# **Imperial College** London TANAKA BUSINESS SCHOOL The Economics and Finance of **Pension Scheme Design David McCarthy** Introduction • Occupational pensions are part of employment contracts - They compensate workers for effort... - ... and fulfil firm objectives • Good pension scheme design takes account of both firm needs and employee preferences Scheme design • Central issue is efficiency, or otherwise, of compensation Taxation - Incentives - Portfolio issues - Corporate finance?

#### 'Efficient' vs 'inefficient' compensation

- · Tax efficient vs. tax inefficient
  - Government is a third party to all compensation contracts
  - Structure of the contract affects taxation liabilities and hence the net benefits to both parties
  - In the UK individuals and companies have the right to structure their legal interactions to minimise their tax liabilities
  - Pensions are tax-favoured

#### 'Efficient' vs. 'inefficient' compensation

- · Incentive efficient vs. incentive inefficient
  - Different compensation arrangements give workers (and firms!) different incentives
  - In general, most companies probably try to arrange compensation contracts to reflect the incentive effects of their compensation
    - promotion
    - dismissal
    - reward-based pay
  - Pensions have incentive effects

### 'Efficient' vs. 'inefficient' compensation

- Portfolio efficient vs. portfolio inefficient
  - 'Cash is king'
  - Movie tickets analogy
- Transaction costs-based
- 2 movie tickets a month are worth their cash value
- 300 movie tickets a month are worth much less than their cash value
- Pensions have interesting portfolio effects
  - Workers cannot sell their pension or borrow against it
  - Cannot "undo" their pensions in the rest of their portfolio - Justifies a non-arbitrage (utility-based?) approach to pension valuation in the hands of individuals

	porioion valuation in		manao	٠.	
\	Incomplete markets-based argument	+			

Selling individual movie tickets business

	•	
•	,	
	,	

### Three economic perspectives are relevant to determining occupational pension type · Labour market - Pensions form part of employment contracts - Exert some influence on employee behaviour Portfolio theory - Pensions are an asset in the hands of the employee, with some special characteristics • Corporate finance - DB Pensions are liabilities of the firm How might a firm choose a compensation contract? · Firm maximises profit while keeping workers satisfied - Corporate finance issues important here (risks?) • Profit = cost of compensation contract less output of the worker - Incentive effects important Worker satisfaction - Portfolio effects important - Workers cannot trade away pensions 1: Pensions and corporate finance • DB liabilities are largely bond-like - Like bonds on firm balance sheets - Interest rate sensitivity like long bonds Some unusual features · Incomplete markets important - Mortality - LPI? Diversifiable or not? Salary linkage

## 2: Pensions in the labour market Sorting theory Incentives theory • Bonding theory (wage filt) · Retirement behaviour theory Sorting..... • Workers have private information about their future performance • This affects how workers value different compensation contracts - Pensions - Salary increases - Promotions • Firms can design contracts to attract desirable workers Sorting..... · Worker discount rates - Affects how workers value the future against the present "delayed gratification" - Pensions are more attractive to low discounters • Low discounters may be better workers • Likely quit rates - Some types of pension may be more attractive to workers who plan to stay rather than leave quickly

Sorting	
<ul> <li>Pension examples</li> <li>Presence of a pension</li> <li>Vesting requirements</li> <li>Matching contributions</li> </ul>	
Page 13	
Incentives	
Compensation changes worker incentives and hence worker effort     Performance-based pay     Seniority pay     Promotions	
Pensions also change worker incentives	
Page 14	
	]
Bonding	
Pensions may bond workers to jobs     Reduces direct and indirect turnover costs     Recruitment costs     Direct and on-the-job training costs	
Sociological costs     Empirical evidence from the US suggests workers with pensions are less likely to	
leave jobs  - Pension type doesn't seem to matter too much	
Page 15	

Bonding	
Can also induce longer tenure by 'tilting'	
wages  – Form of seniority pay	
<ul> <li>Implies that workers at the end will be earning</li> </ul>	
more than their marginal product  – May therefore need to get them to leave	
Mandatory retirement age     Pensions	
· Totalons	
Page 16	
	1
Retirement behaviour	
Pensions influence retirement behaviour	
Strong retirement incentives in DB pensions	
<ul> <li>Raison d'etre of DB corporate pensions?</li> <li>Could use pensions to control age profile of workforce</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>DC pensions</li></ul>	
<ul><li>Loss of employer control over retirement</li><li>Impact of age discrimination law?</li></ul>	
	-
Page 17	
Pensions in the labour market	
Pensions in the labour market	
Can be used as one of many tools to	
manage the workforce in a company	
Page 18	

### 3: Pensions and portfolio theory • Life cycle models (with pensions) • DB pensions unsuitable for younger employees - Wage link undesirable - Human capital exposure large - Effect of lower adverse selection in annuity market less important - Mandated bond investment unattractive • Better fit for older employees Use compensating variation of pensions contracts · Cannot use no arbitrage pricing! - Pensions cannot be traded, borrowed against - Need utility-based measure • How much extra lifetime income is enough to compensate an individual for the loss of a given pensions contract? • How does CV differ by pension type? • How does CV compare to pension cost? We use a calibrated model of employee preferences..... • Life cycle model (65 periods) Wage uncertainty • Exogenous retirement; no job switching · Agent chooses - Consumption and saving - Asset mix each year - Annuitisation at retirement No Taxes

#### .....with these parameters...... Assumption Risk aversion Time preference Risk-free Interest rate 4% Equity risk premium Equity uncertainty $\sigma_{\eta} = 0.157$ Permanent Income Profile Income Uncertainty Polynomial profile (College) $\theta$ = 1 $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle F}$ = 0.130 $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle F}$ = 0.121 ° (High School) $\theta = 1$ $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle F} = 0.136$ $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle F} = 0.103\,^{\circ}$ (No High School) $\theta = 1$ $\sigma_s = 0.162$ Mortality Equity / permanent wage error correlation Liquidity Constraints Private Annuity Market US Females <sup>6</sup> $\rho_{\eta\xi} = 0.15$ $\rho_{\eta\xi} = 0.10$ $\rho_{\eta\xi} = 0.10$ Directly Imposed λ = 10%

#### .... to estimate CV of these three different pension contracts

- Final salary DB contract with a given replacement rate
  - Employer can diversify all wage fluctuations away
  - Assets and liabilities perfectly matched (i.e. bonds)
  - No employer default
  - Contributions a constant proportion of lifetime wages
- DC pension with full mandatory annuitisation
  - Contributions constant 10% of income
  - Mandatory investment mix (30%, 70%, 100% in equities)
  - No access to funds before retirement
  - Entire balance annuitised at retirement

#### Two aspects of pension compensation

- Wage link (DB and DC)
- · Pension illiquidity

## Compensating variation of DC Plan with full mandatory annuitisation

Table shows compensating variation of pension (no high school education case)

	Age (retirement at 65)		
DC Investment mix	30	40	50
30% Equity 70% Bonds	8.5%	9.2%	9.6%
70% Equity 30% Bonds	8.2%	9.0%	9.6%
100% Equity	7.8%	8.8%	9.5%

CV around 1% greater than no mandatory annuitisation case Difference increases with age

Tax effects not in model

Page 25

#### **Compensating variation of DB Plan**

Table shows compensating variation and costs of pension (no high school education case)

	Age (retirement at 65)		
DB replacement rate	30	40	50
50%	9.0%	17%	38%
50%	(12.5%)	(21%)	(41%)
25%	4.8%	8.8%	20%
25%	(6.3%)	(10%)	(21%)
10%	2.0%	3.6%	8.1%
1076	(2.5%)	(4.0%)	(8.2%)

Pension costs shown in brackets (tax effects not modelled)

DB pensions more efficient compensation as workers age Tax effects not in model

Tax

#### Welfare loss of different pension plans ....

Table shows pension costs less compensating variation as a percentage of pension cost (no high school education case)

	Age (retirement at 65)		
Pension Cost = 10% for all plans	30	40	50
DB plan	26% (40%)	12% (25%)	2% (12%)
DC plan (50%/50%), mand. ann.	18%	10%	4%
DC plan (50%/50%), no mand. ann.	24%	18%	13%

DB plan replacement rate shown in brackets

DB pensions more efficient compensation as workers age

Tax effects not modelled

Page 27

## Putting it all together • Efficiency of entire compensation contract made up of - Corporate finance efficiency - Labour market efficiency - Tax efficiency - Portfolio efficiency • Compensation contracts trade these off against each other **Further work** • Additions to portfolio theory model - Job changes Sorting theory • Empirical tests of the theory - Different pensions contracts observed where various trade-offs are different