



Minimising Longevity and Investment Risk while optimising Future Pension Plans

Jens Perch Nielsen

The 'Minimising Longevity and Investment Risk while optimising Future Pension Plans' research programme is being funded by the Actuarial Research Centre

Four big ambitions

- One short conversation with the customer: the entire bespoke pension design is back-calculated
- As much as possible of investment guarantee is shared. Perhaps all.
- As much as possible of mortality risk is shared.
 Perhaps all.
- Investment dynamics market timing should be incorporated

Communication and self control of a pension saver's financial risk

Jens Perch Nielsen, Munir Hiabu, Russell Gerrard, Ioannis Kyriakou



Merton (2014):

Our approach to saving is all wrong.

- Monthly income, not net worth.
- Do not make employees smarter about investments.
 We need smarter communication.

In this first talk of the project, we only consider the simple lump sum case.

We consider four different people:

• Lisa: The risk taker

• John: The moderate risk taker

Susan: The moderate risk averse

James: The risk averse

In a power utility world, Lisa, John, Susan, James would have parameters

$$\rho = -0.25, -1, -4, -10,$$

respectively.

In a non-hedged power utility world without guarantees and other safety measures the investment in stocks would be

	Lisa	John	Susan	James
Percentage in Stocks	75%	46%	19%	8%

We will suggest an approach where a simple question to Lisa, John, Susan and James will tell us what kind of risk they want. We hedge by optimizing the median return given some guarantee.

All numbers are in 2017 - values, i.e., adjusted for inflation.

We only consider the simple lump-sum case.

 Lisa, John, Susan and James want to invest £10,000.

30 years of investment

THE COMMUNICATION

- Your investment has a best-case (BC) and a worst-case (WC).
- You will never drop below your WC.
- Half-the-time you will get the BC and the other half-ofthe-time you will get an investment result between WC and BC.
- Use a slider to see which WC suits you best

For every WC their is a link to a BC.

And the BC increases when the WC decreases.

Which WC will the risk taker Lisa pick?

- £3,900
- £6,400
- £9,100

Which WC will the risk taker Lisa pick?

- £3,900
- £6,400
- £9,100

What is the corresponding BC?

- £12,320
- £15,320
- £16,470

What is the corresponding BC?

- £12,320
- £15,320
- £16,470

Lisa's pick:

Goal: £16,470

Forecast: Half of the times you will achieve this goal.

More is not possible. Guarantee: £3,900.

Lisa's median in the un-hedged world, where she holds 75% in stocks would be

Median =
$$£13,496$$

With the new hedging strategy

Lisa's median =
$$£16,470$$

- Lisa has increased her median by £2,974.
- She also has a guarantee of £3,900 (Compare to no guarantee before)
- The price is no upside above £16,470.

In other words: Lisa has sold her upside above £16,470 to secure a guarantee and a higher median.

What did the others pick....

	Lisa	John	Susan	James
WC (Guarantee)	£3,900	£6,400	£9,100	£9,700
BC (Goal)	£16,470	£15,320	£12,320	£10,940

Note that Lisa, John, Susan and James self-selected their risk-profile through a simple exercise.

Do Lisa, John, Susan and James lose anything from this simple communication and hedging strategy?

Not really!

Look at this certainty equivalent table in terms of utility theory.

	Optimal Strategy	Hedged Strategy		
Investors	CE	CE	WC	ВС
Lisa	£12,756	£12,020	£3,900	£16,470
John	£11,643	£11,263	£6,400	£15,320
Susan	£10,627	£10,415	£9,100	£12,320
James	£10,280	£10,169	£9,700	£10,940

Certainty Equivalent (CE): For which certain amount would you exchange your uncertain terminal lump sum.

Now let us go back to the old world of un-hedged utility optimisation.

What can financial miss-understanding cost?

How much would it cost Lisa if the financial assessment thought she was James?

- Between 5% and 10%
- Between 10% and 15%
- Between 15% and 20%

How much would it cost Lisa if the financial assessment thought she was James?

- Between 5% and 10%
- Between 10% and 15%
- Between 15% and 20%

How much would it cost James if the financial assessment thought he was Lisa?

- Between 10% and 20%
- Between 30% and 40%
- Between 70% and 80%

How much would it cost James if the financial assessment thought he was Lisa?

- Between 10% and 20%
- Between 30% and 40%
- Between 70% and 80%

	Lisa Plan	John Plan	Susan Plan	James Plan
Lisa CE	£12,756	£12,326	£11,124	£10,536
John CE	£11,023	£11,643	£11,023	£10,516
Susan CE	£6,156	£9,268	£10,627	£10,437
James CE	£2,388	£5,958	£9,879	£10,280

Certainty Equivalent (CE): For which certain amount would you exchange your uncertain terminal lump sum.

Now back again to the new Communication and Hedging Strategy...

What does the hedging strategy look like?

It is simply a modern version of Merton's original financial mathematics

Conclusion

We have developed a pension system which is easy to understand:

- Risk is balanced via selecting a best-case and a worstcase.
- The pension saver is in control and understands the risk he is taking.
- In practice, one can develop an interface where the pension saver picks his risk-profile digitally without the need of meeting a financial adviser.

Questions Comments

The views expressed in this [publication/presentation] are those of invited contributors and not necessarily those of the IFoA. The IFoA do not endorse any of the views stated, nor any claims or representations made in this [publication/presentation] and accept no responsibility or liability to any person for loss or damage suffered as a consequence of their placing reliance upon any view, claim or representation made in this [publication/presentation].

The information and expressions of opinion contained in this publication are not intended to be a comprehensive study, nor to provide actuarial advice or advice of any nature and should not be treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations. On no account may any part of this [publication/presentation] be reproduced without the written permission of the IFoA [or authors, in the case of non-IFoA research].





20th Global Conference of Actuaries

4th - 6th March, 2019 | Mumbai, India

THANK YOU

Date or any extra text highlight