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## Background to the merger

- Faculty formed in 1856 in response to communication difficulties
- Closer working since 1995 under the umbrella of "The Actuarial Profession"
- Most functions are now common:
- examinations
- standards
- subscriptions
- PC regime
- membership database
- discipline arrangements
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The ectrinatu Protention
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What do you already know?
$\qquad$

- Raise your hands if you have:
- Read the merger proposal?
- Read the questions and answers?
- Contributed to the discussion board?
- Discussed the options with
 colleagues?
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Why now?

- Stewart Ritchie initiated debate in Presidential Address
- Reasons for separate bodies are historical
- Profession is changing:
- Decline of Scottish mutual offices
- Many Institute members in Scotland
- Overseas constituencies maturing
- Look to future - get on front foot
- Complements new strategy and structure
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## Benefits of a merger (1 of 2)

- Streamlined (and more representative) governance
- Stable structure (Joint Councils currently works through co-operation only)
- Better defined public image (one body, one voice, one President)
- Provides opportunity to re-launch profession with focus on risk management and financial modelling capabilities
- helps the profession to market the actuarial skill set and the value actuaries add
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## Benefits of a merger (2 of 2)

- Maximise administrative efficiency
- Living the values of honesty and transparency
- we present the Professional body as a single entity so we should become one
- What you would design if starting today
- More volunteer time can be spent on activities which support members rather than internal administrative matters



## Disadvantages of a merger

$\qquad$

## For both bodies

- Losing the identity of two historical bodies
- Halving UK representation in IAA and Groupe Consultatif committees


For Faculty only

- Need to cater for the possibility of a separate Scotland
Concern over effectiveness of protections for profession in Scotland
- Loss of checks and balances
- Dilution of 'learned society' ethos
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## Proposed merger terms

- Single body with new Royal charter
- Governed by "senate" of up to 30 members
- Specified number of "senators" (10 for first 5 years) elected by Scottish constituency..
- ... form Scottish Council, with responsibility for overseeing activities in Scotland; Chairman on Management Board
- $£ 500$ k endowment to be disbursed by Scottish Council
- Ronnie Bowie to be first President
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Why did the Councils not present a range of alternatives?
$\qquad$

- The view of those who run the profession and have looked at things closely since 2006 is that we either:
- Continue to progress down the path of closer and closer integration (the "not merge" option)
or
- Take the positive step to merge now
- The in-principle vote was designed to
 capture the members' view of that choice.
- Designing speculative alternatives seemed to us a somewhat artificial exercise $\qquad$

Alternatives to merger (1) create a third body
$\qquad$

- New body to regulate
actuarial practice in the UK
- similar to American

Academy of Actuaries

- Faculty and Institute remain as learned societies


Advantages

- Retains existing bodies
- Representation at IAA/GC may increase
Disadvantages
- More complex and costly
- Many learned society activities are already joint activities are are have to split
- Potential boundary issues, e.g. education, CPD
- Active members need two memberships
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Alternatives to merger (2) separate functions for the two bodies
$\qquad$

- Institute fulfils all professional regulatory activities for actuarial practice in the UK, overseen by POB
- Faculty undertakes learned society functions only
- Active UK actuaries belong to both bodies


Advantages

- Retains heritage of two bodies

Disadvantages

- Replaces one source of Replaces one source of
complexity and inefficiency by complexit
- Potential boundary issues e.g. CPD, public affairs
- Students would be educated Students would be educated by Institute so Faculty membership may diminish
over time over time
Does little to secure the future of actuaries in Scotland
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## Alternatives to merger (3) formal agreement

$\qquad$

- Joint Councils comprises (say) 25 Institute and 5 Faculty Council members
- Individual Councils delegate governance functions to Joint governance functions to Joint basis
basi
- Single spokesperson


Advantages

- Existing bodies retained
- Cheaper to implement than merger

Disadvantages

- Leaves many Faculty Council
members and some Institute Council members with responsibility but no influence is it legally sound?
Does not improve clarity to outside world
- Still potentially unstable

Alternatives to merger (4) divorce
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- Complete separation of Advantages two bodies, including
- Retains heritage
- Creates choice and competition

Disadvantages

- Extremely messy to implement
- Diseconomies of scale - especially for Faculty
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## Planned implementation steps
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- Consultation with all members
- as wide as possible, talk about anything
- discussion board now available on website
- workplace meetings to be arranged
- In principle vote of Institute members/survey of Faculty members
- Will include students; electronic as well as postal
- Detailed work starts if members support proposal
- Use consultants to help develop new name and brand image
- Develop new modern Charter (from one)

Eligible members vote on formal change

- Launch of NewProf 1 July 2009 at earliest
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What happens if we don't merge?
$\qquad$

- Individual Councils will reconsider
- Short term options may include:
- By agreement have an operational whom Council of only 30 members of whom, say, but individual Councils members (but) could stili veto)
Chairman of Management Board to become spokesperson for Profession
Change rules to allow members to opt for either Faculty or Institute membership
- Merger will be on table again at some time in the future and terms will depend on the circumstances at that time.
 on $\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Questions?
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Views? $\qquad$
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## Show of hands

- Do you think we should
- continue with a simple in principle vote merger or not?
or
- Introduce other options on a STV basis?
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| Faculty and Institute merger proposal |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stewart Ritchie <br> Faculty President <br> Hilton Manchester, Deansgate <br> 17 June 2008 |  |
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