



The Actuarial Profession

making financial sense of the future

Role of Life Actuaries Post Penrose Current Issues in Life Assurance

Jeremy Goford
President, Institute of Actuaries

28 April 2004

Lord Penrose's criticisms involving actuaries

- Regulation was based on over-reliance on the Appointed Actuary
- Appointed Actuary should not also be Chief Executive
- New policyholders (without GARs) were exposed to the cost of the guarantees in the policies (with GARs) started before then
- No new bonus series or separate long-term sub-fund established for new business

Lord Penrose's criticisms involving actuaries

- No persistent attempt to reflect PRE in liabilities
- Each Policy Value paid in excess of asset shares weakened the fund; the effect was disguised as the (larger) terminal bonus was not reflected in the liabilities
- Use of hidden reserves, future profits, subordinated debt, and financial reinsurance

Lord Penrose's criticisms involving actuaries

- The Hymans litigation was only the trigger and that the seeds of misfortune were sown in the 'over-bonusing' in pursuit of growth in new business
- A higher discount rate than the bonus rate was inconsistent with best actuarial practice
- A quasi-zillmer adjustment was not consistent with sound and prudent actuarial practice

However ...

- At all material times the Appointed Actuary of the Society was able to claim that the Society's valuation practices were consistent with applicable professional standards
- The Appointed Actuary was part of the regulatory system
- The regulatory system only valued guarantees, with specific exclusion for valuing terminal bonus

and ...

- Ruth Kelly announces a wide-ranging review of the actuarial profession to be lead by Sir Derek Morris

Questions

- Do persistently illustrated Policy Values in excess of asset shares become PRE?
- Does treating customers fairly mean that persistently illustrated Policy Values in excess of asset shares become valuation liabilities (before adding the cost of guarantees)?
- Does what's on the tin go into the liabilities?
- How far does that extend to illustrations?
 - “Claims that the accounts demonstrated ‘solvency’ ... were meaningless without a clear and simple explanation that solvency for this purpose ... had no bearing on the ability ... to deliver ... benefits ... that might have appeared in illustrations.”

Questions

- Was it a model that could have survived?
 - Without over-bonusing?
 - With a separate sub-fund?
 - With aggregate quoted Policy Values less than assets?
- Does the realistic balance sheet treat accrued bonus 'better'?

So, what is the profession doing (subject to the Morris Review)?

- Worked with FSA on twin-peaks valuation basis
- 2005 Education syllabus contains more business orientation and attention to customers' needs and environmental influences
- New discipline scheme established from 1 January 2004 with greater independence
- Plans under way for the establishment of an Actuarial Standards Board with a high degree of independence
- Immediate review of existing standards against modern criteria

So, what is the profession doing (subject to the Morris Review)?

- Revised practicing certificates
 - Conflicts of interest
- Should we “ ... accept responsibility for direct intervention where it was thought that the administration of life funds was likely to threaten the legitimate interests of policyholders”?
- Should we encourage ‘joint review’?
- Peer review
 - Life: Reviewing Actuary. ?Review of With-Profits Actuary?
 - Pensions: progress
 - Lloyd’s: stalled
 - Lord Penrose’s view is that peer review is ‘discretion within discretion’ unless done against quality standards

Quality standards

- Independently produced; prescriptive; specific; objective; clear; no double negatives; consistent
- Principles or Rules
- Fit for purpose
- Comparability between actuaries
- Disclosure of alternatives
- Reliances and limitations
- Auditable

Pointers to the new world of 'pure advisers'

- It's even more responsible than before
- The impact of illustrations and Policy Values on (recommended) liabilities
- Communications to the decision-makers that is joined-up and clear
- Checking that the decision-makers have appreciated all the nuances of advice in order to make decisions
- What inhibition is there on recommending highly conservative reserves? It's the directors' decision. Will they reserve less than the recommendation? Are the auditors going to recommend a reduction?

Are we thinking enough about customers?

- Look through from individual customers' expectations (ask them, frequently?) to delivery from backing assets and capital
 - Including what if?
- Identify the lack of communication in the chain
- Correct the misunderstandings immediately
 - Especially about what if?
- Focus on the first part of the chain: customer and adviser
 - Who is the adviser today?
 - What about orphans?