The Actuarial Profession	
making financial sense of the future	
CURRENT ISSUES IN LIFE ASSURANCE	
SEMINAR	
Martin Pike and Matthew Lee	
THE BARBICAN CENTRE, LONDON 23 RD MAY 2007	
Management Actions Working Party	
The Management Actions Working Party was	
established by the Life Board to investigate and consider the use of management actions in with-	
profits funds:	
Within actuarial modellingAs actually applied by firms	
As planned by firms in future scenarios (contingent actions)	-
The Actuarial Profession	
maining financial dense of the future	
	1
Membership	
·	
• Tim Bunch	
 Iain Baker Gavin Coates	
Adrian FullerMatthew Lee	
Jonathan PearsMartin Pike	

Ben Rowe

Survey carried out • 19 Actuarial Function Holders for 29 large with-profits funds A wide range of questions Some difficulty in interpreting the answers as the situation is complex and terms are not well defined Plenty of things to discuss! Agenda for today Some thoughts on governance Issues on specific management actions The state of modelling • Future work Some thoughts on governance

Reminder of history

- Mid 2002 first request by FSA for "realistic information"
- First published Realistic Balance Sheet as at 31 December 2004
- Market turbulence putting pressure on finances and actuarial teams
- First ICAs calculated as at 31 December 2004
- Systems development and bedding down of models
- Anecdotal evidence that this year end has been smoother for many firms
- Systems still evolving, but haven't we done well!

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the future

Thorough governance process

- Well developed plan for internal purposes
- Legal opinion obtained on legality and fairness
- Consultation within firm (WPA, AFH, Compliance, Executive, Board)
- Formal approval process including WPC and Board
- External audit (for RBS and RCM if actions are modelled)
- Care over contingent actions modelled
- Specific references in PPFM to contingent actions

The Actuarial Profession

Comments

- Discretion retained and plans kept vague
- Legal opinions taken on actual actions
- Few legal opinions sought on fairness (perhaps reliant on WPA and WPC)
- Unclear how management actions have been chosen
- Lack of disclosure in PPFMs

The Actuarial Profession

Process for thinking again about management actions Consider fund from perspective of with-profits policyholders (protection and treating fairly) Consider universe of management actions (type and timing)

- Residual risks affecting the estate
 Residual risks affecting the shareholders
- Risk appetite, capital management, and TCF need to be
- Lots of work and potentially awkward to revisit established governance!

Issues on specific management actions

Charges to asset shares

- Firms are modelling variable charges
- Some allowed to increase without limit
- Need to limit charges?
- Need to assess degree to which charges are supported by actual and expected costs

Charges to asset shares

- Difficulty in defining retrospective charges and prospective charges
- Annual management charge
- Operational loss
- Varying annual management charge
- Targeting less than asset share
- Categorisation less important than careful planning, but note impact of COB
- Which policyholders should be charged?

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the future

Equity backing ratios

- Many firms assume static EBR in RBS
- Of those that allow EBR to move, many allow EBR to fall to zero
- Is this fair?
- EBR currently towards top of allowable range
- Is this simply due to high solvency or is there a bias towards reducing risk?

The Actuarial Profession

Future bonuses

- Most firms are modelling dynamic future annual bonuses
- Different over triggers and measures adopted, perhaps reflecting practice
- Most of these seem comfortable with allowing bonuses to fall to zero
- Does this give a realistic measure of solvency?
- Does this really reflect reality (given marketing and retention considerations)?

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the future

De-risking

- Benefits to the firm are clear (reduced capital requirements in RCM and ICA)
- What about the impact on policyholders?
- If there is a (material) negative impact on policyholders should alternative risk be taken to increase policyholder expected payouts or should some other way of mitigating this negative impact be found?
- Need to review the risk appetite and governance process

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the future

The state of modelling

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the future

An idealised view

- All actions that would be taken in each scenario are modelled (survey suggests many/most do not do this)
- Consistency between RBS, RCM and ICA
- Allowance for reversal of actions and re-risking in good scenarios
- All models consistent with internal plan, PPFM and Board decisions
- Results would only differ as a result of genuine differences in the business written and the different financial strength of firms

The Actuarial Profession

What is RBS for though?

- Demonstrating that firms remain solvent in current and adverse scenarios, or comparing the level of solvency?
- Note lack of rules on inclusion of actions (Firms may allow for management actions)
- Exclusion of new business means that the calculations are appropriate to a closed business, as management actions will be affected by new business
- What about ICA?
- Is there a risk we are overstating risk (and capital requirements)?

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the future

Modelling issues

- Difficulty of modelling actions based on future levels of solvency
- Only need to model actions that generate enough free assets
- Time!
- Complexity of full model
- Practicality of seeking Board approval to a complex set of actions
- Models still developing

The Actuarial Profession

Future work

- Further development of governance structure and establishment of more detailed internal plans
- More thorough assessment of universe of actions, by type and timing
- Further action to reduce risk (and volatility of returns) to policyholders, and to reduce dependence on radical contingent actions
- Further development of models
- Improved comparability

The Actuarial Profession