The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the future

Critical Illness Experience Update

Neil Reynolds - Critical Illness Committee

Agenda

- Cl Investigation Overview
- · Methodology
- Overview of the 1999-2002 data
- Claim Dates
- · Claims Delays
- 1999-2002 results
- Further work
- Conclusions

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fut

Critical Illness Investigation Overview

- Investigation started with 1998 data
- Published results to members for 1998, 1999 & 2000 in 2003
- Problems in collecting and analysing data for 2001-02:
 - · Delays in some offices submitting data
 - A significant number of data re-submissions
 - Data issues have forced us to exclude some offices whose data was used until 2000
- 2001, 2002 and quadrennium results are being released to member offices in April 2005

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fut

Methodology

- Collect start- and end-year exposure data and claims settled during year
- Fields required include

 Benefit type (Accelerated / Stand-Alone)
- Gender
- •
- Date of Birth Date of Commencement Smoker Status •
- •
- Benefit Amount Product Code
- Sales channel
- Investigation covers Standard Rates cases only Census method of calculating exposure
- Comparisons against CIBT93

The Actuarial Pro making francial ser

Overview of the 1999-2002 data (1)

- · Data from 16 offices
- 7.4 million life-years exposure
 - 6.4 m under Accelerated cover
 - 1.0 m under Stand-Alone cover
- 11,803 claims
 - 10,310 under Accelerated cover
 - Of which 7,978 are CI claims and 2,332 are Death claims
 - 1,493 under Stand-Alone cover

The Actuarial Profession making francial sense of the 1.4

Overview of the 1999-2002 data (2)

- Split of exposure data (on lives basis):
 - 86% Accelerated cover / 14% Stand-Alone cover
 - 45% Single Life / 55% Joint Life
 - 53% Male / 47% Female
 - 80% Non-smoker / 19% Smoker
 - Sales Channel –
 - Bancassurer 30% / IFA 33% / DSF 29% / Other 5%

The Actual making from

Contributing Offices for 1999-2002 data

Data from 16 offices:
 AEGON Allied
 AXA Barch
Bupa CIS
 Cornhill Halifa
 HSBC Legal
 Liverpool Victoria Natio
 Royal Sun Alliance Scotti
 Standard Life Swiss

Allied Dunbar Barclays Life CIS Halifax Life Legal & General Nationwide Life Scottish Provident Swiss Life (UK)

> The Actuarial Profession making francial sense of the ba





















Claim Dates

- · CMI requested 4 dates for each claim:
 - Date of Diagnosis
 - · Date of Notification Date of Admittance
 - · Date of Settlement
- Committee decided that diagnosis was the most appropriate, as matches exposure and matches the risk incurred by the office
- We have date of diagnosis in 56% of claims · In other cases we estimate it from the dates we are given
- The claims we are analysing are those settled in the quad

Claim Dates

- · Data problems included: Date of Diagnosis before Commencement
 - These claims have been excluded
 - Date of Diagnosis = Date of Settlement
 - These claims have been included with a revised Date of Diagnosis
 estimated from the Date of Settlement Date of Diagnosis close to Date of Settlement
 - · These claims have been included without adjustment
- As a result, the Date of Diagnosis used for each claim is: 56.3%
 - Actual Date of Diagnosis
 - · Estimated from Date of Settlement
 - Estimated from Date of Admittance
 - Estimated from Date of Notification

The Actuarial Profest making financial sense

42.3%

1.2%

0.2%

The Actuarial Professi making francial sense of

What do we mean by Date of Diagnosis?

- For some events it has a clear intuitive meaning, e.g. :
 Heart Attack
 - Heart Attack Surgery events Death

•

- For Cancer, is it the date symptoms are detected by the GP, or when a diagnosis is confirmed by the consultant?
- ABI definition of MS:
- A definite diagnosis by a Consultant Neurologist of Multiple Sclerosis which satisfies all of the following criteria: There must be current impairment of motor or sensory function, which must have persisted for a continuous period of at least six
 - months.
- The diagnosis must be confirmed by diagnostic techniques current at the time of the claim. .
- So is it when diagnosis obtained or after the 6 months?
- Definition may vary between offices or even between assessors within an office

The Actual making fina

















Claim Delays

- 1998-2000 analyses used an average delay of 155 days between diagnosis and settlement
- Average delay has lengthened now to 176 days
- We expect it to continue to lengthen until number of claims stabilises. Our model suggests an underlying average delay of around 260 days
- A straight average over-simplifies these effects:
 We are now differentiating between Death and CI
 - We apply an average based on duration of policy

The Actuarial Profession making francial sense of the full





Duration of policy at late of settlement	CI	Death
Jp to 3 months	53	41
- 6 months	82	44
6 – 12 months	104	
I – 2 years	125	
2 – 3 years	154	
3 – 4 years	195	102
4 – 5 years	234	103
5 – 6 years	236	
6 – 7 years	261	
7 years +	298	



Importance of Claim Dates

- The date of diagnosis is used to correctly calculate the age and duration but not to re-allocate claims in or out of the analysis
- This would not be an issue with a stable portfolio
- BUT VOLUMES HAVE INCREASED RAPIDLY
- The effect of this is that CMI results are understated by a factor of the order of 15%
- This factor will vary between offices according to the growth rate in their claims portfolio

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fut





Aggregate results, all ages, all durations							
		Accelerated	Stand-Alone				
Male	Lives	44	48				
	Amounts	41	49				
Female	Lives	47	52				
	Amounts	45	56				



	Acceler	ated busi	ness, all a	ages, all c	lurations,	Lives
		1999	2000	2001	2002	Quad
Male	NS	40	39	39	35	38
	Sm	77	73	68	62	69
Female	NS	52	46	42	43	45
	Sm	58	55	57	56	57



Accelerated business, all ages, all years, Lives						
		Dn 0	Dn 1	Dn 2+	All	
Male	NS	31	37	41	38	
	Sm	64	68	71	69	
Female	NS	41	46	46	45	
	Sm	45	60	61	57	



	Acc	elerated	business,	, all durat	ions, all y	ears, Live	s
		<30	31-40	41-50	51-60	61+	All
Male NS	50	40	36	35	39	38	
	Sm	55	60	76	77	56	69
Female	NS	44	48	45	41	31	45
S	Sm	49	52	59	63	91	57



Further Work (1)

- Methodology Paper
 - · Just published as Working Paper 14 on website
 - Seeking feedback by 31st May
- 1999-2002 Results:
 - · Results finalised ... given current methodology
 - Results will be released to offices with explanation of methodology and commentary in next few days
 - Some further analysis outstanding (e.g. product type)
 - Publication will follow receipt of feedback on methodology (and any necessary revisions)
- Graduation
 - Aim was to produce a standard table for use in pricing and reserving, but do we have a stable base?

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the fut

Key issues for Graduation WP

- Do we include Grossing-Up Factors before graduating? • . How do we graduate?
- Currently looking mainly at GM and LGM families
 Do we graduate by cause separately or for all causes combined?
 Currently intending to graduate death and non-death separately (but not individual causes)
- How do we allow for age extremities?
- Distinct lack of data above age 60!
 Looking to blend into adjusted CIBT93 where no insured experience
 How do we allow for selection and should we?
- Normal approach is to graduate the ultimate experience and blend in select but much of our data is select!
- We are looking at graduating a surface varying by age and duration for each sex/smoker status category
 Do we graduate Accelerated & Stand-Alone separately?
 Currently intending to graduate Accelerated only

. The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of

Further Work (2)

- · Subsequent years
 - Already collecting 2003 data!
 - Will attempt to track maturing 1999-2002 experience
 - Need to seek consistency of claim recording

Conclusions from "The First

- Quadrennium" • 1999-2002 results are in line with previous
- results
- Claims are subject to considerable delays
- Offices must make allowance for IBNS
- Grossing-up factor is of the order of 15%
 But depends on offices' growth in claims

The Actuarial Profession making financial sense of the tub

The Actuarial Profest making financial sense

