The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the future

Current Issues in General Insurance (CIGI) Seminar The Barbican, Tuesday 17 May 2005

Current issues in Ireland

Issues for discussion

Impact of PIAB and court reform

- Reinsurance regulation
- Extension of SAO to assets
- Review of remuneration structures

Other

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fu

PIAB - Background

Concern at high cost insurance Review of motor, public, employer's liability MIAB inquiry Government Insurance Market Reform Programme Implementation group - report 2002 Bill enacted PIAB established Q1 2004, accepting cases from summer 2004

> The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fu

PIAB - process

Initial contact to PIAB call centre

Claim forms, medicals plus €50 submitted to PIAB PIAB copies documentation to respondent (policyholder) and insurance company

Respondent has 90 days to reject assessment by PIAB PIAB only assess where liability is accepted

If respondent accepts PIAB assessment, insurer pays

€850 fee to PIAB If respondent does not accept liability or does not want

PIAB to handle, PIAB will issue a release certificate to claimant

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the futu

PIAB - process

Insurer may offer settlement at any stage PIAB use a book of guantum to decide awards

PIAB make an assessment within 9 months (6 month extension possible)

Release certificate may be issued if PIAB consider that assessment is premature

Once notified of assessment, claimant has 28 days to accept/reject; respondent has 21 days

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fu

PIAB – first settlements and statistics

Announced on 9th May

8,156 cases currently submitted: 3,581 motor, 2,497 PL and 2,078 EL

80% acceptance of awards (20 out of 25)

Average costs per €1,250 which compares favourably with average litigation costs which are generally considered to be 30% to 45% of awards

One of the case study awards for €64,000 had costs of €1,450

Customer satisfaction appears to be high – over 90% according to PIAB daily surveys

The Actuarial Profession

PIAB – potential issues

BOQ considered generous at lower end but too light at upper end

Process too easy for claimants?

Respondent, not insurer, makes decisions Recent judgement that claimant may have legal representation – but no ruling on who pays

> The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the futu

Related issues

Impact on pre-PIAB reportings settled post PIAB Civil Liability and Courts Bill

Judges required to take account of BOQ Reduction of the limitation period for personal injuries actions All pleadings in a personal injuries action which contain statements of fact to be supported by a verifying alfidavit Procedures – emphasis will be on the provision of full information (including past medical history) Mediation conferences

> The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fu

Reinsurance regulation

Representatives of the Society of Actuaries in Ireland met with IFSRA recently to discuss reinsurance regulation

Drivers for change:

International Association of Insurance Supervisors (due back in January 2006)

IFSRA want to be proactive

Scrutiny of certain companies and contracts

US trust funds

A reinsurance SAO is seen as an important step Proposal not yet approved by IFSRA board

> The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fu

Reinsurance regulation

IFSRA have been considering the issue for some time; they issued a questionnaire in 2004 to 160 reinsurers and received a near-100% response rate

The 160 reinsurers are split 20 Life, 40 non-life (of whom 15 have actuaries), 20 composite and 80 reinsurance captives

IFSRA want input from the SoA about how to implement, need for guidance notes etc.

A consultant may be appointed to look at the issue for IFSRA

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fut

Reinsurance regulation - issues

Actuarial resourcing

Issues surrounding how accurate the reserves can be There may be mandatory statements in the documentation -e.g. that there are no side letters, that there is appropriate risk transfer, that there is approval

from the local authority where the risk is based A sub-committee of the general insurance committee of SoA is looking at this area

> The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fu

Extension of SAO to assets

Discussed in SoA report to IFSRA about role of non-life actuary

Reputation risk if company fails due to asset problem? Is it recognised that SAO does not certify financial condition?

Discussions with IFSRA suggest that a full FCR was certainly a consideration for the future but not yet Issues:

Should actuaries be considered only expert on risk

How far should asset view go? Value, cashflow, liquidity, duration, deterministic/stochastic?

The Actuarial Profession

Review of remuneration structures

- SoA was asked by IFSRA for views on remuneration structures, in particular disclosure requirements Competition Authority report very negative about brokers and their disclosure regimes
- IFSRA have real concerns over volume overrides 'average' commission is disclosed but not overrides Should overrides be banned completely?
- Should consumer just care about price if they are happy with that does commission matter?

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the futu

Other issues

Equality issues:

Discrimination by gender Data pooling

Market contestability – Competition Authority seeks new market entrants – data sharing an issue here too Any other issues for discussion

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fut