	The Actuarial Profession making francial sense of the future
Peer Review	
David Martin Monday 5 June 2006	

#### Peer Review - Introduction to GN 48

- New Guidance Note (December 2004)
- Main focus review of advice by 3<sup>rd</sup> party
- Recommended Practice
  - 2 years from December 2004
- Practice Standard
  - From 1 January 2007
  - But effectively from renewal date in 2006 of your Scheme Actuary Certificate



# Profession's Objectives

- Public entitled to good advice
- Public need to be reassured
- Re-enforce existing good practice
- Maintain and strengthen confidence in actuarial advice
- Achieve high quality advice
- Aware review procedures already carried out
- Profession's support & Morris Review



## How it applies to you



- All members all practice areas not yet
- Only pension practice area
- All pension actuaries not yet
- Scheme Actuaries Scheme Actuary work
- Reviewer Actuary
- PCS, 2.6 Must be competent
- Reviewer confidential, unless agreed otherwise

The Actuarial Profession rating Translative www.cities folia

#### What Advice?



- What? all written advice
- Verbal advice confirmed in writing
- Only Practice Standard GNs
- Except GN29 behaviours
- And PCS particularly 3.4-3.7
- Caught by Disciplinary Scheme

The Actuar al Profession

# Review Principles - General



- Type 1/ Type 2
  - Pre issue (generally)/Post issue
  - Peer Review/Audit Review
- Peer preferable before client acts
- Range of peer reviewers
- Evidence of peer review retained
- Review termination before conclusion

The Actuar al Profession

## Review Principles - General

- Actuary must provide Reviewer with information necessary for the review
- Reviewer may request information
  - But no right to receive it
- Reviewer may terminate if insufficient data
- No restriction-use same firm or different firm

The Actuarial Profession 19kmg francia, worse of the Muse

	R	Review	Princi	ples - (	Genera
--	---	--------	--------	----------	--------



- 2.0 Resolve disagreements
- Senior actuary
  - Scheme Actuary not required to disclose action
- Legal relationship between Scheme Actuary and Reviewer
  - Herbert Smith letter on website
- Action on discovering breach PCS 4.4

The Actuarial Profession

# Review Principles - Type 2



- Type 2 (audit) review after event
- Period up to six months single period
- Last advice no earlier than one month
- Audit reviewer appointed individual or group
- No restriction same firm, terms to be agreed
- List of advice GN, client, date of advice

The Actuar all Profession

## Review Principles - Type 2

- Audit reviewer determines sample
- Completion statement within three months
- Two weeks to report from statement date
- Scheme Actuary writes to accept or dispute one month from statement date
- Review terminated re-appoint one month

The Actuarial Profession nating Transic Journal of the Muse

## Review Principles - General

- One question is it compliant?
- Identify if any material issues
  - Inaccurate
  - Inconsistent
- Clear and unambiguous

The Actuar al Profession having incide, wow of the Musy

## Review Principles - General

- Not checking for accuracy already checked
- Not checking completeness but must be clear
- Not review of process or filing
- Not editorial or stylistic but must be clear
- However nothing to stop this approach
- Accurate, consistent and clear

The Actuarial Profession 198 og fands læme til le false

#### Confirmation

- Self regulation
- Attestation by Scheme Actuary
- Renewal at date of practising certificate
- Problem contact the PAB
- Introduced as Practice Standard from 1/1/07
  - Attestation is for previous 12 mths
  - So in practice it's in place now for many

## What Next?



- All areas of pensions work with a practice standard?
- All pension advice / all pension actuaries?
- Other (non-pension) practice areas
  Life assurance, General insurance etc.?

#### What Next 2?

- Review is based on GNs
  - BAS will change practice standards
  - So revisions may be needed
- Has our move been driven by a wish to do this or pressure due to "scandals"
  - What is the next scandal?
  - Will Peer review prevent /mitigate it?

