

## Case Study 1: Jimmy

Life Expectancy
Funding
Contributory Negligence

A Berrymans Lace Mawer

### Life Expectancy

- If in doubt, a positive indication in favour of PPO
- But NB contributory negligence

# Funding

- Identity of paying party
- General Insurer
- Lloyds Syndicate
- Government Department
- MIB

# Funding - General Insurer

Annuity Product available? - "Close matching" - 2035

Self Funding Reserving FSA regulation

A Berrymans Lace Mawer

### Funding – Lloyds/MIB

"Reasonably secure"

Annuity only option?

Funding – Government Department

Problem? What problem?

A Berrymans Lace Mawer

## Contributory Negligence

Is PPO appropriate at all?

If so, on what basis?

A Berrymans Lace Mawer

### Case Study 2: Kylie

Lump sum equally (more?) appropriate?

Increase/decrease in future (not necessarily variation)

New insurer. Own life arm.

### Case Study 3: Beryl

Will she want lump sum? Residue for family.

Or would she prefer PPO? No worries about investment/money running out.

Is life expectancy significant?

Funding – "Reasonably secure".

A Berrymans Lace Mawer

### Indexation

RPI RPI + AEI PCI

Is this really a question for the courts?

A Berrymans Lace Mawer

#### Variation

Serious disease/deterioration or significant improvement Duty on claimants? Continuing surveillance? Annuities

#### **Tactics**

Playing poker Part 36

### Reinsurers

Chasing the retention

Everyone joins the party

A Berrymans Lace Mawer

#### **Politics**

It'll be all right on the night.

Shades of the costs trench warfare?



| - |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |