

- return are not in operating
- Balance sheet liability treated as quasi debt
  - Little attempt to investigate assumptions and adjust
- Awareness of pension risk including longevity and asset allocation
  - But risk is less of a focus in equity analysis than cash flows and profit
- Future cash funding costs regarded as important
  - Particularly changes that may be mandated due to trustee / regulatory activity
- Increasing interest in buyout valuations
  - Although mainly in the context of M&A



## Income statement charge

- Pensions regarded as a financial liability and hence interest cost and expected return excluded from operating result
- Past service cost, curtailments and settlements treated as (potentially) nonrecurring operating expenses
- Amortisations under corridor method should be excluded from performance metrics – although not by all analysts
- Expected return often included without adjustment
  - We recommend excluding the 'equity spread' when calculating performance metrics
  - Some UBS analysts do this where impact is material eg BT



3

## Example of adjusting expected asset return

£mReported	Adjusted	
Operating profit	254	254
Other pre-tax	-106	-106
Pens exp. return	272	<b>217</b> <sup>(2)</sup>
Pens interest	-321	-321
Tax	-46	-29
Earnings	53	15
Adjusted Earnings <sup>(1)</sup>	105	67
Adjusted EPS <sup>(1)</sup> (p)	6.5	4.1
P/E		14.7x23.1x

(1) Pre-exceptional earnings

(2) Recalculated using the discount rate as the expected % return



Should all 'smoothing' be removed?

- Corridor method YES
  - Current period allocations of prior period gains and losses have no relevance
  - But value changes would need to be reported separately from the rest of profit
- Expected asset return NO (in my view)
  - Expected return needed to enable forecasts of likely cash funding
  - Case for presenting a net interest cost on net funding position (ie expected return equals discount rate – see above)
  - IASB taken tentative decision to remove expected return



## Balance sheet liability

- Analysts increasingly aware the BS figure may not be adequate
  - Actions of pension regulator
  - Impact of pensions on M&A, particularly private equity
- But analysts are not clear about how to adjust
  - For general analysis focus balance sheet figure used
  - In M&A attempt is made to identify addition 'cost' that might be imposed
- My view
  - Risk free discount rate
  - No anticipation of salary growth
  - Include scheme running costs



6

## Performance measurement for equity analysis and valuation

A recent report from UBS proposes ...

- An approach to financial statement presentation that is consistent with how businesses are actually analysed and valued
- A logical, conceptually based and economically relevant measure of earnings
- Asset and liability measurement that is consistent with the way in which this information should be used in equity analysis
- Use of fair values in a way that informs about performance rather than creates confusion for investors, as is too often the case at present
- Recognition that financial statements are about communication between management and investors, and suggestions on how this can best be facilitated



stephen.cooper@ubs.com
For illustrative purposes only



7

# UBS report – Suggested presentation of pensions

## Table 7: Summary of the proposed presentation of pension related gains and losses

Operating activities	Total	Management highlighted items		
Operating expenses	Service cost	Any operating items that a company chooses to		
	Prior service cost	highlight due to for example their abnormal size or non-		
	Curtailments and settlements	recurring nature  Fair value changes		
	Gains and losses due to changes to assumptions relating to the measurement of the liability such as changes in longevity (but excluding changes to movements in the discount rate)			
Investments and non-operating assets	Market yield			
Net return on the funded element of pensions	Expected market yield on pension fund assets	Difference between actual return and expected market yield on pension fund assets		
	Interest accruing on the funded portion of the gross pension obligation	Impact of discount rate changes on the funded portion the gross pension obligation		
Financing and treasury	Market yield	Fair value changes		
Interest expense on unfunded element of pensions	Interest accruing on the unfunded portion of the gross pension obligation	Impact of discount rate changes on the unfunded portion of the gross pension obligation		



## Some comments from UBS sector analysts

- "Sell-side generally is driven by what they perceive the market to be interested in on their stocks at particular point in time. So when pensions make more headlines, there is more analysis on pensions; when private equity deals are in vogue, there is more analysis on cash flow, pension deficit, debt structure of companies etc; when cash flow yield is fashionable valuation metric, a lot of analysis on FCF generation etc.
- "Pension costs are a cost like any other. The important thing is the impact on net cash flow  $\ldots$  DCF analysis should already include the pension funding cost as a
- "As far as pension deficits are concerned, these are generally ignored in everyday conversation if they are less than 5% of market cap; and I guess in any case they are captured in the P&L finance charge under FRS17 and IAS19. But I would definitely include the net deficit in EV calculation, and deduct from DCF-derived EV to get to equity fair value."



## Some comments from UBS sector analysts

- "I don't adjust for pension investment policy, since I assume (possibly wrongly) that trustees would ensure that the investment policy is appropriate"
- "Investing in bonds has to make sense. A pension fund with young members might be better off investing in equities (although it has to be a balance investment - 40-50% in equities), whereas a pension fund where only 1-2% of the members are still active and the great majority are retired investing >85% in bonds might be a lot more appropriate."



# Disclosures

# Global ratings: Definitions and allocations

Required Disclosures
This report has been prepared by UBS Limited, an affiliate of UBS AG (UBS).

For information on the ways in which UBS manages conflicts and maintains indepe and certain additional disclosures concerning UBS research recommendations, ple

# UBS Investment Research: Global Equity Ratings Definitions and Allocations

UBS rating	Definition	<ul> <li>UBS rating</li> </ul>	Definition	Rating category	Coverage'	IB services*
Buy 1	FSR is > 10% above the MRA, higher degree of predictability	Buy 2	FSR is > 10% above the MRA, lower degree of predictability	Buy	40%	37 %
Neutral 1	FSR is between -10% and 10% of the MRA, higher degree of predictability	Neutral 2	FSR is between -10% and 10% of the MRA, lower degree of predictability	Hold/Neutral	42%	36%
Reduce 1	FSR is > 10% below the MRA, higher degree of predictability	Reduce 2	FSR is > 10% below the MRA, lower degree of predictability	Sell	12%	28%

Percentage of companies under coverage globally within the stant category.

Percentage of companies within this rating category for which investment banking (®) services were provided within the gest 12 membre.

Source USG, set of 31 March 2007.

Source UBS; set of 31 March 2007.

Key Definition:

Forecast Stock Return (FSR) a defined as expected percentage price appreciation plus gross dividend yield over the next 12 months.

Markar Return Assurption (RRA) is defined as the one-year local market interest rate plus 5% (an approximation of the equily risk premium).

Predictability Level The predictability level indicasts an analysis conviction in the FSR. A predictability level of "Timeson that the analysis analysis of PSR in the middle of a broader, or large, many of possibilities.

Possibility Indicates the production of t



## Disclosures (continued)

US Closed-End Fund ratings and definitions are: Buy: Higher stability of principal and higher stability of dividends; Neutral: Potential loss of principal stability of dividend; Reduce: High potential for loss of principal and dividend risk.

UK and European Investment Fund ratings and definitions are: Buy: Positive on factors such as structure, management, performance record, discount; Neutral: Neutral on factors such as structure, management, performance record, discount; Reduce: Negative on factors such as structure, management, performance record, discount; Active Country (Security Country Country

Core Banding Exceptions (CBE): Exceptions to the standard +/10% bands may be grarted by the Invariant Review Committee (IRC). Factors considered to the Invariant Review Committee (IRC).

#### Analyst Certification

Each research analyst primarily responsible for the content of this research report, in whole or in part, certifies that with respect to security or issuer that the analyst covered in this report. () all of the views expressed accurately reflect his or her personal views about hose securities or insuers; and (2) no part of his or her compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by ball research analyst in the research report.

Attention: Publishing Administration



12

## Disclaimer

This age to the desirability of SS Limited or an efficient fewort (SSE) is a contract current (SSE SSE in these in a NSE SSE SSE in the sequent of the desirability of the sequent of the

Information of the sear which was an interest to the contract of the contract

