



# **CORPORATE INSOLVENCY**

- Distribution Priority
- Procedures
- Office Holder's Powers
- Pre-Packaged Sales

17 September 2013



## **Priority**

- Fixed Charge
- Payment of Office Holders Costs and Expenses
- Preferential Creditors
- Floating Charge (less prescribed part)
- Unsecured Creditors (plus prescribed part)
- Shareholders



## **Insolvency legislation**

- Insolvency Act 1986
- Insolvency Rules 1986
- Enterprise Act 2002
  - New Administration Procedure
  - Abolition of Crown Preference
  - Introduction of Prescribed Part



#### **Procedures**

- Liquidation
- · Administrative Receivership
- Administration
- CVA
- · Scheme of Arrangement



## **Mechanics**

#### **VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION**

- Solvent
  - Members Voluntary Liquidation ("MVL")
    Members Resolution and Dec. of Solvency
- · Unable to pay debts
  - Creditors Voluntary Liquidation ("CVL")
    Members Resolution and Creditors Meeting



#### **Mechanics**

#### **COMPULSORY LIQUIDATION**

- Petition (Creditor/Shareholder/Directors)
- · Advertisement in London Gazette
- Court Order



## **Mechanics**

#### ADMINISTRATIVE RECEIVERSHIP

- Lender has a debenture
- Invitation to appoint by Company to debenture holder OR
- Demand for payment under the debenture and appointment by charge holder
- Administrative Receiver accepts appointment within next day



#### **Mechanics**

#### **ADMINISTRATION**

- DIRECTORS/COMPANY
  - Notice of Intention to Appoint to Qualifying Floating Charge Holder ("QFCH")
  - Notice of Appointment lodged at Court
- QFCH Notice of Appointment (Prior Notice?)
- CREDITOR Petition



## **Mechanics**

- ADMINISTRATION
- ALL
  - Evidence of inability to pay debts
  - Evidence that purpose can be achieve
  - Administrator's Consent to Act



#### **Mechanics**

#### **CVA**

- Nominee
- Proposal
- Nominee's Report
- · Creditor's Meeting
- 75% of creditors (by value) approve
- Supervisor Appointed



## When do Creditors Know?

- MVL After Liquidation (No Creditors' Meeting)
- CVL After Liquidation and Before Creditors' Meeting
- Compulsory Liquidation Advertisement of Petition
- Admin Receivership After Appointment of Administrative Receiver
- Administration After Appointment of Administrator
- CVA On receipt of Proposal



#### Office Holder's Powers

#### WRONGFUL TRADING - Section 214 IA

- At a time (within 5 years of Liquidation) the Director(s) (or Shadow Director(s)) should have known that there was no reasonable prospect of avoiding insolvent liquidation.
- Only in Liquidation
- · Action by Office Holder
- Pierces the corporate vale
- Award compensatory
- Criminal Offence



## Office Holder's Powers

#### FRAUDULENT TRADING - Section 213 IA

- Claim against any parties knowingly a party to carrying on any business of the company with an intent to defraud.
- Only in Liquidation
- · Action by Office Holder
- · Pierces the corporate vale
- Award compensatory
- Criminal Offence



#### Office Holder's Powers

#### DIRECTOR'S MISFEASANCE - Section 212 IA

- Where a person concerned with the management of the company has misapplied or retained money or property, is guilty of misfeasance or breach of duty
- Only in Liquidation
- Application by Liquidator, Creditor or Contributory (award to company)
- Award restorative or compensatory



## **Office Holder's Powers**

#### PREFERENCE - Section 239

- Company does or suffers to be done something which puts a creditor or guarantor in a better position on insolvency
- Desire for effect
- Relevant time 6 m/ 2yrs if connected parties
- Condition Unable to pay Debts
- · In Liquidation and Administration
- Application by Office Holder
- Award restorative



#### Office Holder's Powers

#### TRANSACTION AT UNDERVALUE - Section 238 IA

- Transaction with no consideration or low consideration in money or money's worth
- Relevant time 2 years before insolvency
- Condition
  - Unable to pay debts
  - Presumed if connected and associated
- · Award restorative
- · In Liquidation or Administration
- · Application by Office Holder



## Office Holder's Powers

#### TRANSACTION DEFRAUDING CREDITORS - Section 423 IA

- Person enters into a transaction at an undervalue for the purpose of putting assets beyond the reach or prejudicing the interests of a potential claimant
- In Liquidation and Administration
- Application by Office Holder or Victim
- Award restorative/compensatory to company, victim or victims
- No requirement for insolvency.
- No relevant time



## tPR's Powers - PA04

## SECTION 58 PA04 - SECTION 423 IA TRANSACTION DEFRAUDING CREDITORS

- Application by tPR
- · On behalf of Victims
  - Trustees
  - Members
  - PPF
- Employer is in Liquidation/Administration
- Where there is a PPF deficit or Statutory Funding Objective not met



## **Office Holder's Powers**

#### INQUIRY INTO COMPANY'S DEALINGS - Section 236 IA

- In Liquidation, Administrative Receivership, Administration
- · Application by Office Holder
- Against a person
  - Suspected to have company property
  - Capable of giving information



#### tPR's Powers - PA04

#### **SECTION 72**

- Notice requiring provision of documents and information relevant to tPR's functions
- Use for the purpose of exercising functions (Section 81)
- To Trustees, professional advisors, employers, persons holding relevant information



## What is a Pre-Pack?

- "an arrangement under which the sale of all or part of a company's business or assets is negotiated with a purchaser prior to the appointment of an administrator, and the administrator effects the sale immediately on, or shortly after, his appointment".
- Have been used successfully in:

Habitat

**Blacks** 

Halliwells

La Senza



#### **Criticism of Pre-Packs**

- "Shrouded in secrecy"; a "stitch up"
- Limited marketing doesn't maximise returns
- Unsecured creditors have no say in the process fait accompli
- Involvement of old management in new business
- Debt shedding
- Conflict of interest, allegations of collusion and lack of objectivity by the IP
- Lack of accountability administrators do not have to obtain approval from the court or creditors



## **Benefits of Pre-Packs**

- Seamless transfer of business continuity of trade and "business as usual"
- Minimise erosion of supplier, customer and employee confidence
- Speedy and thus costs can be contained
- Preserve 100% of jobs in 92% of cases compared to 65% on business sale
- Better return to secured creditors 42% compared to 28% in business sale



## **Dealing with the criticisms**

- BERR (BIS) Select Committee "Where there are good reasons for an insolvency practitioner agreeing to a pre-pack, which there can often be, this must be explained clearly and fully"
- 1 January 2009 revised Insolvency Code of Ethics was issued
  - Avoid Conflicts
  - Be Objective
  - Be Transparent
  - Independent Valuation
- · 1 January 2009 SIP 16 introduced
- 2011 Proposals for notice to creditors



## **Schemes of Arrangement**

- · What is a Scheme of Arrangement?
- How might it apply in a pension scheme context?
- Case study



#### What is a Scheme of Arrangement?

- Statutory procedure to make a compromise with shareholders or creditors
- Court approved process
- · Genuine compromise
- Re Bluebrook Ltd [2009] EWHC 2114 (Ch)



## **Schemes of Arrangement**

#### What is the process for a Scheme of Arrangement?

- 1. Application to Court
  - Summon meeting of creditors & voting classes
  - Chance of approval
- 2. Member/Creditor Meeting
  - Approve by majority in number representing ¾ of value of creditors
- 3. Second Court application
  - Exercise of Discretion: Reasonable, Representative and Necessary



#### What are the advantages?

- No insolvency event business continuity and consumer confidence maintained
- Threshold for approval of a Scheme of Arrangement compared to a CVA
- Flexibility company can make commercial decisions



## **Schemes of Arrangement**

## When are Schemes of Arrangement used?

- Restructuring insolvent companies
- Acquisitions
- Demergers
- Removing minority shareholders
- Extinguishing a solvent insurance company's uncertain longterm liabilities



#### How does a Scheme of Arrangement relate to Pension Schemes?

- No "business as usual" option
- Compromise section 75 debt
- Avoid an insolvency event
- · Maintain PPF eligibility and achieve PPF drop in
- Notify the Regulator



## **Schemes of Arrangement**

#### **Case Study**

- · Setting the scene:
  - Pension Scheme is £20m in deficit
  - 23 year recovery plan, with back loaded contributions (not approved by the Regulator)
  - Company making circa £1m annual profit
  - Covenant (i.e. willingness to fund the Scheme) is weak



#### **Scheme of Arrangement Proposal**

- Asset sale of Company for £8.6m
- Trustees are asked to compromise contingent section 75 debt for £3m immediate cash payment plus £1m paid within 2 years of the business sale
- Debenture to parent company (owned by the Directors of Company) paid back in full at £2.5m
- Other sale proceeds to trade creditors and expenses of the sale



## **Schemes of Arrangement**

## **Scheme of Arrangement Proposal**

- Pension Scheme continues for 2 years and is paid £1m to avoid any PPF drift
- A nominal debt is triggered after 2 years to force insolvency of Company
- Pension Scheme winds up in accordance with its rules and is still eligible for PPF
- Regulation 2(3)(b) of the Pension Protection Fund (Entry Rules)
  Regulations 2005



#### Why Would the Trustees Agree?

- £23m → £3m (plus £1m but PPF drift)
- Best option for the members?
  - Better outcome than on insolvency?
  - Certainty as opposed to 23 year recovery plan?
- Consider the interests of Company



## **Schemes of Arrangement**

## Why Would the Trustees Agree?

- Problems
  - Purpose of deferred £1m
  - Regulator view
  - Company continues to make profit
  - Other creditors, including debenture held by the Directors, being paid back in full



#### Result

- Trustees rejected the proposal
- Trustees and tPR see no reason why Scheme cannot continue
- · TPR are investigating the potential for moral hazard powers
- Trustees brought forward the triennial valuation to force discussion on contributions and review employer covenant



## **Schemes of Arrangement**

#### Other Cases?

- Re Uniq plc [2011] EWHC 749 (Ch)
  - £400m pension liability and market capitalisation of £10m
  - Regulated Apportionment Arrangement to apportion to Newco
  - Scheme of Arrangement to effect debt for equity swap Trustees (through Newco) acquired 90% shareholding in return for Principal Employer being released from liability
  - Shares subsequently sold for £113m



#### Conclusion

- Genuine process where no business as usual option
- Avoids an insolvency event for the Company
- Agreement in principle will be required before a court application
- Must be a genuine advantage to creditors/shareholders
- Trustees and Scheme Actuary should be robust in their assessment of employer intentions



## Questions

Denise Fawcett, Partner, Pitmans LLP Ben Seth, Solicitor, Pitmans LLP