# **Monitoring Scheme Actuaries** David Martin **Brighton Pensions Convention** June 2005 **Monitoring Scheme Actuaries** • Compliance Review (Peer Review) • Revalidation of Professional Competence Work Based Skills Practice Modules • CPD • Practising Certificates • Disciplinary Procedures **Monitoring Scheme Actuaries** Compliance Review (Peer Review)

#### Why Compliance Review?

- 000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
- There have been compliance problems (disciplinary committee)
- To strengthen the credibility of the profession
- FSA
- Public interest awareness (eg lawyers, doctors, accountants)
- IAS standards
- Morris

ne Actuarial Profession

#### **Compliance Review Progress**



- Discussion Paper / Consultation 1999/2000
- Revised Discussion Paper/Consultation Late 2000
- Implementation deferred for Life Office and General Insurance work
- Pensions won the "Three Horse Race"
- Morris

The Actuarial Profession

#### **Morris Review Conclusions**



- TPR to ensure Scheme Actuary advice formally scrutinised by independent experts
- Supports Profession's PR process but notes it's not "independent"
- FRC with TPR and Profession to be satisfied in 2-3 years satisfactory monitoring and independent scrutiny in place
- ICAEW/PRAG considering if pensions liabilities to go in Pens. Sch. accounts.

### **Compliance Review**

- 000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
- New Guidance Note effective soon
- Main focus review of advice
- Recommended Practice until 31/12/06
- Practice Standard from 1/1/07
- Two Types of Review
  - Type 1 Peer Review
  - Type 2 Audit Review

The Actuarial Profession

### **Profession's Objectives**



- Public entitled to good advice
- Public need to be reassured
- Re-enforce existing good practice
- Maintain and strengthen confidence in actuarial advice
- Achieve high quality advice
- Aware review procedures already carried out

The Actuarial Profession

### **Application**



- All pension actuaries not yet
- Scheme Actuaries Scheme Actuary work
- Reviewer Actuary
- PCS, 2.6 Must be competent
- Reviewer confidential, unless agreed otherwise

#### What Advice?

- 000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
- What? all written advice
- Verbal advice confirmed in writing
- Practice Standard for seven GNs
- Caught by Disciplinary Scheme

The Actuarial Profession

### Review Principles – Peer Review



- Peer review preferable to audit reviewbefore client acts
- Range of peer reviewers
- Evidence of peer review retained
- Review terminated before conclusion?

The Actuarial Profession

### Review Principles – Audit Review



- Audit review after event
- Period up to six months single period
- Last advice no earlier than one month
- Audit reviewer appointed individual or group
- No restriction same firm, terms to be agreed
- List of advice GN, client, date of advice

#### **Review Principles**

- 000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
- Audit reviewer determines sample
- Completion statement
- Two weeks to report from statement date
- Scheme Actuary writes to accept or dispute one month from statement date
- Review terminated re-appoint one month

The Actuarial Profession

#### **Review Principles**



- One question is it compliant?
- Identify if any material issues
  - Inaccurate
  - Inconsistent
- Clear and unambiguous

The Actuarial Profession

### **Review Principles**



- Not checking for accuracy already checked
- Not checking completeness but must be clear
- Not review of process or filing
- Not editorial or stylistic but must be clear
- However nothing to stop this approach
- Accurate, consistent and clear

## Confirmation • Self regulation • Attestation by Scheme Actuary • Renewal practising certificate • Problem - contact the PAB **Monitoring Scheme Actuaries** Revalidation of Professional Competence **Background** • Professional Competence Task Force set up in 2002 • To review membership and education structures • To recommend changes in light of: • Professional qualifications and public confidence Work based skills • International changes • Review of level of "actuary" • 2005 education changes

#### Proposals already in force



- Demonstration of work based skills
  - All new students from July 2004
- UK practice modules
  - UK GNs, legislation, FSA regulatory requirements
  - From first exams in April 2005

The Actuarial Profession

#### **Revalidation Principles 1**



- Need to demonstrate competence to various audiences
- System must be understandable, acceptable, simple to maintain, including for overseas members
- Designed
  - To keep actuaries, including those in non-traditional areas, in profession
  - Not to deter movements between specialisms
  - To ensure general skills including professionalism maintained by CPD
  - To be affordable to members
- Non-hierarchical

The Actuarial Profession making francial sense of the lub.

### **Revalidation Principles 2**



- System will:
  - Be as simple as possible
  - Allow for existing statutory certificates
  - Embrace required knowledge of national regulations, legislation and professional guidance
  - Take account of changes under 2005 Education Strategy
  - Cater for different practice area approaches
  - Maintain credible enforcement mechanism
  - Be consistent with PCS, discipline scheme, peer review
- Cost effective not adding to net expenditure of profession

#### **Revalidation proposals 1**

- 000 0000 00000 0000 0000 0000
- Original Proposal Provision of two kinds of practising certificate
  - Existing statutory certificate
  - New proposed certificate
- Following discussion & Morris Review
  - Morris supports the Profession's approach
- Conclusion Concentrate on CPD Requirements

The Actuarial Profession

#### **Revalidation Proposals 2**



- CPD mandatory for members 4 categories
  - 1 with statutory certificates
  - 2 carrying out prescribed tasks\*
  - 3 working in other areas
  - 4 "fully retired" members
  - \* Prescribed tasks will be set out in CPD handbook and relate to areas covered by the exam syllabus

The Actuarial Profession

#### **Revalidation Proposals 3**



- All in Cat. 1 submit records (as now)
- 10% in Cat. 2 & 3 asked to submit records
- For all of the above, 10% of submitted records subject to rigorous vetting
- All in Cat. 2 & 3 submit records when move to on-line is practicable
- CPD voluntary 1/10/05; mandatory 1/10/06
- Annual declaration (with sub)

#### **CPD Proposals 1**



- Lifelong learning
- Mandatory professionalism courses phased in
- CPD tailored to three roles

The Actuarial Profession

#### **CPD proposals 2**



- Cat. 1 CPD fully prescribed as now
- Cat. 2 Mixture of prescribed and "chosen"
- Cat. 3 "Chosen" CPD
- Cat. 4 No requirement
- Cat. 4 = "fully retired" = No work in financial areas

The Actuarial Profession

### **Practising Certificates**



- Two kinds statutory and non-statutory
- Non statutory in different practice areas
- May be made compulsory for certain tasks by boards
- Employers encouraged to support
- Ultimate expectation of requirement to hold one for all active actuaries in main practice areas

## Other proposals • Full membership (ie "actuary") on associateship • Fellowship required for statutory roles • Expect most to continue to Fellowship **Monitoring Scheme Actuaries Disciplinary Procedures Disciplinary Schemes** Key features of the schemes - 1 ■ Virtually identical schemes for Faculty and Institute ie effectively one disciplinary process for whole UK profession: easier for complainants, fairer for respondents ■ Shared infrastructure – Disciplinary Appointments Committee, Disciplinary Board, Investigating Actuaries and Case Officers, Independent Examiner, Disciplinary

Pool and Appeals Tribunal all serve both schemes

## Key features of the schemes - 2

Some changes made to meet Human Rights legislation

- ■Independence from Councils: separates rule makers and standard setters from operators of schemes and the 'judges'
- ■Public hearings of Disciplinary Tribunal Panels and Appeal Tribunal Panels: brings transparency and helps fairness
- ■Separation of investigation from the judicial functions: helps fairness

The Actuarial Profession

#### Key features of the schemes - 3

Increased involvement of non-actuaries

- Appointments to the committees, panels etc made by the Disciplinary Appointments Committee (DAC) rather than by Faculty or Institute Council
- DAC chaired by Scottish QC
- Governance by a new Disciplinary Board rather than by Faculty or Institute Council: of nine, three are lay members, one of whom chairs
- Lay members of Disciplinary Pool (sit on Interim Orders, Adjudication and Disciplinary Tribunal Panels) selected through open competition

The Actuarial Profession

#### Key features of the schemes - 4

- Independent Examiner: new right of review for Complainants, which trigger independent lay scrutiny into the procedures applied in their case
- Standard of proof: moved to 'the civil standard as applied by the Courts of England and Wales in relation to disciplinary proceedings cases' from the criminal standard "beyond reasonable doubt"
- New Interim Orders (temporary suspension) protects the public during investigation: for the most serious cases only
- Training given to everyone with roles under the schemes

#### Key features of the schemes - 5



- Schemes are more visible: lots of information about the processes and regulations on the website: including a "How to Complain" leaflet.
- Proactive response to public concern possible: an Honorary Secretary may start an investigation in the absence of a complaint
- Investigation once started may go beyond the original matter of the complaint or Hon Sec referral
- Members are liable to disciplinary action under the schemes if they have been 'guilty of misconduct'

#### Key features of the schemes - 6



- Misconduct means (rule 1.6)
  - (a) any breach of the bye-laws and/or
  - (b) any conduct

(b) any conduct by a Member.. in the course of carrying out professional duties or otherwise constituting failure to comply with the standards of behaviour, integrity, competence or professional judgment which other Members or the public might reasonably expect of a Member having regard to any advice, guidance, memorandum or statement on professional conduct, practice or duties which may be given and published by the [Faculty/Institute] and to all other relevant circumstances

#### Stages of the schemes



Four possible stages, all go through first two:

- 1. Investigation leading to Case Report
- 2. Adjudication Panel: expected to resolve most cases
- 3. Disciplinary Tribunal Panel: serious and/or contested
- 4. Appeal Tribunal Panel

Fwo decision-making stages	000 0000 00000 00000 00000 0000 0000		
Adjudication  First stage – expected to resolve most cases			
<ul> <li>Panel meets in private to consider Case Reports, parties not present</li> </ul>	s		
<ul> <li>Determinations published</li> <li>Tribunal</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Normally second stage – for serious and/or contested cases</li> </ul>	t		
<ul> <li>Panel meets in public, parties present and may be legally represented</li> </ul>			
Determinations published			
	_		
The Actuaried Pro- resercy Revoke on			
Monitoring Scheme Actuaries			
Monitoring Scheme Actuaries	OOO OOOO		
Monitoring Scheme Actuaries	OOO OOOO		
Monitoring Scheme Actuaries	OOO OOOO		
Monitoring Scheme Actuaries  Questions?	OOO OOOO		
Monitoring Scheme Actuaries  Questions?	OOO OOOO		