

The three R's - Recognise, react, resolve

Who am I

Name Richard Farr

Partner

Office Human Resource Services

Plumtree Court, London, EC4A 4HT

Telephone +44 (0) 20 7212 5608 **Mobile** +44 (0) 7764 903 060 **Fax** +44 (0) 20 7212 4418

Email richard.e.farr@uk.pwc.com



- Richard is a Partner in HRS who is responsible for leading the Pension Deficit Solutions initiative within the firm
- He has recently advised both the Pension Protection Fund on the risk based levy and The Pensions Regulator on antiavoidance powers and clearance. He was seconded to The Pensions Regulator for 4 months
- He has previously been Finance Director or Chairman of a number of quoted companies, both through flotation or restructurings/take private
- Richard brings to the area of pensions deficit solutions a boardroom perspective to create right solutions for corporates, trustees or government

Agenda

- The new relationship between Trustees and their Employers
- Information
- Confidentiality
- Conflicts of interest
- Negotiate, negotiate....
- Actions to improve scheme security
- The role of the Pensions Regulator

Key Messages

- The pension scheme, if in deficit, is an unsecured creditor of the employer – and a "robust debate" should ensue
- Trustees should plan how they would deal with issues that might affect the pension scheme
- The relevance of Confidentiality Agreements
- How to avoid negotiating with themselves
- Should also seek specialist advice which may include actuarial, legal, and creditor advisory
- Trustees can protect themselves banks do it all the time
- The Regulator is risked-based pragmatic and wants to be a referee – let it!

The new relationship between Trustees and their Employers

- The pension scheme, if in deficit, is an unsecured creditor of the employer
- Like an unsecured loan by the scheme members to the company
- The Regulator has said that the trustees should learn from the way a bank with a large unsecured loan would negotiate with the company
- Trustees are now in a strong position to negotiate with the employer – not least because the Regulator has the power to issue CNs and FSDs.
- Should not be an adversarial relationship a "robust debate"

The new relationship between Trustees and their Employers

- Greater power means greater responsibility
- Trustees should plan how they would deal with issues that might affect the pension scheme:
 - monitor what is happening to the employer
 - insist on information on proposed corporate transactions at an early stage (especially Type A events)
 - ensure that the employer understands when Clearance is needed
 - be involved when necessary
 - ensure that conflicts of interest are dealt with

Actions trustees can take **Information and Confidentiality**

- Negotiate properly and be seen as a equal
- Need a considerable amount of information
- But much of this information will be commercially sensitive
- Trustees must respect this
- Confidentiality agreements (with the scheme's sponsoring employers and other parties to the corporate activity)
- The Regulator has the power to remove trustees that do leak information as they will be considered "not fit and proper" to do their job as a trustee

Actions trustees can take Conflicts of interest

- The negotiation over securing the proper funding of the scheme could create conflicts of interest for trustees
- Member-nominated trustees could learn about possible job losses
- Employer-nominated trustees could find themselves pressing the company for more assets to be paid into the scheme
- Trustees could find themselves negotiating with themselves
- Where conflicts arise, trustees will need to draw any conflicts to the attention of other trustees

Actions trustees can take Conflicts of interest

- Exclude themselves from any negotiations or discussions between the employer and the other independent trustees?
- Resign from the trust board?
- Appoint an independent trustee to deal with negotiations
- Get independent advice

Actions trustees can take **Negotiate**, **Negotiate**...

- The aim of the negotiation is to ensure that the scheme is properly supported and funded following a corporate event or a change in the financial position of the employer.
- Trustees are expected to engage to ensure that scheme members do not lose out
- The need to have necessary skills to assess what the employer is proposing
- Should also seek specialist advice which may include actuarial, legal, and creditor advisory
- Trustee engagement in the negotiation is expected to be "robust"
- The Regulator's suggested mitigating factors......

Measures to improve scheme security

- Schedule of contributions
- 2. Additional cash or other assets
- 3. Negative pledges
- 4. Covenants and monitoring rights if breached, will allow the trustees formally to reassess their position
- 5. Insurance guarantees
- 6. Special accounts (escrow accounts)
- 7. Security over assets

If agreement cannot be reached....the trustees or employer may apply to the Regulator to help negotiations

The Role of the Pensions Regulator

- Given the number of corporate events, and financial changes of each employer...
- The Pensions Regulator has said it will take a risk-based approach
- The Regulator will seek the active involvement of the trustees and their advisers to negotiate with the employer over the corporate event
- Its objective is to strike the right balance between the employer and the scheme
 - On the one hand it wants to protect the scheme and the pensions of scheme members
 - On the other, it does not want to intervene in the running of companies

The Role of the Regulator in Clearance – a taste of things to come?

- Referee not player
- If the Regulator is satisfied:
 - with the application for Clearance
 - with the negotiation between the employer and trustees
 - that the corporate activity will have no material consequences for the pension scheme
- Then Clearance will be granted.
- Regulator will encourage further negotiation if sufficient mitigation may not in place
- It may encourage or indeed propose further negotiation, or make constructive suggestions......

Key Messages

- The pension scheme, if in deficit, is an unsecured creditor of the employer – and a "robust debate" should ensue
- Trustees should plan and sign Confidentiality Agreements
- Don't negotiate with yourself
- Seek specialist advice actuarial, legal and creditor advisory
- Trustees can and should protect themselves banks do it all the time
- The Regulator is risked-based pragmatic and wants to be a referee – let it!

Recognise your predicament, react to your situation and resolve your position