

Using English National Dataset to estimate Models of Functional Disability

Mohamed Elsheemy Pricing Analyst Children and Young People Mental Health @mre4 mohamed.elsheemy@nhs.net

11 May 2018

Agenda

- · Background on functional disability in older age
- Dynamics of functional disability
- The dataset
- The multistate model, and GLM framework for graduation



11 May 2018



Functional disability - definition

- Functional disability is measured by self-reported difficulties in the activities of daily living (ADLs) at older age.
- ADLs include activities such as:
 - dressing (including putting on shoes and socks),
 - eating (such as cutting up your food),
 - using the toilet (including getting up and down),
 - bathing and showering,
 - getting in and out of bed, and
 - walking across a room

































<section-header> Markov process for transition probabilitys • The transition probability P_{ij} from state i to state j after t years from policy issue is defined by • P_{ij}(t, t + Δt) = Pr{S(t + Δt) = j|S(t) = t}, t ≥ 0, Δt ≥ 0, i, j ∈ {N, FD, D} • The instantaneous transition intensities are aged dependent and are assumed to be defined on compact intervals, and are defined by • I_{jj} = lim_{Δt→0}+ P_{ij}(t,t+Δt)/Δt ≥ 0, i ≠ j • Transition intensities were estimated from the data, the transition probabilities were derived using Kolmogorov differential equations (Haberman and Pitacco 1999)











Raw transitions counts and exposure years

	i,	= Number c	of transitions		$e_x = \exp o s$	ure years
Age band	$\sigma: N \to FD$	φ: FD→N	μ: N→D	v: FD→D	in state N	in state FD
50-54	88	72	14	6	7,798.6	443.9
55-59	216	180	66	23	16,032.6	1,138.0
60-64	228	218	96	38	15,093.0	1,175.6
65-69	217	200	130	55	12,781.1	1,133.3
70-74	294	217	211	66	11,212.4	1,326.4
75-79	286	200	328	90	8,618.0	1,287.5
80-84	282	169	338	146	5,703.0	1,211.4
85-89	196	103	334	140	2,967.8	910.6
90 and over	94	43	252	162	1,038.8	605.1
Total	1,901	1,402	1,769	726	81,236.3	9,211.7

Data from 10 years follow-up period between 2002 and 2012, of ELSA respondents for household residents aged 50 and over



11 May 2018



σ:N→FD 1 2	disability		D	DC	p-value	k denotes the number are
1 2	_	incidence				k denotes the number age
2	6.27	-126.84	65.82			related parameters.
-	6.10	-133.33	55.39	-10.43	0.001	
3	6.11	-130.87	53.93	-1.47	0.201	the use of guadratic
φ:FD→N	recovery					nolynomial of age is
1	5.98	-121.04	71.62			polynomial of age is
2	5.47	-144.71	44.01	-27.60	0.003 × 10 ⁻⁴	statistically significant for al
3	5.49	-141.78	43.01	-1.00	0.482	four transition intensities
µ:N→D	death fro	m non-disabi	ility			Iour transition interisities.
1	6.43	-115.65	77.01			
2	6.35	-117.64	71.08	-5.93	0.016	
3	6.36	-115.32	69.48	-1.61	0.232	
v:FD→D	death fro	m disability				
1	5.53	-119.18	73.48			
2	5.46	-120.52	68.20	-5.27	0.018	
3	5.45	-119.11	65.68	-2.52	0.096	Institute
						and Facu

14





15



Expected age at death

- Different trigger configurations have an evident impact on disability and recovery transition probabilities but little impact on mortality probabilities.
- One might assume that insurers using more stringent criterion are able to set prices competitively. It is important to highlight that ELSA respondents are mainly household residents. Subjects who moved to care homes were excluded from the sample.



<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>



