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## Introduction <br> Trade off between Profit and Demand

Basic modelling for Price Optimisation allows for the fact that different customers have different losses and react differently to price variations

- Loss model
- Ultimate loss by customer
- Demand model
- Probability to buy


## Introduction <br> Trade off between Profit and Demand
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## Introduction <br> Trade off between Profit and Demand

## Optimal pricing is in fact a constrained optimization

 We often want to:- retain at least a fixed share of the market;
- target special segments of customers;
- limit the increase in price in per cent of last year's price;
- assure an adequate level of commissions;
- ...


## Challenges

How do we define our ideally best share of the market, segment of customers, level of commissions etc.?

## Introduction <br> Demand Elasticity

Price Elasticity of the Demand is the sensitivity of the customer demand to price variation.

- Technically, it is the percentage change in demand corresponding to a percentage change in price:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(P) & =|\Delta \% \mathrm{D} / \Delta \% P| \\
& =|\Delta \mathrm{D} / \Delta \mathrm{P}| * P / D
\end{aligned}
$$

- Elasticity will be implicitly evaluated from our Demand Model.
- Why is $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{P})$ so important?



## Introduction Demand Elasticity

- Profit is maximized when

$$
E(P)=1 /(1-L / P)
$$

- Inelastic demand: $\mathrm{E}<1$
$\rightarrow$ Optimisation will raise prices for such customers
- Elastic demand: E > 1
$\rightarrow$ Optimisation will lower prices for such customers (mostly!)
- Many markets are well below 1 (customers rather loyal). Optimisation will naturally increase prices.
- For other markets (web based, aggregators) demand is very elastic instead!


## Introduction Demand Elasticity

## Summary

## Elasticity estimation is crucial and extremely difficult because:

- Elasticity varies with
- customer and policy characteristics,
- competitors' prices,
- macroeconomic variables.
- Unstable in time
- Estimating the derivative of the demand is more difficult than estimating the demand function only!


## Introduction Other Challenges

## Technical

- Mixed models,
- Splines and GAM,
- Bayesian models.


## From Price Optimization to Business Optimization

- Lifetime value of the customer,
- business growth and cross selling,
- discount policy,
- choice of limit, excess, coverage,
- channel
- ...


## Lifetime Customer Value What is Customer Value?

- Customer value measures the value that customers, or particular customer segments, have added to the business and could add in the future.
- Measurement, whether implicit or explicit, of customer value, whether by the company, its management or the broker, forms part of most decision making processes within insurance. This process is dynamic and always changing.
- Measuring customer value and implementing a strategy based on customer value can allow companies to optimise the profit generated by policyholders.
- The table summarises the different components that can be included for the measurement of customer value. The list is not exhaustive.

| The present value <br> of future profits <br> and/or historic <br> profits | Gross premium <br> Net premium | The number and <br> amounts of <br> claims past and <br> projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retention rate <br> (absolute or <br> relative to the <br> market) | Expenses | Marketing spend |$|$| Cover <br> type/extent of <br> policy | Volatility of <br> cashflows | Number of <br> products held |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cross-sell <br> premium | Competitiveness |  |
| Distribution <br> channel | Broker | Reinsurance <br> requirements |
| Cost of capital | Investment <br> Income | Underwriting <br> cycle |

## Lifetime Customer Value <br> A Simple Model <br> <br> Aggregation

 <br> <br> Aggregation}
## Example

- Time T = 1 (next renewal)

GWP at last renewal $x$ inflation factor

GRP at last renewal x inflation factor

Expenses (per policy $x$ inflation factor)


- Time $\mathrm{T}=2$

GWP at last renewal x inflation factor^2

GRP at last renewal $x$ inflation factor^2


1 - Lapse Rate*

The sum of these values over 5 years time will then be discounted back to the next renewal date allowing for survival (MTC and lapses) between and allowing for the time value of money.

## * Lapse Rate

Varies by Product / Tenure / Age / Distribution Channel / Location etc.

## Lifetime Customer Value Benefits

Customer value impacts marketing, underwriting, pricing and functions. Main benefits are:

- Consistency
- Helps businesses develop consistent customer value models.
- Supports definition of a consistent customer data system specification.
- Customer value is the main driver for consistent tactical decisions.
- Efficiency
- Focus on value creating customers.
- Enables models and development effort to be shared between the business.
- Improved management information.
- Insight
- Provides tools for evaluating strategic options and segment value.
- Provides real value outputs to support delivery of differentiated customer treatments.
- Supports segment prioritisations.


## Lifetime Customer Value Examples

## Adverse Selection

Plot of Sorted Customers by Value
$\square$ Future Value $\quad$ Future Value 100\% _ Ret ent ion Yr1


Graph shows the customer value (future value, yellow bar), the customer value assuming 100\% retention (future value 100\%, grey bar) and the first year retention probability (green line -values measured on left axis).

- Note the inverse relationship between retention and customer value, showing some extent of adverse selection.


## Lifetime Customer Value Examples

## Growth and Cross Sell

The plots show the customer value distributions for two different products offered by the same insurer.

Product 1


## Product 2



It is possible to break down customer value by the different components modelled. Here we look at how much crossselling and internal product growth contribute to the customer value.

# Lifetime Customer Value Examples 

## Channel

## Product 1

\author{

## Product 2

}

Future Value $\square$ Future Value 100\% ——Retention Yr1

Customer Value distribution by channel

channel

Future Value $\square$ Future Value 100\% ——Retention Yr1

Customer Value distribution by channel


Customer value can also be split by channel or intermediary. This can tell us what segments contribute more to the business than others.

## A Theoretical Comparison A Demand Model

- What if there is no-data to fit our models ?
- New company start-up
- New market: new region, new segment of customers etc.
- How can we price our products?
- Expert judgment only!
- It turns out that expert judgment -and some additional assumptions- implicitly determines a demand model !


## A Theoretical Comparison A Demand Model

- A theoretical result
- Assume that for a given segment of customers, an expert gives us the expected loss, the optimal (1yr) price and the corresponding propensity to buy.
- Assume that the demand follows a logistic model and the best price is obtained by ordinary PO .

- Then, there exists only one regular demand function that corresponds to the given benchmarks.
- This means that given these 3 numbers, we can draw the entire demand function!


## A Theoretical Comparison A Demand Model

- For example:

| Benchmarks |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Propensity | Loss R a tio |
| $70 \%$ | $90 \%$ |



## A Theoretical Comparison <br> LTCV simplified

- Multi-year optimisation: mimics executives' business planning by optimising a moving window of N years into the foreseeable future
- This results in a set of optimal prices for the next N years that consider the long term implications of current pricing on future earnings
- The net present value (NPV) of earnings is used as a profit indicator

$D_{n}=$ Demand in year $n, M_{n}=$ Margin in year $n, i=$ Interest rate


## A Theoretical Comparison LTCV simplified



Assumptions

- Demand model remains the same.
- Price inflation and claim inflation balance out with Profit inflation.


## A Theoretical Comparison Long term PO vs short term PO

- We have a model for a single segment of customers that is easy to calculate and therefore handy for comparisons.
- Lets compare the previous example with another segment having the same optimal price, the same LR but a different demand curve.
- Example 1:

| Benchmarks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Optimized <br> Premium | Propensity | Loss Ratio |
| 100 | $70 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| 100 | $60 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

## A Theoretical Comparison Long term PO vs short term PO

- (... Ex.1) Comparing two segments of customers having identical optimal price and expected loss, but different demand.




## A Theoretical Comparison Long term PO vs short term PO

- (... Ex.1) Note: consumer 2 is more elastic (locally)




## A Theoretical Comparison Long term PO vs short term PO

- (... Ex.1) Lifetime Optimal Price
- decreases when LR < 100\% (obvious)
- decreases more for more (locally) elastic consumers
- ... but the difference is small in this example.




## A Theoretical Comparison Long term PO vs short term PO

- Example 2. Lower LR

| Benchmarks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Optimized <br> Premium | Propensity | Loss Ratio |
| 100 | $70 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| 100 | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ |




## A Theoretical Comparison Long term PO vs short term PO

- (... Ex.2) Bigger profit margins
- => lifetime discounts are more relevant.
- => segments more differentiated.



## A Theoretical Comparison Long term PO vs short term PO

- Summary on four examples
$\longrightarrow$ increase in profit

| Benchmarks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Optimized <br> Premium | Propensity | Loss Ratio |
| 100 | $70 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| 100 | $60 \%$ | $90 \%$ |


| 2 | Benchmarks |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Optimized <br> Premium | Propensity | Loss Ratio |  |
| 100 | $70 \%$ | $70 \%$ |  |
| 100 | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ |  |


| increase | 3 Benchmarks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Optimized Premium | Propensi | Loss Ratio |
| in | 100 | 90\% | 90\% |
| mand | 100 | 80\% | 90\% |


| 4 | Benchmarks |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Optimized <br> Premium | Propensity | Loss Ratio |
| 100 | $90 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| 100 | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ |

## A Theoretical Comparison Long term PO vs short term PO

- more profit $\rightarrow$ more discount \& more segmentation
- more demand $\rightarrow$ less discount \& more segmentation
increase in profit



## A Theoretical Comparison Summary

- A theoretical model for demand has been used to compare optimal prices on 1 yr vs lifetime horizons.
- Lifetime pricing recommends for relatively small discounts in highly competitive and high risk markets, with very little differences between customers.
- For increasing demand, discounts are even smaller but customers are more differentiated.
- Finally, on more profitable segments both discounts amount and customers differentiation increase.


## A Theoretical Comparison <br> Summary

- Summary
- Results resemble the activity that underwriters do in practical deals.
- Assessing customer propensity
- Assessing expected loss
- Fixing a "standard" price on a 1yr profit basis
- Assessing lifetime customer value
- Determining the proposition
- Lifetime pricing may be useful to define standard guidelines for discount policies on a quantitative basis.


## Practical Optimisation Main Elements



## Practical Optimisation Main Elements

- Main elements of Long-Term optimisation:

|  | Year 0 | Year 1 | Year 2 | ... | Year $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Life time (policy) | Origination | Renewal 1 | Renewal 2 |  | Renewal N |
| Profit (NPV) = | $\mathrm{D}_{0} \cdot \mathrm{M}_{0} \quad+$ | $\mathrm{D}_{0} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{M}_{1} /(1+\mathrm{i})$ | $\mathrm{D}_{0} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{M}_{2} /(1+\mathrm{i})^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| Local constraints: | Range( $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ ) | Range( $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ) | Range ( $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ) |  |  |
| Global constraints: | Less relevant the longer the horizon $\mathbf{N}$ wal Rates) |  |  |  |  |
| Trends: | Claims $_{0}$ | Claims ${ }_{1}$ | Claims ${ }_{2}$ | Trends are very important, but difficult to set right! |  |
| Trends: | Market ${ }_{0}$ | Market ${ }_{1}$ | Market ${ }_{2}$ (e.g. Comp | or rates) |  |
| Trends: | Demand ${ }_{0}$ | Demand ${ }_{1}$ | Demand $_{2}$ (change | asticity!) |  |

## Practical Optimisation Main Elements

- Main elements of Short-Term optimisation:



## Practical Optimisation LTCV vs Short Term

## LTCV Optimisation

- Pros
- Natural, easy to explain
- Theoretically best approach
- Long term business projections as a by product
- Fair!?
- Cons
- How to set trends?
- Individual: claims, additional policies, cover changes, personal changes
- Global: market, finance, demand
- Still only first year prices are applicable
- More risky to base prices on uncertain future
- Heavy computations


## Short-Term Optimisation

- Pros
- Results based on most recent information of book (reliability)
- Flexibility in the future (market adjustments, changes in demand, policy development, price politics)
- Less computation, results come faster
- Can run purely deterministically
- Cons
- "Exit" constraints have to be imposed to value future profits (e.g. volume of book)
- How to set constraints best?
- General criticism of missing LTCV view


## Practical Optimisation LTCV vs Short Term

- LTCV optimisation is only "hypothetical" pricing of the future
- It cannot be applied to a real book ("Uncertainty of Claim") after the first year!
- In fact we know of no P\&C insurer that determines prices over a Life-Time horizon using LTCV optimisation!
- "Short-Term" Optimisation with "exit" constraints is the "de facto" standard of the P\&C market
- Is LTCV optimisation of no real use?
- Answer: It is of use!
- Predominantly to calculate some "LTCV"
- Also maybe there are some key results that can be used for improving Short-Term Optimisation!


## Practical Optimisation <br> Examples: One customer only

- Comparing LTCV \& One-Year optimisation for only one customer (or segment):



## Year 1

1Y optimisation
Renewal 1

Profit (NPV) $=\quad \mathrm{D}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{M}_{1}$
Global constraint: $\quad D_{1}\left(P_{1}\right)=R R_{1}(L T C V) \quad$ Renewal rate of year 1 taken from LTCV optimisation!

## Practical Optimisation <br> Examples: One customer only

- Let us make the following mild assumption:
- Demand curve is monotonic!
- Then the optimised price $P_{1}$ of the first year is identical for both optimisations (LTCV and 1 Y with Volume exit constraint= $\mathrm{RR}_{1}(\mathrm{LTCV})$ )!
- Proof: There is only one price $P_{1}$ that satisfies $D\left(P_{1}\right)=R R_{1}(L T C V)$
- Conclusion(*): It is sufficient to know the volume of an LTCV optimisation after the 1st year to get the same pricing results with just 1Y optimisation, if the book of business is relatively homogenous (behaving like one customer)!

Some more little assumptions: Each customer is projected identically into the future (like with deterministic projections)

## Practical Optimisation A Real Book

## General Settings \& Assumptions (Optimisation)

## Pricing example: Real Motor Renewal Book (Europe)

- Settings and Assumptions of Price Optimisation - General
- Type of optimisation: Prices have been optimised individually
- Interest rate: High \& low interest rate ( $0 \%$ \& 10\%) on future profits assumed
- Local constraints: Premiums can vary from one to the next year (relative to previous premium) by
- No claims in previous year: $\operatorname{Max[}[-20 \%,-150]$ to $\operatorname{Min}[+16 \%,+60]$
- With claims in previous year: $0 \%$ to $\operatorname{Max}[+80 \%,+480]$
- Future trends: Age, tenure + year of projection
claims, risk, demand model \& market situation remain unchanged
- Projection: Deterministic (functional development)


## LTCV

- Optimisation horizon: 1Y-5Y \& 8Y
- No "exit" or inter-year constraints


## Short-Term (1Y)

- Optimisation horizon: 1Y
- "Exit" constraint: Renewal Rate (1Y) of LTCV optimisation


## Practical Optimisation A Real Book

Results with $0 \%$ interest rate: Optimal volumes higher than for $10 \%$ interest rate
In general the optimal volume is higher with

- Increasing future profits (improving claims ratios)
- Decreasing interest rates
- Increasing horizon
- Higher elasticity

Efficient Frontier


## Practical Optimisation A Real Book

- What are the differences after the first year (price differences, mix of business \& profit)?

Results: Difference between 1 Y with optimal volume and 8 Y Optimisation



## Practical Optimisation A Real Book

- Results here: After 1Y all policies are assumed to have no claims!
- Book more homogeneous and thus results of 1 Y \& 8 Y closer together

Results: Difference between 1Y with optimal volume and 8Y Optimisation


## Practical Optimisation A Real Book

- What are the differences after the first year (price differences, mix of business \& profit)?

Results: Difference between 1 Y with optimal volume and 8 Y Optimisation


## Practical Optimisation A Real Book

- Results here: After 1Y all policies are assumed to have no claims!
- Book more homogeneous and thus results of 1 Y \& 8 Y closer together

Results: Difference between 1 Y with optimal volume and 8 Y Optimisation


## Practical Optimisation A Practical Approach to LTCV

## Suggestion for LTCV optimisation from the previous conclusions:

- Recipe
- Take a small sample of the book
- Calculate LTCV optimisation on sample (simple trends, assumptions)
- Take from it the first year Renewal Rate $R R(1)$
- Plug this rate into 1 Y optimisation as a global "exit" constraint
- Calculate the total book with this 1 Y optimisation


## Conclusions

- LTCV \& 1Y optimisation with "exit" constraint are not that different, if
- The exit constraint is the first year renewal rate of LTCV
- The book is sufficiently homogeneous
- Volume of book is more robust than a more uncertain individual LTCV value
- Clever optimisation is the goal, not optimisation for the sake of optimisation!
- What we have not covered here:
- How reliable is a long term projection in real life?
- Is the additional effort of setting LTCV up worth the trouble?
- Prices, politics and market change year by year (even week by week)!
- Weather forecasts are now reliable for 3 days!


## Questions

