The Actuarial Profession making thancal sense of the future

Plan Design : Post-simplification plan design flexibility

Current Issues in Pensions: 21st October 2005

Design opportunities covered

- Combining OPS and PP
- Flexible retirement with continued work
- Death benefits
- Restructure in line with PPF
- But don't forget, new S67 provisions apply from 6th April 2006, and member consultation requirements (let alone employment contracts and scheme's rules)

The Asian ful Protector modes from bit areas of the fature

Combining Occupational Pension Schemes with Personal Pensions

- FA04 allows accrual in an OPS and contribution in a PP on same pay
- "Layering: DB accrual as safety net on first tier of pay, DC on upper tier of pay"
 limits final salary volatility
 protects lower paid from risk
 DC without the trust risks
 risk benefits? (esp if not using PHI)

The Asian ful Preimster Tweing There is a new of the fully

Combining OPS and PP: using PP rather than same OPS as DB

⊗Extra administration

 ⊗ Lose the option of Pension Commencement Lump Sum coming from DC as first source
 ⊗ Lose the chance of DB surplus funding DC

> The Asian ful Protosion moting fracticities as of the full

DB flexible retirement: the law

- FA04 allows taking of retirement benefits and continuing in service
- Staggering possible
- but no PCLS separate from pension (unless a protected PCLS)
- © Popular allows part-timer wind down
- ⊗ Staggering loses scheme-protected PCLS

The Asian fol Protession mading floored server of the fait

DB flexible retirement: Design: benefit timing

- all at the same time?
- in 2 stages if still in service, otherwise at same time?
- in multi stages if still in service, otherwise at same time?
- multistage even if not in service?

The Asian ful Protocology The Asian ful Protocology

DB flexible retirement Design: future accrual

If in service, accrual:

- continues in full?
- in which case, is accrual on new joiner scale?
- discontinues, but full risk cover?
- discontinues, as if leaver?

The Asian ful Projection mading fracticities of the full

DB flexible retirement General benefit/employment fit

- "50% retirement"
 - what pension/salary fit (esp if GMP/ Barber)
 - what risk benefit?
- In practice, "offer all-or-nothing"?
- If treated as "opt out and rejoin", what if scales are different for new joiners
- Interaction with employment contract
- Selection against the scheme
- IHT?

The Action fol Protocology Twelog There is across of the fact

DB flexible retirement Impact on employer costs

- \Downarrow if "Offer: opt to take benefit early if also opt out of further accrual"
 - but age discrimination?
- ⊗↑ Barber elements: increased numbers claiming unreduced pension early
- ⊗↑ if effect on investment strategy is lower/earlier equity investment if more pensioners

The Acien tel Protocolor Tweing Theorie Islaman of the focu

DB flexible retirement For executives to manage LTA

© Take reduced early pension to reduce BCE valuation, but carry on working

\otimes But beware:

- Executives may want guarantees up front to enable planning: use of in-service reserve reductions, ability to retire, what will be offered for subsequent accrual
- Involves trustees as well as company
- Wind up issues

The Action fol Protocology Tracing Theory islamme of the full

DB flexible retirement Administration a nightmare ⊗?

Traditionally,

- each member has a unique category, and each category has its own record structure
- Multiple record per member, but linked?
- Change system's "membership flow"
- Change audit processes
 - Beware opportunities for error/fraud
- Comms must acknowledge multiple statuses

The Asian ful Protession Testing Tractic Islamat of the future

Death benefits Short-term dependant's pension

- Currently: must continue to death, remarriage or when child status stops
- © Post A-day (FA05): No constraints forcing death pension to continue
- (cf pension to a Child must stop at age 23)
- eg "DIS spouse pension four years only"
 better focussed benefit
 lower longevity risk
- Section 67 means for new members only??

The Action fol Protocolors Twolog There is across of the follow

Death benefits: the law Lump sum versus pension

- Defined Benefit LSDB
 - unlimited
 - tax: 0% to outstanding member LTA, 55% on excess
 - only on death before age 75
- Cf Dependants pension
 - unlimited if paid on death before age 75
 - tax: recipients' marginal IT rates

The Asian ful Projection mading fracticities of the full

Death benefits: DIS design " $4x LSDB + 2/3 pension \rightarrow 8x LSDB$ "

© Tax efficiency

- © Flexible can buy PLA if required
- © Less mortality risk for scheme
- $\otimes \mathsf{Trustee}$ onus to choose recipients for large sum
- $\boldsymbol{\otimes}$ Insurance especially free cover limits
- ⊗Contracting out
- ⊗ S67 issues maybe if for current members

The Action fol Protocolors Tracing There is because of the focus

Restructure Scheme benefits in line with PPF benefits

- Aim: members' benefits match what they expect
- Convert pre 97 pension increases to extra accrued pension
- Use new Section 67? or obtain member consent
- © If scheme enters PPF, members get higher benefits, closer to what they expect
- © No expected benefit cost to the Company

The Asian fol Protocology moding fracticities are of the fature

Restructure Scheme benefits in line with PPF benefits

If scheme never goes into PPF then little point
Higher PPF levy (on current formulae)
Would the PPF Board unwind this?
Risk of PPF Structure changing
Initial cost of communications, administration
Blocks in scheme rules/contracting-out

The Asian I.d Protostan moting francisk ansatz from the