 78 |
| 78 | 120 | ... |  | ... | 15 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 79 |
| 79 | 105 | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | 14 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 80 |
| 80 | 91 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 13 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 81 |
| 81 | 78 | ... | ... | ... | 12 | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | 82 |
| 82 | 66 | ... | ... | ... | 11 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 83 |
| 83 | 55 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 10 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 84 |
| 84 | 45 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 8 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 85 |
| 85 | 37 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 7 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 86 |
| 86 | 30 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 87 |
| 87 | 24 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 5 | .. | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 88 |
| 88 | 19 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 89 |
| 89 | 14 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | , | 90 |
| 90 | 10 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 91 |
| 91 | 7 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 2 | $\ldots$ | ... |  | $\ldots$ | 92 |
| 92 | 5 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 2 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 93 |
| 93 | 3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 94 |
| 94 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 95 |
| 95 | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 96 |
| 96 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | 97 |
| 97 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 98 |
| 98 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | 100 |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 100 | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 101 |

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans.

Table 60.
Showing, out of 200,000 persons of the age of 15 , the number living and remaining on the Staff at each age; and the numbers who die at each age as Bachelors and as Husbands and Widowers of first marriages only, of second marriages only, of third marriages only, and of fouth marriages only, after allowing for withdrawals.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & (x) \\ & (1) \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} l_{x} \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} d_{x} \\ \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $H d_{x}$ <br> (4) | $\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{d}_{x}$ <br> (5) | ${ }^{2} d_{x}$ <br> (6) | $\mathbf{K}^{2} d_{x}$ <br> ( 7$)$ | $\mathbf{H}^{3} d_{x}$ <br> (8) | $\mathbf{K}^{3} d_{x}$ <br> (9) | $H^{4} d_{x}$ (10) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & (x) \\ & (11) \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 200,000 | 720 |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 15 |
| 16 | 184,280 | 682 | $\ldots$ |  | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... |  | 16 |
| 17 | 167,160 | 635 | .. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 17 |
| 18 | 151,313 | 590 | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 18 |
| 19 | 138,043 | 552 | ... |  | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 19 |
| 20 | 126,944 | 520 | 1 |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 20 |
| 21 | 117,566 | 490 |  |  |  | ... | ... | ... |  | 21 |
| 22 | 109,665 | 462 | 10 |  |  | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 22 |
| 23 | 102,932 | 436 | 17 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 23 |
| 24 | 97,147 | 410 | 27 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |  | 24 |
| 25 | 92,126 | 384 | 40 |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |  | 25 |
| 26 | 87,730 | 365 | 55 | 1 | $\cdots$ | ... |  | ... |  | 26 |
| 27 | 83,836 | 338 | 71 | 2 | . | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 27 |
| 28 | 80,373 | 312 | 87 | 2 | 1 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 28 |
| 29 | 77,279 | 293 | 106 | 2 | 1 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 29 |
| 30 | 74,489 | 274 | 124 | 3 | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 30 |
| 31 | 71,963 | 260 | 144 | 4 | 2 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ |  | 31 |
| 32 | 69,653 | 242 | 162 | 4 | 3 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 32 |
| 33 | 67,549 | 225 | 179 | 5 | 3 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 33 |
| 34 | 65,610 | 213 | 197 | 6 | 4 | $\ldots$ | .. | $\cdots$ | ... | 34 |
| 35 | 63,819 | 201 | 214 | 8 | 5 |  | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 35 |
| 36 | 62,147 | 189 | 226 | 8 | 6 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 36 |
| 37 | 60,600 | 185 | 247 | 9 | 7 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | ... | 37 |
| 38 | 59,145 | 179 | 263 | 11 | 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 38 |
| 39 | 57,774 | 172 | 275 | 12 |  | ... |  | ... |  | 39 |
| 40 | 56,479 | 170 | 295 | 14 | 11 | 1 | $\ldots$ |  |  | 40 |
| 41 | 55,242 | 164 | 304 | 16 | 12 | 1 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 41 |
| 42 | 54,077 | 167 | 330 | 18 | 14 | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 42 |
| 43 | 52,941 | 163 | 342 | 20 | 15 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 43 |
| 44 | 51,866 | 165 | 364 | 23 | 18 | 1 |  | ... | ... | 44 |
| 45 | 50,818 | 167 | 387 | 26 | 18 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 45 |
| 46 | 49,802 | 168 | 408 | 30 | 20 | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 46 |
| 47 | 48,817 | 168 | 424 | 33 | 22 | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | ... | 47 |
| 48 | 47,865 | 173 | 453 | 37 | 24 | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | ... | 48 |
| 49 | 46,922 | 179 | 482 | 41 | 27 | 2 | 1 | $\ldots$ |  | 49 |
| 50 | 45,989 | 181 | 500 | 45 | 30 | 2 | 1 | $\ldots$ |  | 50 |
| 51 | 45,079 | 184 | 521 | 49 | 32 | 2 | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 51 |
| 52 | 44,181 | 188 | 546 | 53 | 36 | 2 | 1 | ... | ... | 52 |
| 53 | 43,293 | 193 | 571 | 57 | 40 | 3 | 1 | ... | $\ldots$ | 53 |
| 54 | 42,405 | 201 | 602 | 65 | 43 | 3 | 1 |  | $\ldots$ | 54 |
| 55 | 41,490 | 208 | 635 | 70 | 48 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 55 |
| 56 | 40,523 | 219 | 674 | 76 | 54 | 4 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 56 |
| 57 | 39,494 | 226 | 704 | 82 | 59 | 5 | 2 | $\cdots$ | ... | 57 |
| 58 | 38,416 | 236 | 738 | 89 | 67 | 5 | 2 | ... | ... | 58 |
| 59 | 37,279 | 243 | 766 | 95 | 72 | 6 | 3 | .. | ... | 59 |

# Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans. 

Table 60-(continued).
Showing, out of 200,000 persons of the age of 15, the number living and remaining on the Staff at each age; and the numbers who die at each age as Bachelors, and as Husbands and Widowers of first marriages only, of second marriages only, of third marriages only, and of fourth marriages only, after allowing for withdrawals.

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Age } \\ (x) \\ (1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} l_{x} \\ \text { (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{B} d_{x}$ <br> (3) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{H} d_{x} \\ \text { (t) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{K} d_{x}$ <br> (5) | $\mathbf{H}^{2} d_{x}$ <br> (6) | $\mathbf{K}^{2} d_{x}$ <br> (7) | $\boldsymbol{H}^{3} d_{x}$ <br> (8) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathbf{K}^{\mathfrak{3}} d_{x} \\ { }^{9} 9 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Agf } \\ (x) \\ (11) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 36,094 | 254 | 800 | 107 | 78 | 6 | 3 | 1 |  | 60 |
| 61 | 34,845 | 260 | 826 | 114 | 85 | 7 | 3 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 61 |
| 62 | 33,549 | 268 | 853 | 122 | 91 | 8 | 3 | ... | ... | 62 |
| 63 | 32,204 | 274 | 872 | 133 | 96 | 9 | 3 | 1 | ... | 63 |
| 64 | 30,816 | 273 | 872 | 136 | 100 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  | 64 |
| 65 | 29,420 | 270 | 855 | 144 | 102 | 10 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 65 |
| 66 | 28,035 | 270 | 844 | 156 | 101 | 12 | 4 |  |  | 66 |
| 67 | 26,648 | 277 | 856 | 168 | 103 | 13 | 4 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 67 |
| 68 | 25,226 | 282 | 860 | 191 | 102 | 15 | 4 | ... | $\cdots$ | 68 |
| 69 | 23,772 | 289 | 862 | 205 | 103 | 17 | 4 | $\ldots$ | ... | 69 |
| 70 | 22,292 | 292 | 859 | 220 | 103 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 70 |
| 71 | 20,792 | 294 | 850 | 237 | 102 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 71 |
| 72 | 19,281 | 295 | 835 | 254 | 100 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 72 |
| 73 | 17,766 | 293 | 812 | 272 | 97 | 26 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 73 |
| 74 | 16,259 | 290 | 783 | 290 | 93 | 28 | 3 | 2 | ... | 74 |
| 75 | 14,770 | 284 | 746 | 309 | 88 | 29 | 3 |  |  | 75 |
| 76 | 13,311 | 275 | 705 | 315 | 84 | 31 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 76 |
| 77 | 11,895 | 265 | 653 | 333 | 77 | 32 | 3 | ... |  | 77 |
| 78 | 10,532 | 253 | 603 | 335 | 71 | 33 | 2 |  | $\ldots$ | 78 |
| 79 | 9,235 | 237 | 545 | 337 | 65 | 34 | 2 | 1 |  | 79 |
| 80 | 8,014, | 222 | 486 | 334 | 58 | 35 | 2 |  |  | 80 |
| 81 | 6,877 | 203 | 425 | 329 | 51 | 34 | 2 | 1 | ... | 81 |
| 82 | 5,832 | 184 | 364 | 322 | 43 | 33 | 1 | $\ldots$ | ... | 82 |
| 83 | 4,885 | 165 | 305 | 310 | 35 | 32 | 1 | ... | ... | 83 |
| 84 | 4,037 | 146 | 253 | 288 | 29 | 30 | 1 | $\ldots$ |  | 84 |
| 85 | 3,290 | 126 | 203 | 266 | 24 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 85 |
| 86 | 2,641 | 108 | 160 | 239 | 19 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 86 |
| 87 | 2,087 | 90 | 122 | 212 | 15 | 23 | 1 | 1 | ... | 87 |
| 88 | 1,623 | 75 | 90 | 185 | 11 | 21 | 1 | ... | ... | 88 |
| 89 | 1,240 | 60 | 65 | 157 | 8 | 20 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 89 |
| 90 | 930 | 48 | 46 | 129 | 6 | 17 |  |  |  | 90 |
| 91 | 684 | 37 | 30 | 106 | 4 | 13 | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | 91 |
| 92 | 493 | 28 | 20 | 84 | 2 | 11 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 92 |
| 93 | 348 | 21 | 12 | 65 | 1 | 8 | ... | ... |  | 93 |
| 94 | 241 | 15 | 7 | 50 | 1 | 6 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 94 |
| 95 | 162 | 11 | 5 | 33 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 95 |
| 96 | 107 | 8 | 3 | 24 | ... | 2 |  | 1 | $\ldots$ | 96 |
| 97 | 69 | 5 | 2 | 18 | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ |  | ... | 97 |
| 98 | 43 | 3 | 1 | 13 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |  | 98 |
| 99 | 26 | 2 |  | 8 |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 99 |
| 100 | 16 | 1 | .. | 3 | 1 | $\ldots$ |  | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| '101 | 9 | 1 | ... | 2 |  | $\ldots$ | 1 | ... | .. | 101 |
| 102 | 5 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 1 |  | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | 102 |
| 103 | 3 | ... | ... | 1 | I | ... | ... | .. | ... | 103 |
| 104 | 1 |  |  | ... | 1 | ... | ... | .. | .. | 104 |

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans.

Table 61.
Giving the numbers of Husbands and Widowers dying at each age of the first marriage only and of any number of marriages, and the present value per member (whether Bachelor, Husband or Widower) of an annuity of 1 to a Widow to commence at the death of a Husband.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ x \end{gathered}$ | First Marriages only |  | All <br> Marriages |  | $\frac{{ }^{w a} M_{r}}{\mathrm{D}_{x}}$ |  | $\Delta$ | Age$\boldsymbol{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{H} d_{x}$ | $\mathbf{K} d_{x}$ | $H d_{x}$ | $K d_{x}$ | First Mariages only | $\underset{\text { Marrages }}{\text { All }}$ |  |  |
| 20 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\cdot 793$ | -848 | $\cdot 05$ ¢ | 20 |
| 21 | 4 | ... | 4 | $\cdots$ | -891 | $\cdot 952$ | -061 | 21 |
| 22 | 10 | $\ldots$ | 10 | $\cdots$ | -992 | 1.060 | -068 | 22 |
| 23 | 17 | ... | 17 | $\cdots$ | 1.098 | $1 \cdot 173$ | $\cdot 075$ | 23 |
| 24 | 27 | ... | 27 |  | 1.206 | $1 \cdot 289$ | -083 | 24 |
| 25 | 40 |  | 40 |  | $1 \cdot 318$ | $1 \cdot 409$ | -091 | 25 |
| 26 | 55 | 1 | 55 | 1 | $1 \cdot 431$ | 1.530 | -099 | 26 |
| 27 | 71 | 2 | 71 | 2 | 1.545 | 1.653 | $\cdot 108$ | 27 |
| 28 | 87 | 3 | 88 | 2 | 1.660 | 1.777 | $\cdot 117$ | 28 |
| 29 | 106 | 3 | 107 | 2 | 1.774 | 1.901 | $\cdot 127$ | 29 |
| 30 | 124 | 4 | 125 | 3 | 1.889 | $2 \cdot 025$ | $\cdot 136$ | 30 |
| 31 | 144 | 6 | 146 | 4 | 2.002 | $2 \cdot 149$ | $\cdot 147$ | 31 |
| 32 | 162 | 7 | 165 | 4 | $2 \cdot 114$ | 2.271 | $\cdot 157$ | 32 |
| 33 | 179 | 8 | 182 | 5 | $2 \cdot 224$ | $2 \cdot 391$ | $\cdot 167$ | 33 |
| 34 | 197 | 10 | 201 | 6 | 2.332 | 2511 | $\cdot 179$ | 34 |
| 35 | 214 | 13 | 219 | 8 | 2.439 | 2-629 | -190 | 35 |
| 36 | 226 | 14 | 232 | 8 | 2.543 | 2.745 | $\cdot 202$ | 36 |
| 37 | 247 | 16 | 254 | 9 | 2647 | 2.860 | $\cdot 213$ | 37 |
| 38 | 263 | 19 | 271 | 11 | 2.747 | 2.972 | - 225 | 38 |
| 39 | 275 | 21 | 284 | 12 | 2.845 | $3 \cdot 082$ | $\cdot 237$ | 39 |
| 40 | 295 | 26 | 306 | 15 | 2.942 | $3 \cdot 191$ | $\cdot 249$ | 40 |
| 41 | 304 | 29 | 316 | 17 | $3 \cdot 036$ | $3 \cdot 297$ | $\cdot 261$ | 41 |
| 42 | 330 | 33 | 344 | 19 | $3 \cdot 128$ | $3 \cdot 402$ | -274 | 42 |
| 43 | 342 | 35 | 357 | 20 | $3 \cdot 216$ | 3:503 | $\cdot 287$ | 43 |
| 44 | 364 | 42 | 382 | $\because 4$ | 3•302 | $3 \cdot 602$ | $\cdot 300$ | 44 |
| 45 | 387 | 46 | 406 | 27 | 3.384 | $3 \cdot 695$ | $\cdot 311$ | 45 |
| 46 | 408 | 52 | 429 | 31 | $3 \cdot 460$ | 3785 | ${ }^{3} 325$ | 46 |
| 47 | 424 | 57 | 447 | 34 | 3.532 | $3 \cdot 869$ | $\cdot 337$ | 47 |
| 48 | 453 | 63 | 478 | 38 | $3 \cdot 600$ | 3.949 | -349 | 48 |
| 49 | 482 | 71 | 510 | 43 | $3 \cdot 662$ | 4.022 | -360 | 49 |
| 50 | 500 | 78 | 531 | 47 | 3.715 | $4 \cdot 088$ | -373 | 50 |
| 51 | 521 | 84 | 554 | 51 | 3763 | $4 \cdot 148$ | $\cdot 386$ | 51 |
| 52 | 546 | 92 | 583 | 55 | $3 \cdot 804$ | $4 \cdot 200$ | $\cdot 396$ | 52 |
| 53 | 571 | 101 | 612 | 60 | 38388 | $4 \cdot 245$ | $\cdot 407$ | 53 |
| 54 | 602 | 112 | 646 | 68 | $3 \cdot 865$ | $4 \cdot 282$ | $\cdot 417$ | 54 |
| 55 | 635 | 124 | 685 | 74 | 3.884 | $4 \cdot 311$ | $\cdot 427$ | 55 |
| 56 | 674 | 136 | 730 | 80 | $3 \cdot 897$ | 4333 | $\cdot 436$ | 56 |
| 57 | 704 | 148 | 765 | 87 | 3.902 | $4 \cdot 346$ | $\cdot 444$ | 57 |
| 58 | 738 | 163 | 807 | 94 | $3 \cdot 900$ | $4 \cdot 351$ | -451 | 58 |
| 59 | 766 | 176 | 84.1 | 101 | $3 \cdot 890$ | $4 \cdot 347$ | $\cdot 457$ | 59 |
| 60 | 800 | 195 | 881 | 114 | 3.874 | $4 \cdot 383$ | -459 | 60 |
| 61 | 826 | 210 | 914 | 122 | $3 \cdot 846$ | $4 \cdot 309$ | -463 | 61 |
| 62 | 853 | 224 | 947 | 130 | $3 \cdot 811$ | $4 \cdot 274$ | $\cdot 463$ | 62 |
| 63 | 872 | 242 | 971 | 143 | 3.765 | $4 \cdot 227$ | -462 | 63 |
| 64 | 872 | 251 | 976 | 147 | $3 \cdot 711$ | $4 \cdot 169$ | -458 | 64 |

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans.

Table 61-(continued).
Giving the numbers of Husbands and Widowers dying at each age of the first marriage only and of any number of marriages, and the present value per member (whether Bachelor, Husband or Widower) of an annuity of 1 to a Widow to commence at the death, of a Husband.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | First Marriages only |  | $\underset{\text { Marriages }}{\text { All }}$ |  | $\frac{{ }^{w a} \mathbf{M}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}$ |  | $\Delta$ | Age$x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{H} d_{x}$ | $k d_{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{H} d_{x}$ | $\mathbf{K} d_{x}$ | First Marriages only | $\underset{\text { Marriages }}{\text { All }}$ |  |  |
| 65 | 855 | 260 | 961 | 154 | $3 \cdot 650$ | $4 \cdot 103$ | $\cdot 453$ | 65 |
| 66 | 844 | 273 | 949 | 168 | 3.587 | $4 \cdot 032$ | $\cdot 445$ | 66 |
| 67 | 856 | 289 | 963 | 182 | 3.521 | 3.958 | $\cdot 437$ | 67 |
| 68 | 860 | 312 | 966 | 206 | $3 \cdot 447$ | $3 \cdot 875$ | $\cdot 428$ | 68 |
| 69 | 862 | 329 | 969 | 222 | 3.365 | 3.782 | $\cdot 417$ | 69 |
| 70 | 859 | 349 | 967 | 241 | 3.274 | $3 \cdot 681$ | $\cdot 407$ | 70 |
| 71 | 850 | 367 | 957 | 260 | $3 \cdot 174$ | $3 \cdot 567$ | $\cdot 393$ | 71 |
| 72 | 835 | 385 | 940 | 280 | 3066 | $3 \cdot 445$ | -379 | 72 |
| 73 | 812 | 402 | 914 | 300 | $2 \cdot 949$ | $3 \cdot 313$ | $\cdot 364$ | 73 |
| 74 | 783 | 416 | 879 | 320 | $2 \cdot 824$ | $3 \cdot 171$ | $\cdot 347$ | 74 |
| 75 | 746 | 499 | 837 | 338 | 2.693 | 3.023 | $\cdot 330$ | 75 |
| 76 | 705 | 436 | 793 | 348 | 2.556 | $2 \cdot 872$ | $\cdot 316$ | 76 |
| 77 | 653 | 445 | 733 | 365 | $2 \cdot 413$ | $2 \cdot 708$ | $\cdot 295$ | 77 |
| 78 | 603 | 441 | 676 | 368 | $2 \cdot 269$ | 2.550 | $\cdot 281$ | 78 |
| 79 | 545 | 439 | 612 | 372 | 2-120 | $2 \cdot 383$ | $\cdot 263$ | 79 |
| 80 | 486 | 429 | 546 | 369 | 1.970 | $2 \cdot 215$ | $\cdot 245$ | 80 |
| 81 | 425 | 417 | 478 | 364 | $1 \cdot 817$ | $2 \cdot 053$ | -236 | 81 |
| 82 | 364 | 399 | 408 | 355 | 1.665 | 1.870 | $\cdot 205$ | 82 |
| 83 | 305 | 378 | 341 | 342 | 1.513 | 1.701 | $\cdot 188$ | 83 |
| 84 | 253 | 348 | 283 | 318 | 1-365 | 1.536 | $\cdot 171$ | 84 |
| 85 | 203 | 320 | 229 | 294 | $1 \cdot 217$ | 1374 | $\cdot 157$ | 85 |
| 86 | 160 | 286 | 181 | 265 | 1.072 | $1 \cdot 214$ | $\cdot 142$ | 86 |
| 87 | 122 | 252 | 138 | 236 | -932 | 1.053 | -121 | 87 |
| 88 | 90 | 218 | 102 | 206 | $\cdot 798$ | -901 | $\cdot 103$ | 88 |
| 89 | 65 | 185 | 73 | 177 | -675 | $\cdot 762$ | . 087 | 89 |
| 90 | 46 | 152 | 52 | 146 | -560 | -634 | .074 | 90 |
| 91 | 30 | 124 | 34 | 120 | -451 | $\cdot 510$ | . 059 | 91 |
| 92 | 20 | 97 | 22 | 95 | -358 | $\cdot 406$ | -048 | 92 |
| 93 | 12 | 74 | 13 | 73 | $\cdot 275$ | -317 | . 042 | 93 |
| 94 | 7 | 57 | 8 | 56 | $\cdot 217$ | $\cdot 257$ | -040 | 94 |
| 95 | 5 | 39 | 6 | 38 | $\cdot 175$ | -203 | -028 | 95 |
| 96 | 3 | 27 | 3 | 27 | -120 | - 120 | -000 | 96 |
| 97 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 19 | $\cdot 067$ | -067 | . 000 | 97 |
| 98 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 13 |  | - | ... | 98 |
| 99 | $\ldots$ | 8 |  | 8 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 99 |
| 100 | ... | 6 | 2 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 100 |
| 101 | ... | 3 | 1 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 101 |
| 102 | $\ldots$ | 1 |  | 1 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 102 |
| 103 | $\ldots$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 103 |
| 104 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | ** | $\ldots$ | 104 |

## Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans.

Table 62.
Giving the present values per member (whether Bachelor, Husband, or Widower) of an annuity of 1 to conmence at the death of a Widow and to continue until the youngest surviving child reaches the age of 16 ; of an annuity of 1 to each of the children of a married man (whether Husband or Widower) until they veach the age of 16 ; and of an annuity of 1 to commence at the death of a Widower and to continue until the youngest surviving child reaches the age of 16.

| Age <br> (x) | $\frac{{ }^{\mathrm{E}(16)} \mathrm{M}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \frac{{ }^{\text {oa }(16)} \mathbf{M}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { First and } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { Marriages } \end{array} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\frac{{ }^{\text {K. } \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{u}(16)} \mathbf{M}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & (x) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First <br> Marriages <br> only | $\underset{\text { Marriages }}{\text { All }}$ |  |  | First <br> Marriages <br> only | All <br> Marriages |  |  |
| 20 | -020 | -021 | -001 | -593 | $\cdot 040$ | -024 | -016 | 20 |
| 21 | -022 | -023 | -001 | -667 | . 045 | -027 | -018 | 21 |
| 22 | -025 | -026 | -001 | $\cdot 743$ | $\cdot 050$ | $\cdot 030$ | -020 | 22 |
| 23 | -027 | -029 | -002 | -822 | -055 | -033 | . 022 | 23 |
| 24 | -030 | -032 | -002 | -905 | -061 | $\cdot 037$ | -024 | 24 |
| 25 | -033 | -085 | -002 | -989 | -067 | -040 | $\cdot 027$ | 25 |
| 26 | -036 | -038 | -002 | 1.075 | -073 | $\cdot 044$ | -029 | 26 |
| 27 | -038 | -040 | -002 | $1 \cdot 162$ | -079 | -048 | -031 | 27 |
| 28 | -041 | -043 | -002 | $1 \cdot 248$ | -086 | -051 | -035 | 28 |
| 29 | -044 | -046 | -002 | $1 \cdot 333$ | -092 | -055 | -037 | 29 |
| 30 | -046 | -049 | $\cdot 003$ | 1.415 | -099 | -059 | -040 | 30 |
| 31 | -048 | -051 | . 003 | 1.494 | -106 | -064 | -042 | 31 |
| 32 | . 051 | -053 | -002 | 1.567 | -114 | -068 | -046 | 32 |
| 33 | -053 | -056 | .003 | 1.634 | -121 | -072 | $\cdot 049$ | 33 |
| 34 | . 055 | -057 | -002 | 1.698 | -128 | -077 | -051 | 34 |
| 35 | -056 | -060 | $\cdot 004$ | 1.743 | -136 | -081 | -055 | 35 |
| 36 | -058 | -061 | $\cdot 008$ | 1.784 | $\cdot 143$ | -085 | -058 | 36 |
| 37 | -059 | -063 | . 004 | 1.818 | $\cdot 150$ | -090 | -060 | 37 |
| 38 | -060 | -064 | -004 | 1.841 | $\cdot 158$ | -094 | -064 | 38 |
| 39 | -061 | -065 | -004 | 1.856 | $\cdot 165$ | -099 | -066 | 39 |
| 40 | -062 | -066 | $\cdot 004$ | 1-866 | $\cdot 172$ | -103 | $\cdot 069$ | 40 |
| 41 | -063 | -067 | -004 | 1.868 | -179 | $\cdot 107$ | $\cdot 072$ | 41 |
| 42 | -063 | -068 | -005 | 1.865 | -185 | $\cdot 111$ | $\cdot 074$ | 42 |
| 43 | -063 | . 068 | -005 | 1.854 | -191 | $\cdot 115$ | . 076 | 43 |
| 44 | -063 | -068 | -005 | 1.837 | $\cdot 197$ | -118 | . 079 | 44. |
| 45 | -063 | -068 | -005 | 1.813 | -202 | $\cdot 122$ | '080 | 45 |
| 46 | -062 | $\cdot 067$ | -005 | 1782 | -207 | -125 | -082 | 46 |
| 47 | -061 | -066 | -005 | 1.742 | $\cdot 211$ | -127 | -084 | 47 |
| 48 | -060 | -065 | -005 | 1.699 | -214 | -129 | -085 | 48 |
| 49 | -058 | -063 | -005 | 1.647 | $\cdot 216$ | -130 | -086 | 49 |
| 50 | -056 | -061 | -005 | 1.585 | -217 | -131 | -086 | 50 |
| 51 | $\cdot 054$ | -059 | .005 | 1.519 | $\cdot 217$ | $\cdot 131$ | -086 | 51 |
| 52 | -052 | -056 | . 004 | $1 \cdot 448$ | $\cdot 216$ | -130 | -086 | 52 |
| 53 | -049 | -053 | -004 | 1.370 | $\cdot 213$ | -128 | -085 | 53 |
| 54 | -046 | -050 | $\cdot 004$ | 1.288 | -209 | -126 | -083 | 54 |
| 55 | . 043 | -047 | -004 | $1 \cdot 201$ | -203 | -123 | -080 | 55 |
| 56 | -089 | -043 | -004 | $1 \cdot 108$ | -196 | -118 | -078 | 56 |
| 57 | . 036 | . 039 | . 003 | $1 \cdot 012$ | $\cdot 187$ | - 113 | -074 | 57 |
| 58 | -032 | .086 | -004 | -914 | -177 | -108 | -069 | 58 |
| 59 | -029 | -032 | $\cdot 003$ | -814 | -165 | -101 | -064 | 59 |
| 60 | . 025 | -028 | -003 | . 715 | -152 | -094 | -058 | 60 |
| 61 | . 022 | . 025 | -003 | - 617 | -137 | -086 | . 051 | 61 |
| 62 | -020 | . 022 | -002 | -522 | - 122 | -077 | . 045 | 62 |
| 63 | . 017 | -019 | . 002 | -434 | -107 | -070 | -037 | 63 |
| 64 | . 015 | -017 | .002 | $\cdot 357$ | . 094 | . 062 | . 032 | 64 |

# Hypothetical Experience of Staff Pension Fund for Widows and Orphans. 

Table 62-(continued).
Giving the present values per member (whether Bachelor, Husband, or Widower) of an annuity of 1 to commence at the death of a Wilow and to continue until the youngest surviving child reaches the age of 16 ; of an annuity of 1 to each of the children of a married man (whether Husband or Widower) until they reach the age of 16; and of an annuity of 1 to commence at the death of a Widower and to continue until the youngest surviving child reaches the age of 16.

| Age$(x)$ | $\frac{{ }^{\mathbf{E}(16)} \mathbf{M}_{x}}{\mathbf{D}_{x}}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\|$$\frac{{ }^{\text {at }}(16)}{} \mathbf{M}_{x}$ <br> $\mathbf{D}_{x}$ <br> First and <br> all <br> Marriages | $\frac{{ }^{\text {к. YC } a(16)} \mathrm{M}_{x}}{\mathrm{D}_{x}}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\underset{(x)}{\text { Age }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First <br> Marriages <br> only | $\underset{\text { Marriages }}{\text { All }}$ |  |  | First <br> Marriages <br> only | All Mazriages |  |  |
| 65 | $\cdot 013$ | -015 | -002 | $-297$ | $\cdot 082$ | -056 | -026 | 65 |
| 66 | -011 | -012 | . 001 | $\cdot 254$ | $\cdot 074$ | -052 | - 022 | 66 |
| 67 | -010 | -011 | -001 | -223 | -068 | -048 | -020 | 67 |
| 68 | -009 | .010 | $\cdot 001$ | -197 | -062 | -046 | -016 | 68 |
| 69 | -008 | -009 | -001 | -175 | . 057 | $\cdot 043$ | -014 | 69 |
| 70 | -007 | -007 | -000 | -155 | -053 | -040 | -013 | 70 |
| 71 | -006 | -006 | $\cdot 000$ | $\cdot 137$ | -049 | - 038 | -011 | 71 |
| 72 | -005 | . 005 | $\cdot 000$ | $\cdot 121$ | $\cdot 045$ | -035 | -010 | 72 |
| 73 | -004 | -005 | -001 | -106 | $\cdot 041$ | . 033 | -008 | 73 |
| 74 | . 003 | -004 | $\cdot 001$ | $\cdot 093$ | -037 | $\cdot 030$ | $\cdot 007$ | 74 |
| 75 | -003 | -003 | -000 | . 081 | -034 | -028 | ‘006 | 75 |
| 76 | -002 | -003 | $\cdot 001$ | -069 | -030 | -026 | -004 | 76 |
| 77 | -002 | -002 | -000 | . 059 | - 027 | -023 | -004 | 77 |
| 78 | -002 | . 002 | $\cdot 000$ | . 051 | $\cdot 024$ | -021 | -003 | 78 |
| 79 | $\cdot 001$ | . 001 | $\cdot 000$ | -043 | -021 | . 018 | .003 | 79 |
| 80 | -001 | -001 | -000 | -037 | -019 | $\cdot 016$ | -003 | 80 |
| 81 | -001 | -001 | -000 | -030 | -016 | -014 | -002 | 81 |
| 82 | $\cdot 001$ | -001 | $\cdot 000$ | .023 | $\cdot 013$ | -012 | $\cdot 001$ | 82 |
| 83 | ... | ... | ... | . 018 | . 011 | - 010 | $\cdot 001$ | 83 |
| 84 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -012 | . 007 | . 007 | -000 | 84 |
| 85 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdot 008$ | -005 | $\cdot 005$ | -000 | 85 |
| 86 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | -004 | $\cdot 003$ | . 003 | -000 | 86 |
| 87 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdot 002$ | . 001 | $\cdot 001$ | . 000 | 87 |
| 88 | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 88 |
| 89 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 89 |
| 90 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 90 |
| 91 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 91 |
| 92 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 92 |
| 93 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 93 |
| 94 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 94 |
| 95 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 95 |
| 96 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 96 |
| 97 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 97 |
| 98 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | . | 98 |
| 99 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 99 |
| 100 | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ..' | ... | $\cdots$ | 100 |
| 101 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 101 |
| 102 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... |  | ... | 102 |
| 103 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | . | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 103 |
| 104 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 104 |


[^0]:    * Probably 20 tables wonld be sufficient for the First Pension Scale, and 16 for the Second.

